LOUISIANA USED MOTOR VEHICLE COMMISSION STATE OF LOUISIANA

REGULAR MEETING

APRIL 18, 2011

BEGINNING AT 9:33 A.M.

3132 VALLEY CREEK
BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA

REPORTED BY:
BETTY D. GLISSMAN, CCR

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:	
4	MR. GEORGE BREWER	
5	MR. TONY CORMIER (arrived late)	
6	MR. RON DUPLESSIS	
7	MR. GEORGE FLOYD	
8	MR. KIRBY ROY	
9	MR. HENRY "DARTY" SMITH	
10	MR. DOUGLAS TURNER	
11		
12		
13	REPRESENTING THE LOUISIANA USED MOTOR VEHICLE COMMISSION:	
14		
15	ROBERT W. HALLACK, ESQUIRE HALLACK LAW OFFICE 13007 JUSTICE AVENUE	
16	BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70816	
17		,
18		
1.9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		Page 3
1	ALSO PRESENT:	
2		
3	MS. KIM BARON	
4	MR. DEREK PARNELL	
5	MR. BUTCH WRIGHT	
6	MS. SHEILA JONES	
7	MR. JOEY LAMARTINA	
8	MR. WALLY BELL	
9	MR. STEVE DUKE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
Page 4
1
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
                     I'll call the meeting to
3
     order.
                     Mr. Roy, would you lead us in
     the Pledge of Allegiance?
                (Pledge of Allegiance.)
 7
                 MR. DUPLESSIS:
                     Ms. Baron.
                 MS. BARON:
10
                     George Brewer?
11
                 MR. BREWER:
12
                     Here.
13
                 MS. BARON:
14
                     Louis Bourgeois?
15
                 MR. BOURGEOIS:
16
                      (No response.)
17
                 MS. BARON:
18
                     Tony Cormier?
19
                 MR. CORMIER:
20
                      (No response.)
21
                 MS. BARON:
22
                     Ron Duplessis?
23
                 MR. DUPLESSIS:
24
                     Here.
25
```

		Page !	5
MS.	BARON:		
2	George Floyd?		
3 MR.	FLOYD:		
4	Here.		
5 MS.	BARON:		j
6	John Poteet?		
⁷ MR.	POTEET:		
8	(No response.)		
9 MS.	BARON:		
10	Kirby Roy?		
¹¹ MR.	ROY:		
12	Here.		
¹³ MS.	BARON:		
14	Darty Smith?		
¹⁵ MR.	SMITH:		
16	Here.		
¹⁷ MS.	BARON:		
18	Douglas Turner?		
¹⁹ MR.	TURNER:		
20	Here.		
²¹ MS.	BARON:		
22	Mr. Chairman, we have a		
²³ quorum.			
24 MR.	DUPLESSIS:		
25	Very good. Thank you.		

Page 6 1 The next item is public 2 comments. 3 Do we have any public comments? 5 Mr. Bell? 6 MR. BELL: 7 I'm sorry. 8 MR. DUPLESSIS: 9 Do you have any public 10 comments for us? 11 MR. BELL: 12 I brought y'all a -- I'm not 13 sure what the protocol is. I brought y'all 14 each a sheet of our -- a summary of what we 15 are doing with Louisiana. That's it. And I 16 think Robert has told v'all the fine is like 17 1.2 million or something like that. 18 back page is the balance sheet. You have to 19 look at it. As you look, you will see that 20 that balance sheet is correct as of March 21 31st. We are technically bankrupt. 22 anything we do here today, to any big 23 extent, Sundance wouldn't be able to pay 24 I came up here just to try to resolve vou. 25 this. Y'all have some attorney fees.

- could probably pay your attorney fees, but
- 2 if we start talking \$300,000, \$400,000,
- Sundance will be bankrupt. And so we -- I
- talked to our attorney in Georgia. He's
- 5 already got it prepared and ready to go.
- It's not something we want to do. We've
- been in business 18 years, but unfortunately
- 8 coming out of the recession, our company had
- ⁹ to borrow quite a bit of money to stay alive
- and now our debts, you know, exceed our
- 11 assets.
- Our biggest asset, if you
- look at the balance sheet, is molds. If you
- are familiar with boat companies, the molds
- typically sell for about a dollar on the
- hundred. So we are quite a bit upside down
- against our long-term mortgages. They are
- first on everything we own. I would like to
- resolve it with you guys, but we are a small
- company. You can look by the balance sheet
- and see how small we are. So we are very
- limited in what we can do.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Mr. Bell, do you have a
- proposal for the Commission or --

1 MR. BELL: 2 Well, I know v'all have some 3 attorney fees and y'all need to cover that. I think that's about \$5,000. We can definitely cover your attorney fees. 6 have enough cash to do that. I'm not sure 7 if there's anything else that was really on the table other than fines. I haven't seen any kind of breakdown of, you know, where 10 all of the money is at. I just saw a bottom 11 line number from Robert to the local 12 attorney. It was 1.2, and when I saw that, 13 I thought, well, the game is over, you know, 14 the game is over for our little company. 15 I'm here to see if we can work it out, see 16 what y'all need and what y'all would like to 17 do. 18 MR. DUPLESSIS: 19 Mr. Hallack. 20 MR. HALLACK: 21 Mr. Duplessis, Joey LaMartina 22 is here. He was the boat dealer that -- if 23 we would just step back a minute, back in

the old days when this Commission used to

represent recreational products dealers and

24

25

- manufacturers, we have, and I think they are
- still on the books, a re-purchase law, which
- says that a dealer can request that a
- manufacturer re-purchase product from --
- upon demand and that's what Mr. LaMartina
- did. Mr. LaMartina and his wife own a boat
- dealership in Slidell by the name of
- Northlake and they made a demand on Mr.
- 9 Bell's company, Sundance, to re-purchase his
- product.
- MR. BELL:
- 12 About \$66,000 worth.
- MR. HALLACK:
- Right. And I think it was
- originally seven boats the Commission
- ordered having to re-purchase five boats.
- And I think in the summer of 2008, Mr.
- LaMartina eventually sold four of those
- boats like at a fire sale leaving him with
- one boat, which Mr. Bell purchased, it looks
- like, October 29, 2009. So the original
- order from the Commission was for him to
- re-purchase the boats. Mr. LaMartina did
- 24 finally sell those. It says summer of 2008.
- I think it was the summer of 2009. But the

- 1 order of the Commission was also that Mr.
- Bell was to pay one and a half percent of
- Mr. LaMartina's final inventory of the five
- boats per month each month that he did not
- make the re-purchase, and I don't think that
- was done. But, anyway, Mr. LaMartina can
- 7 probably explain that a lot better than I
- 8 can.

9

MR. LAMARTINA:

- Correct. We came before the
- Board and had sent a letter to Sundance
- requesting a buy back. At the time it was
- seven boats. There was a hearing and
- essentially what was supposed to take place
- -- the law says 90 days after request date,
- that after 90 days, Sundance is required to
- buy back that inventory. The inventory was
- roughly \$69,000 -- \$69,191. The effective
- date that they were supposed to make the
- re-purchase was September 9, '08. At that
- time, Mr. Bell came before the Board and
- basically -- a couple of things, and I'm
- going from memory. I know at one point,
- Robert may remember, Mr. Bell said, I'll
- just surrender my license in Louisiana and I

- won't do business in Louisiana. I'll --
- MR. BELL:
- That was not the first
- 4 meeting.
- ⁵ MR. LAMARTINA:
- At one meeting, Mr. Bell just
- said, I'll surrender my license, I'm not
- going to make the re-purchase, which
- 9 effectively we had to go to court on this
- thing. We ultimately sold the -- as
- instructed by the Board, we were not
- supposed to sell the boats. We were
- supposed to segregate those boats and not
- sell them and so forth. Well, with almost a
- year passing, we began selling those boats.
- We sold the first one June 2 of '09 and
- ultimately sold in June, July and August.
- Mr. Bell made one re-purchase, only had to
- buy -- only ultimately bought back one boat
- and that payment was made to Textron Finance
- Group on November 13, '09, some 430 days
- later.
- What -- the damages were
- suffered is -- and according to the
- judgment, it appears that they owe one and a

- half percent per month on the unbought back
- inventory. I scheduled out, trying to be
- fair about this, from the buy back date of
- September of '09, the date we sold it. So
- ⁵ if we sold a boat in June of '09, let's say
- that's 270 days, so I calculated it out from
- ⁷ the time that we ultimately sold it, one and
- a half percent per month there, and then in
- some cases, we lost money on these boats
- when we sold them.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Joey, slow down just a little
- bit. You know the subject so well, you are
- blowing past a lot of these guys. They
- weren't here so slow down just a tad.
- MR. LAMARTINA:
- So, effectively, what was
- ordered was the buy back, which Sundance
- didn't do. We ultimately had this inventory
- sitting that we kept clean and so forth,
- because we were required to because it was
- their inventory and required to buy back.
- Ultimately, we started selling the boats
- off. The first one, we sold 270 days later
- after the required buy back. I scheduled

- out a schedule, which I have here, and I
- have the -- actually, all of the bills of
- sale on the ones we did sell, with one and a
- 4 half percent per month with the required buy
- back date of September 9, '08 to the
- 6 effective date that we start selling each
- boat individually to ultimately only one
- boat that Sundance bought back, and that's
- 9 roughly 13 and a half thousand dollars of
- carrying charge at one and a half per month
- 11 -- percent per month as ordered by the
- judge. In addition on top of that, we have
- maintenance, keeping those boats up. We
- have insurance that is required on those
- boats, and we lost money on some of these
- boats, also. So our damages approach
- \$20,000 if you put that in along with the
- interest that was required.
- The other frustrating thing
- to us was that even though -- again, this
- thing moved from the Used Vehicle Commission
- to New Vehicle Commission, who unfortunately
- to this date still, in my opinion, does not
- have a good handle on the marine industry
- and getting the new licenses taken care of.

- Frustrating to us in the March 2010 boat
- show in the Superdome, Sundance boats was
- still displayed by Magnum Marine, which was
- out of Harvey. So we have Sundance that's,
- in theory, licensed -- or not even licensed
- in Louisiana anymore, yet they have a dealer
- in the New Orleans boat show in March of '10
- still selling Sundance boats. So real
- frustrating as a dealer saying, wait, they
- didn't live up to -- just basically just
- turn their nose at the Board and the order,
- and then were selling boats. I know the
- judgment came down that they owe \$2,000 a
- day to the Board for each and every day that
- they didn't buy back the boats, but this
- could have all been averted by just playing
- by the rules that the Board is here to
- enforce, the rules of the State of
- Louisiana, that say if they had bought back
- the boats for \$69,000, all of this wouldn't
- have gone on and this would have all been
- taken care of.
- So I appreciate Mr. Bell
- saying he is giving you financial statements
- which -- you know, I'm a CPA as a

```
profession.
                  I don't know if those financial
     statements are audited. I don't know that I
3
     would rely on those financial statements and
     I understand -- I appreciate Mr. Bell saying
     if he really had to pay a million and a half
     dollars, we know the marine industry isn't
7
     in good shape right now. I understand that.
8
     That's why so many dealers were required to
     get these boats bought back. It wasn't that
10
     Northlake said Sundance had an inferior
11
     product. We've never alleged any of that
12
     stuff, but -- and as you guys know and Mr.
13
     Bell would know, '08 and '09 when this
14
     started going on, when Textron Financial
15
     pulled out as the marine industry's floor
16
     company and GE Capital started tightening
17
     up, all of the dealers had requirements to
18
     reduce their inventory.
19
                   So the squeeze came from --
20
     and it wasn't Mr. Bell that created it, but
21
     the squeeze came down from Textron or from
22
     the floor plan companies that required us to
23
     reduce inventory. So dealers if you had
```

might have to cut back to two. So you would

three million dollars in inventory, you

24

25

- have to start reducing inventory. There was
- never any allegation that it was an inferior
- product or nothing like that. It was, we
- need these -- this stuff bought back. And
- most manufacturers either reduced our
- inventory or did buy back and that went
- across industry-wide. All of this could
- have been averted where we are right now had
- ⁹ the boats been timely bought back as ordered
- by the Board, you know, over two years ago.
- And Mr. Bell did come to a couple of
- hearings. So that's kind of where we are
- right now. We at Northlake have about
- 14 \$20,000 -- right at \$20,000 of damages that
- 15 I documented.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Mr. Bell, do you have any
- rebuttal to that?
- MR. BELL:
- I think we actually did
- ²¹ buy --
- MR. LAMARTINA:
- They bought back one boat on
- November 13, 430 days after the required --
- MR. BELL:

- We did buy a license in 2010
- from the new commission or whatever it's
- 3 called now, but we have since let that
- ⁴ lapse.
- 5 So, Joey, you are saying you
- 6 need 20?
- MR. LAMARTINA:
- Yes. I mean, just the
- interest is \$13,530. I'm not going to say
- we lost thousands of dollars. We lost
- anywhere from \$300 something to \$1,000 on
- the deal, but we just -- it gets difficult
- when you are selling the boats and you are
- not a dealer anymore. So now your customers
- say, well, you're not a dealer, what do I do
- for warranty, and so forth. So we just --
- basically just tried to move the inventory
- and cut the losses. I'm not going to
- represent that on a \$10,000 boat we lost
- ²⁰ \$4,000. I have the detail of what it cost
- us to sell a boat and rig it and put the
- battery and our actual cost of what we sold
- it for.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Mr. Hallack, you and I were,

- I guess, the only two -- maybe Ms. Baron,
- you were here during that time.
- MR. HALLACK:
- Sheri Morris was here, also.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- 6 Right.
 - MR. HALLACK:
- I think it's going to be
- 9 something that we are going to have to take
- a closer look at because we are talking
- about a lot of money. I know that when we
- tackled the issue of whether the Commission
- was going to pursue this, I know some of the
- 14 Commissioners, their first thoughts were how
- do we take care of the dealer in this
- situation because it was the dealer that was
- the Commissioners' first concern and not so
- much the \$2,000 per day. If we look at the
- 19 \$2,000 per day, I think that begins to run
- March 19, 2008 and will end November 13,
- 2009, according to what Mr. LaMartina has
- just told you, so \$2,000 per day for that
- many days. I don't know if anybody has
- ²⁴ actually calculated that.
- MR. PARNETIT:

- 1 It was March 17, 2009 when
- the hearing was. So that's roughly about
- six months that they are talking about that
- it wasn't bought back.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- So we are talking about
- ⁷ \$360,000 roughly without calculation.
- MR. HALLACK:
- If it's six months from March
- 17, 2008 to November 2009, that's more like
- a year and six months, right?
- MR. PARNELL:
- No, March of '09 through
- October 29 of '09, from what I understand.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- So six months, 360 grand.
- Any other recitals or
- anything?
- MR. HALLACK:
- Well, I mean, like I said,
- it's a lot of money and, therefore, I think
- we need to get some better financial
- statements from Mr. Bell to consider what we
- are going to do. He's only offering to pay
- the attorney fees that are five. He may

- send something to Mr. LaMartina, we don't
- know, but I think we better get some better
- financial statements, maybe look at some
- other financial records, income tax returns
- or something like that before you make a
- decision based on a threat of bankruptcy.
- 7 MR. DUPLESSIS:
- This is not an agenda item.
- ⁹ This has turned into an agenda item from
- public comments, but I think it's going to
- be an agenda item next month.
- 12 Comments from the
- 13 Commissioners or questions to Mr. Bell or
- Mr. LaMartina or Mr. Hallack?
- MR. BREWER:
- Was there originally a
- restocking charge for the manufacturer to
- pick up these boats, how does that work?
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Actually, the law says it
- goes back two model years and unlike the car
- industry, it's very difficult. The boat
- manufacturers have blatant liberty on
- extending model years just like the RV
- dealers. You literally could have a 2013

- $^{
 m l}$ $^{
 m model}$ in 2011 or even worse. So, basically,
- we always have a hard time determining that,
- because it doesn't have the Department of
- ⁴ Transportation mandate as far as we know.
- 5 So -- and we have asked the industry -- we
- 6 met with the industry and they are on their
- own reign there. So, basically, what we
- have interpreted is that it's two years from
- the time of receipt is the amount of -- are
- the boats that qualify for re-purchase. So,
- basically, if you took delivery of it in
- November of '09, you could still ask for
- re-purchase in November of 2011. It's our
- interpretation.
- Just so you'll know, this
- case has been all the way to the Louisiana
- 17 Court of Appeals, which I believe Mr.
- Hallack represented us at, and we won that
- decision. So the only other alternative is
- either to take a writ to the Supreme Court,
- which I don't think they are going to accept
- because this thing is -- this type of case
- has been ruled on before to ask for
- forgiveness. So I think that's where we are
- 25 at this point.

1 Any other Commissioners? 2 MR. TURNER: 3 Well, I agree with Mr. Hallack that we need to take a more 5 independent look at the financial situation 6 of Sundance. I don't think we can just accept a financial report from the president 8 of Sundance who has neglected his responsibility for two years or so now. 10 And, personally, if bankruptcy is where he 11 is going, maybe that's a good thing. 12 won't do this to another dealer in another 13 state. Who knows what's going on with other 14 states he is licensed in and operating in, 15 now. 16 MR. BELL: 17 It's a little bit unique. 18 think this is the only -- we do business in 19 about 26 states and this is the only state 20 that we have sort of this whole licensing 21 and commission type thing. We don't have --22 MR. TURNER: 23 You didn't fulfill your 24 obligation, sir. 25 MR. BELL:

```
1
                   I'm just saying we --
     Louisiana is a real unique situation with
     the licensing type thing and the dealer
     laws. We just don't see that in other
5
              So it's a unique situation for us
     here. We have been doing business in
     Louisiana since 1994 when I started the
     company. We have now let our license lapse
     with the New Vehicle Commission. At this
10
     point, we don't have any dealers in
11
     Louisiana and we are not going to actively
12
     seek any, you know, for the time being.
13
     is that forever or ten years, you know, the
14
     rest of my life, I don't know. But we are
15
     just not currently looking to do business
16
     with dealers in Louisiana. It makes it a
17
     little difficult, because we have dealers in
18
     Texas, but that's just where we are at with
19
     it.
20
               MR. DUPLESSIS:
21
                    Mr. LaMartina.
22
               MR. LAMARTINA:
23
                    With all due respect, I
24
     appreciate what Mr. Bell is saying. He has
```

been doing business in Louisiana since 1994.

25

- This was a buy back ordered in 2008, some 14
- vears down the road after Sundance was given
- the opportunity of two hearings before the
- Board and took the time of the Board two
- times and the Board was clear saying the law
- says you have to buy back these boats.
- So in 14 years of making
- 8 profits from dealers in the State of
- ⁹ Louisiana, now we are saying 14 years later,
- two independent hearings of the Board saying
- you need to buy back these boats. Instead,
- we drag on. We have to go to court and have
- to spend money in court doing all of this
- stuff. You know, at the time we were
- talking \$69,000 worth of boats. That's not
- a lot of money. We have had inventory
- bought back \$300,000, \$400,000 of boats
- bought back. So it wasn't like we were
- charging Sundance to buy back a half million
- dollars of boats. It was a relatively small
- amount of boats that we are talking about,
- relatively a small amount of money at the
- time. Instead, this has just gone on and on
- and on.

25

And I appreciate Louisiana

- may have some unique laws, but they are the
- laws of Louisiana and if you were operating
- 3 from 1994 through '08, you are under those
- laws and you profited under those laws by
- 5 having dealers. Again, this could all have
- been averted had the ruling -- I mean, had
- ⁷ the laws been followed when requested.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- 9 Okay. Any other
- 10 Commissioners?
- 11 (No response.)
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Let me say this. I was
- around and I'm familiar with the laws on the
- new car side and on the boat side. We met
- with the marine industry. We're not going
- to discuss that. We don't govern that body
- any longer and that's the New Car
- 19 Commission's side, the new vehicle side, to
- hash all of that out. I think what is going
- to be an obligation upon us is we are going
- to -- obviously, it's not an agenda item.
- We are not going to discuss this anymore
- today.
- If you would like, Mr. Bell,

```
you can ask for an agenda item from our
Executive Director, Mr. Parnell.
```

- We cannot order restitution,
- but we can order enforcement. And I
- ⁵ remember that -- if I recall, you were
- somewhat arrogant in your position of not
- ⁷ re-purchasing the boats. You disagreed with
- the law, which doesn't matter. I mean, this
- ⁹ was the order. We went all the way to the
- 10 Court of Appeals. I would encourage you to
- talk to Mr. LaMartina and Mr. Hallack and
- Mr. Parnell here and for the next meeting,
- come back with a proposal that we think that
- would be acceptable. Do we want to put you
- out of business? No. Will we enforce this?
- We very well may. But we are going to give
- you an opportunity to come up with a
- reasonable proposal and if it's attorneys'
- fees only, it's not going to work. But I'm
- going to let these gentlemen engage in
- active communication and try to settle this
- matter reasonably without sinking your boat.
- MR. BELL:
- Okay.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:

- I would expect, Mr. Parnell,
- that it would at least include a two year
- voluntary surrender of the license in the
- State of Louisiana.
- MR. HALLACK:
- And, honestly, when I said
- ⁷ 1.2 million dollars, that was me not knowing
- that you had re-purchased that unit in
- 9 November of 2009. So it's substantially
- 10 less.
- MR. BELL:
- I kept wondering about that.
- I kept seeing it but thinking it was less.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- We are going to go ahead and
- move on with the agenda. I think we have
- worn this subject out. Thank y'all both for
- vour time.
- MR. BELL:
- Are you going to e-mail me?
- MR. HALLACK:
- Yes, sir.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Any other items for
- discussion?

```
1
                    (No response.)
2
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
 3
                    Approval of the minutes of
     the previous meeting.
                MR. CORMIER:
                    I make a motion to approve.
 7
                MR. TURNER:
                    I second.
 9
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
10
                    So moved.
11
                    Any opposed?
12
                     (No response.)
13
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
14
                    Payment of invoices for Sheri
15
     Morris and attorney Robert Hallack. If you
16
     will flip over.
17
                MR. PARNELL:
18
                    We skipped number one, review
     of the financial report for March 2011, if
19
20
     we can go ahead and go through that.
21
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
                     I'm sorry, my mistake.
22
23
                MR. PARNELL:
24
                     Turn, if you will, and we
25
     will go ahead and go through our March 2011
```

- financial statement.
- MS. ANDERSON:
- Good morning. After all of
- that, this is just going to be easy. If you
- will turn in your packet to the balance
- sheet, which is on Page 1 of the financial
- ⁷ statements. Cash balance at the end of
- ⁸ March was \$841,375. Further down, the
- 9 uncollectible amount on the accounts
- receivable online to date right now is
- \$5,179. It's down a little bit. We are
- collecting a little bit here and there on
- 13 that.
- In your liability section,
- there's a higher amount in the health
- insurance liability and what's happening
- there is, this month we accrued two months
- of the retirees' liability. Previously up
- until now, the retirees' liability was just
- being accrued in the month that it was being
- paid and really it should be like the
- employees' liability. It should be accrued
- in the month prior to the month we pay it.
- So that's -- it's not really an increased
- amount, just you've got two months in there.

1 And if you will turn over to 2 page -- the following page -- I'm sorry, to the monthly comparison report on Pages 3 and At the bottom of Page 3, our month to 5 date revenues were approximately the same as last year, but the year-to-date revenues are 7 about \$79,000 higher than the same period last year, the year to date there. On Pages 4 and 5 of your monthly comparison, in the 10 salaries and benefits, you will see that the 11 March salary -- March 2011 is higher than 12 March 2010. And what happened there is, in 13 2010 at -- the Commission had amended the 14 pay at the -- after conferring with our 15 auditor, we had been paying right up to the 16 pay date each pay period and we were wanting 17 to back that up so that each pay period we 18 would pay through the following -- through 19 the prior Friday, and so in order to do 20 that, we only paid nine days in those pay 21 So that made your total regular periods. 22 salaries and your total benefits, which are 23 based on the salaries, lower in 2010. 24 Actually, there was no difference in the 25 salaries, but just in how we paid them last

¹ year.

24

25

And on Page 5, the -- your 3 revenue over expenditures for the month was a \$22,600 loss, but the year-to-date net revenues over expenses was \$295 -- \$290,500, which is almost double last year's history. If you will turn on to Pages 6 and 8 are your budget balance reports. the bottom right-hand corner, your revenues, 10 we have 13.5 percent of the budgeted 11 revenues left to collect in the remaining 12 months of this year, which is pretty much on 13 target. And on Pages 7 and 8 are your 14 expenditures and the expenditures -- at this 15 time, we should be -- have 25 percent of the 16 expenses yet to expend for this year and, 17 actually, we have 35. So we are in real 18 good shape there. 19 On to the next report, your 20 three month report, which shows the quarter 21 -- first quarter 2011, your revenues to 22 expenditure again was \$290,000 and, you 23 know, as you would expect, your revenues

down at the end of your licensing year.

salaries showed that increase, that extra

- money in the group benefits there. On to
- the next report, the revenue and expenditure
- report, again, there's your net revenue to
- 4 expenses, \$290,460. And on the following
- 5 page is your certificate of deposit summary.
- ⁶ There are no changes to this report for
- March, but in April, our CDs with the
- ⁸ Landmark Bank will come up on the 23rd and
- the 30th and we probably will allow those to
- 10 rollover. Landmark Bank gives their return
- 11 -- their renewing customers 30 basis points.
- So you are not -- really are not going to
- find -- we checked a couple of banks and the
- rates at other banks are between one -- less
- than one percent or up to 1.15 percent. So
- for us to get 1.45, I don't think we are
- going to beat that anywhere. And on your
- last page, your accounts receivable report
- is the same as it was last month.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Ms. Baron, we are going to
- try to collect on this Midcity?
- MS. BARON:
- We have done a claim against
- the surety on Midcity and I got a letter

- from the bond company saying that they were
- looking into it. They were checking with
- the principal to see if he was going to be
- able to pay that out of his pocket and if
- not, they will be cutting us a check for the
- full \$20,000, and the remaining \$2,200 has
- been turned over. Mr. Hallack did a
- 8 collection letter to Midcity for the
- 9 remaining \$2,200. He did a collection
- letter and that has been sent to Midcity as
- 11 well.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Very good.
- Questions, gentlemen?
- MR. TURNER:
- I have a question about the
- Midcity deal. It was on the local news last
- week that Midcity was shut down. Can you
- tell me who shut them down, did we send the
- State Police or just how did that go down?
- I mean, they are still operating.
- MS. BARON:
- Stacy went by there and I
- kept getting phone calls that said they were
- not on the lot any longer and Stacy went by

- and saw that the lot had been abandoned and
- she did a five day notice and they were shut
- 3 down as of March 31st in the system, and so
- they are no longer -- they are revoked
- 5 completely, because the five day notice came
- due on March 31st.
- 7 MR. DUPLESSIS:
 - Very good.
- Any more questions?
- MS. ANDERSON:
- I also wanted to present --
- on the next few pages, Pages 15 through 18,
- 13 I would like to propose that the Commission
- look at this report as a replacement to the
- three reports, the monthly comparison, the
- budget balance, and the three month
- comparison report. All three of those
- reports repeat some of the same items. They
- repeat the monthly -- month-to-date amounts,
- 20 the year-to-date amounts. This report that
- I have here would combine all of those
- reports. So you go from having nine pages
- of reports to four pages of reports. And I
- think it's easier to review this because you
- can see all of the comparisons on one page

- per line item of the budget. So if you
- could look at that and maybe we can talk
- about whether you think that would suffice
- 4 to replace those reports. So you would
- still be getting the balance sheet. You
- 6 would get this in lieu of all of the income
- statement type reports, and then you would
- still get your CD report and the accounts
- 9 receivable and those types of reports.

MR. PARNELL:

- 11 Commissioners, I found that
- this was much more concise. It's easier to
- understand and read through it versus going
- through all of those pages because it's
- quite a lot of pages that we typically go
- through every month, but this will condense
- it down and thus we will have them -- pretty
- much all of those reports on these pages
- with the exception of maybe one or two
- items, but it's a lot of redundancy in all
- of those page reports. I sent a copy of it
- out to Commissioner Turner for his review
- and I think he had some positive words for
- ²⁴ it.

25

MR. TURNER:

```
1
                          I think that it makes
                    Yes.
     sense to condense everything and it's still
     giving us the same information, just I think
3
 4
     a cleaner format, easier to understand.
                MR. PARNELL:
                    So if this is something that
     you, as Commissioners, would like to see, we
 8
     can move forward with all the reports
     henceforth in this format, if you will, or
10
     if you want to keep it the way it is, that's
11
     fine. It's just really up to you, how you
12
     want it presented to you.
13
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
14
                    Mr. Turner, do you want to
15
     make a motion?
16
                MR. TURNER:
17
                    I make a motion that we adopt
18
     this new report.
19
                MR. BREWER:
20
                    I second.
21
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
22
                    All in favor?
23
                     (All "Aye" responses.)
24
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
25
                    Opposed?
```

Page 37 (No response.) MR. DUPLESSIS: 3 The motion carries. Very good. 5 MS. ANDERSON: Thank you. MR. DUPLESSIS: Anything else? (No response.) 10 MR. DUPLESSIS: 11 I quess payment of Okav. 12 invoices for Ms. Morris and Mr. Hallack. 13 MR. PARNELL: 14 Commissioners, this is our 15 payment of invoices for March 2011. 16 First on the list is attorney Sheri 17 If you will, in your packets if you Morris. 18 notice, the balance due for this billing 19 period is \$10,627.50. I have gone through 20 -- line item by line item through this --21 her document that she supplied to us, 22 verified the hours and the amount per hour. 23 If you notice, the majority of this --24 without going into great detail, the 25 majority of this bill \$9,800 of this bill is

- in reference to the Civil Service concern
- that we were dealing with in the past.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Any discussion on Ms. Morris'
- 5 invoice? She is not here today. She is on
- an -- out with a conflict. I did speak with
- her about this and that seems to be the
- 8 case. The majority of the billing was on
- ⁹ the Civil Service action, which has been
- resolved; is that correct?
- MR. PARNELL:
- That's correct.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Thank you.
- Any questions or discussion?
- (No response.)
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Motion to accept?
- MR. CORMIER:
- I make a motion to approve
- Ms. Morris' invoice.
- MR. SMITH:
- I second.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- All in favor?

```
Page 40
1
                     (No response.)
                MR. PARNELL:
3
                     That balance due on this one
 4
     is $3,412.50.
 5
                MR. CORMTER:
 б
                     I make a motion to approve
 7
     Mr. Hallack's invoice.
                MR. SMITH:
                     I'll second it.
10
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
11
                     All in favor?
12
                     (All "Aye" responses.)
13
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
14
                     Opposed?
15
                     (No response.)
16
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
17
                     The motion carries.
18
                     Thank you, Mr. Hallack.
                                                Mr.
19
     Hallack, I quess legal matters and pending
20
     litigation, would you tell us about -- I
21
     guess we have kind of worn the Sundance
22
     thing out.
23
                MR. HALLACK:
24
                     Well, we did appear in court.
25
     Derek and I went to Covington on March 30th
```

- for the hearing and filed a rule to make the
- judgment executory -- or the order
- executory. The Commission, a state agency,
- does not have the power to enforce its own
- orders. You have to go to District Court to
- get that done. And so the judge approved
- our order and made it executory, which means
- that we can now enforce that judgment. It's
- ⁹ a judgment -- the order of the Commission is
- now a judgment of the State of Louisiana and
- with that, full faith and credit clauses of
- the United States Constitution, any state
- where they do business, any state where they
- have assets, we can now take that judgment
- and enforce it in another state. If he has
- an operation in Georgia, if he has an
- operation in Florida, we can take that
- judgment and the courts in Florida and in
- 19 Georgia have to recognize that judgment and
- allow us to enforce it. So I do not have
- licenses in Florida or Georgia. So that
- would require you to retain another lawyer.
- 23 A lot of times when you have a judgment to
- qo enforce in some other state, they will do
- it on a contingency basis, which means they

- take a percentage of what they recover for
- you as opposed to paying them an hourly
- 3 rate.
- We haven't gotten that far,
- ⁵ yet. I think Mr. Turner was on the road to
- 6 making a motion that we try to get more
- financial records from Sundance before we
- make a decision on whether to either accept
- ⁹ what he is offering to pay or to enforce it
- in another state. When I originally wrote
- the letter some years ago saying it was
- going to be 1.2 million, I did not know that
- he had made a re-purchase in November of
- 2009. So it was only six months as opposed
- to two years. So, I mean, six months that
- is still going to be around \$700,000 maybe
- -- no.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Thirty days.
- MR. PARNELL:
- Commissioners, shortly after
- Mr. Hallack and I went to court, I did
- receive a phone call from Mr. Bell. He
- wanted to come sit down and talk with me.
- So he came this morning and sat with me for

- a little while and just kind of --
- basically, the gist of what we talked about
- is what he talked about in front of you all
- and I told him that we wanted to make sure
- 5 he was on the agenda. So he came and voiced
- that to you as Commissioners. So I think
- ⁷ Mr. Turner -- Commissioner Turner, I should
- say, as he said correctly, and we should
- 9 look for -- look a little bit deeper into
- the assets, asset confirmation because this
- document he just supplied to me this
- morning. How real it is, I wouldn't know.
- I do have a person that we have kind of
- talked to briefly about looking at the
- assets for us and so we are going to look to
- go ahead and do that this coming week, so we
- can kind of know exactly where they stand.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Mr. Hallack, I think at this
- point, we can make any motion or any
- discussion on that if we think it requires a
- motion for a certified document, financial
- statements, but if he brings fraudulent
- financial statements to this Commission,
- it's going to not be good for him.

1 MR. HALLACK: 2 But I think what Mr. No. 3 Turner said is probably what we need to do. We need to look at his financial statements and report back to y'all next month and what we find. 7 MR. DUPLESSIS: 8 I would agree. I mean, 9 that's going to be up to y'all. 10 MR. TURNER: 11 We need to look at what our 12 options are. We are going to -- if we are 13 going to force them into bankruptcy, what 14 does that mean? 15 The bankruptcy laws are 16 different than they used to be. You still 17 have to pay even if you go bankrupt. 18 MR. HATTACK: 19 Well, I think one of the key

Well, I think one of the key things he said was that he could not pay \$300,000. That would bankrupt him. So if we are talking about \$60,000, I think he probably has that. I would venture to say he probably paid his lawyers 30 to 50 to defend this. So I would believe that he's

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 probably got \$60,000. If push came to
- shove, I think he would pay it.
- MR. BREWER:
- I had a feeling he was trying
- to offer the man \$20,000.
- MR. HALLACK:
- That's what it looked like.
- ⁸ And if -- he's not required to do that. Mr.
- ⁹ LaMartina was asking for damages. He said
- that the real loss in the penalty, the one
- and a half percent per month, he valued that
- at \$13,000. The rest were damages for
- selling his boats at a reduced rate. So I
- think if we are able to get Mr. LaMartina
- \$10,000, I think that he would be happy with
- that. And for ourselves, I don't see why he
- shouldn't pay the judgment in full. If it's
- only like \$60,000, that shouldn't be too
- much to handle, because he was looking at it
- from the standpoint that this was going to
- be \$200,000 to \$300,000.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Now, the judgment is \$60,000.
- MR. HALLACK:
- I'm sorry. I made another

- mistake.
- MR. PARNELL:
- The judgment itself is since
- 4 March 17, 2009, \$2,000 per day, beginning
- 5 that day until the time at which -- that he
- 6 had purchased back the inventory from
- Northlake. For what he supplied to us this
- morning, that was done in -- October 29 of
- 9 '09.
- Was that confirmed?
- MR. HALLACK:
- Well, actually, he said
- November 14 when the actual re-purchase was
- 14 made.
- MR. TURNER:
- He only purchased one boat
- back.
- MR. HALLACK:
- Right, because that's all
- that he had left.
- MR. TURNER:
- The dealer got rid of them
- himself.
- MR. HALLACK:
- That's right.

```
1
               MR. DUPLESSIS:
                   Well, make no mistake, his
3
     arrogance in this room -- and you and I, and
     I believe Ms. Baron, were the only ones that
5
     are currently here. Ms. Morris was present,
6
     but she is not here. Mr. Floyd was here.
                    Mr. Floyd, do you remember?
               MR. FLOYD:
                    He was definitely opposed the
10
     law.
11
               MR. PARNELL:
12
                    Just the law itself.
13
               MR. FLOYD:
14
                        We will stop selling
15
             It's no big deal.
     boats.
16
                MS. BARON:
17
                    Yes.
                          That's exactly what --
18
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
19
                    That was his thoughts, you
20
     can't reach me in Georgia and find me. So,
21
     you know, I think it's simple.
                                       If we want
22
     to put some motions in place to the
23
     authenticity of the certified public account
24
     statements, I don't think -- he didn't sign
25
     that one, by the way, which I found
```

- interesting, and I think we can negotiate a
- way. It's not our problem -- our issue with
- this Commission any longer. But, you know,
- it was a tough time. I will say that. He
- 5 handled it poorly. We cannot order
- 6 restitution to Mr. LaMartina. It's not
- within the powers of this Commission, but
- 8 certainly if they bring a reasonable
- 9 settlement to the table, I think as
- reasonable people, we will listen.
- MR. TURNER:
- Agreed.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- I don't think we want the
- reputation of putting a boat dealer out,
- even if he was a horse's behind, you know,
- but we still have a job to do. I will
- agree, all the way to the point of Court of
- 19 Appeals. So he is out of bullets.
- So, Mr. Turner, do you have a
- 21 motion for us?
- MR. TURNER:
- I make a motion that we try
- to get certified financials on Sundance
- Boats.

```
Page 49
1
                MR. CORMIER:
 2
                    I second.
 3
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
                    Any discussion?
 5
                     (No response.)
 հ
                MR. DUPLESSIS.
 7
                    All in favor?
 8
                     (All "Aye" responses.)
 9
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
10
                    Opposed?
11
                     (No response.)
12
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
13
                    The motion carries.
14
                    Mr. Parnell, try to see if we
15
     can't work that out somehow. We'll collect
16
     some of the fine and move on.
17
                    Okav.
                            Policy and procedures,
18
     the resolution regarding the licensing of
19
     salespeople.
                   If you will turn in your
20
     binders to the proposed policy and procedure
21
     #98, it's allowing up to 30 days for a
22
     licensee to submit for an application for a
23
     salesperson.
24
                MR. PARNELL:
25
                     This is what we did last
```

- month at our Commission meeting. Prior to
- that, it was 15 days and I think
- Commissioner Turner and Chairman Robinson,
- 4 they wanted to go to 30 days and made a
- ⁵ motion and it was approved. So this is the
- feresolution that was actually put it in
- ⁷ effect.
- MR. TURNER:
- I make a motion that we
- accept it.
- MR. CORMIER:
- Second.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- All in favor?
- (All "Aye" responses.)
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Opposed?
- (No response.)
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- The motion carries.
- Derek, this is something that
- maybe Mr. Hallack can shed light. This is
- something we probably need to promulgate for
- ²⁴ a rule.
- MR. HALLACK:

- Oh, yes. This is absolutely
- contrary to the statute.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- It is, but I don't think
- there's any opposition that really harms any
- dealers, but I would like to put it out for
- 7 rule and that would be -- we'll just
- schedule it for a ruling. Okay. Very good.
- Executive Director's report,
- Mr. Parnell.
- MR. PARNELL:
- If you'll turn with me -- I
- have some reports that I have been supplying
- to you as it relates to the review of the
- complaint totals that we have been
- receiving. The first item is the alleged
- issue count for the month of May. If you
- notice, it's 82 alleged issues for the month
- that came in. Thirty are refunds. Fifteen
- of those are non-delivery of title. That
- kind of switched places there. Typically,
- the largest number that we would have is
- non-delivery of title and not refunds.
- If you turn with me to the
- second page there, the case report, it kind

- of lets you see what was assigned during the
- month to each investigator. Once we submit
- once the documents come in, I do a review
- of them. I'll look at it and if it's
- 5 something that needs to come before the
- 6 Commission, I will bring it before the
- 7 Commission. If not, I'll put a violation
- out there and that total -- it goes into a
- separate area where that total shows up. So
- the total assigned cases is 67 for the
- month. Total completed cases were 19 for
- the month. Open cases are 48 currently.
- The next page shows you the
- total cases that were closed during the
- month. As we say, this is an ongoing thing.
- Most of the cases are not resolved within
- one single 30 day period. So that's why
- this number is cited on closing cases versus
- what you see on the document prior to that
- of 19, which is 56. Fifty-six total cases
- were closed, and the next item on there is
- basically the same thing. It just shows a
- break out of that and the comparison of what
- happened last year around this time. I have
- been doing a better job of going into the

- system and locating exactly the day of
- completion of the case and making sure that
- these numbers are more actual or factual
- than what they were in the past. I'm
- 5 actually closing them out on the completed
- date versus what I was doing on the date
- ⁷ upon which I was closing it out, which would
- 8 kind of distort the numbers a little bit.
- 9 So this is what I am presenting to you for
- the complaints, complaint totals.
- Do we have any questions or
- concerns as it relates to that?
- (No response.)
- MR. PARNELL:
- All right. The next item
- that we are looking at is the renewal of
- professional contracts coming up at this
- point. At the end of the fiscal year is
- when we have to renew all of our contracts
- that we have for our professional, I quess,
- contractors, which includes our court
- reporter, both attorneys, our CPA, and the
- informational tech services, our IT guy.
- Currently, each of those -- each of the
- contracts -- I don't know if there are any

- changes that you as Commissioners would like
- to see moving forward with the contracts or
- as they are. If not, I'll go ahead and
- execute them as they are. Last year, I know
- we reduced -- it was \$60,000 for each
- attorney. We reduced that down to 40, which
- is a far better reasonable number. Neither
- of the attorneys at this point have gotten
- to that point. Mr. Hallack is -- of the
- \$40,000, he is at \$16,000 thus far for the
- 11 year. Attorney Morris at this time has
- \$12,000 for the year. So having that
- 13 flexibility doesn't mean necessarily that
- they are -- that we are allowing them to
- spend that amount, but if needed, we can go
- up to that point.
- So do we have any concerns
- 18 _ about the way the structure of some of the
- contracts are in place now or shall I move
- forward with just going ahead and renewing
- the contracts as they stand?
- MR. BREWER:
- I would suggest doing it like
- ²⁴ it is.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:

```
Derek, what is the -- are you
    happy with Mr. Horton's performance?
3
               MR. PARNELL:
                   I am. He has been working
5
     really well with us. I've been kind of
     putting a little more pressure on him, time
    pressure, information, just to really test
     him out to see if he is really capable,
    because I had been hearing things prior to
10
    my arrival that he may not be the person
11
     that we need to move forward with.
                                          I've
12
     been doing that. He has been responding
13
     very well. He has brought on some other
14
     individuals to help out. When he is not
15
     available immediately, he brings someone
16
     else in to come here and help us out with
17
     every issue that we have on the table.
18
     -- currently, I would like to continue
19
     moving forward with him.
20
               MR. DUPLESSIS:
21
                   Okay. Further discussion?
22
     Motion to accept?
23
               MR. ROY:
24
                   We need a motion to renew?
25
               MR. DUPLESSIS:
```

Page 56 1 Yes. MR. ROY: 3 I so move. MR. BREWER: Second. 6 MR. DUPLESSIS: 7 All in favor? 8 (All "Aye" responses.) 9 MR. DUPLESSIS: 10 Opposed? 11 (No response.) 12 MR. DUPLESSIS: 13 The motion carries. Very 14 good. 15 MR. PARNETT: 16 The next item is our staff 17 computers, the server. What I had proposed 18 to you all last month was basically just 19 letting you know that I want to go ahead and 20 move forward with obtaining our own server 21 The cost of that server for a one 22 year basis and upgrade and installation will 23 run around us around \$4,800. I want to make sure -- at this point, I really need to make 24 25 -- move forward with this, so that I can

- actually go ahead and get an upgrade with
- ² CAVU. They have been working real close
- with us and real well with us. I can't make
- that move until I make the adjustment on our
- 5 hardware that we have here, and so I want to
- go ahead within the next 15 or 20 days or so
- and go ahead and make that purchase of the
- 8 server.
- Also, we talked about getting
- laptops for our field investigators.
- Particularly, I need computers for
- everybody, yes, but immediately I think our
- field investigators. I need to get them
- something a little bit better than what they
- have. They are incredibly slower with it
- and breaking down on them quite a bit.
- Actually, as I stated to you probably about
- two or three months ago, that I don't know
- any surplus laptops here. You know, we had
- 13 investigators at one point, and so there
- were surplus laptops and laptops we can use
- parts. I have none right now four
- investigators, four laptops. That's it.
- And so -- and I really -- I need to make a
- 25 move on that within the next 30 days or so.

- 1 But I do want to get the server in place. I want to get it set up. I want to get the update on CAVU prior to making a move on the laptops, but I just 5 want to let you know that within the next 30 6 days, I really need to be moving on these items. Are there any concerns, 9 questions as it relates to that? 10 MR. DUPLESSIS: 11 So it is not like you need to 12 make an emergency purchase on the laptops? 13 MR. PARNELL: 14 It's not that bad right now, 15 but if one breaks, I need to do that. With 16 the amount of finance, it wouldn't be an 17 issue for me to do an emergency purchase 18 with the State. Their requirement as it 19 relates to the cost of it, I wouldn't need 20 to do very much to do that. Right now I'm 21 okay, but if something breaks, you know, 22 that's another story.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Well, you are going to have
- to get it fixed and make your move.

1 MR. PARNETIL: 2 Right, get something. 3 MR. DUPLESSIS: Do you have a window for us on the CAVU servers? MR. PARNETIL: 7 The server itself, it's going 8 to be \$4,866. As it relates to the upgrade of CAVU, the cost, that's the next phase 10 that I'm trying to work on right now. 11 don't think we have -- you don't have a 12 total on that, do you? 13 MS. ANDERSON: 14 On CAVU, no. 15 MR. TURNER: 16 We need a proposal. I can 17 give you an okay as far as the cost of the 18 laptops. 19 MR. PARNELL: 20 Okay. 21 MR. TURNER: 22 We discussed it, we haven't 23 seen the numbers. 24 MR. PARNELL: 25 I gave you the numbers last

- month. 2 MR. DUPLESSIS: 3 Yes. As far as T'm concerned, I think we need to look at going and get -- that affects no other items, the server and the CAVU. I mean, those are independent deals. Sir, a motion? 9 MR. PARNELL: 10 That's immediate. I have to 11 do that unless we are going to be in the 12 same position we were this year with 13 renewals. In order to get more automated, I 14 have to do the server and do the upgrade on 15 CAVU in order to do that. As we know --16 what is it, three years behind already on 17 the upgrade of CAVU. So it's -- some fixes 18 may be already done with the new upgrade in 19 the system that we run into problems with. 20 We don't know that until it actually comes 21 into play.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Mr. Turner, do you want to
- make a motion on that?
- MR. TURNER:

Betty D. Glissman, CCR (225) 754-8609

we also have it in the budget for next year

I'm sorry, I was going to say

24

25

- already. So we are just moving the
- timeframe up a little bit. That's all.
- Y'all already approved it.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- You know, my only concern is
- you and I were at the DMV meeting and our
- server needs to be changed dramatically.
- MR. PARNELL:
- ⁹ Yes.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Do you want to purchase the
- \$4,000 server, because the risk of that
- information highway in this session is going
- 14 to be --
- MR. PARNELL:
- I have to --
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Okay. It's a \$4,000 server.
- 19 It could always be daisy changed. That's
- not a problem.
- MR. PARNELL:
- The \$4,000 is to upgrade what
- 23 we are doing, how we are doing it. We
- will update it more and get away from
- so much paper. I have to do that. That's

```
1
     priority one as it relates to moving into --
                The server has to be done.
     forward.
                MR. TURNER:
                    Okay. Motion to upgrade the
5
     server, $4,800 cost --
                MR. PARNETT:
                    That's --
                MR. TURNER:
                    -- approximately.
10
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
11
                    Is there a second?
12
                MR. ROY:
13
                     Second.
14
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
15
                    All in favor?
16
                     (All "Aye" responses.)
17
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
18
                    Opposed?
19
                     (No response.)
20
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
21
                     Okay. You are on a roll.
22
                MR. PARNELL:
23
                     That concludes the Director's
24
     report for the month.
25
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
```

- Very good, thank you, sir.
- Legislative report, the last
- three weeks have been real interesting. You
- 4 may want to read through this and digest
- 5 this for a second before we --

MR. HALLACK:

- It's something that we should
- 9 probably oppose because all the bonds should
- ⁹ go through this office. Any bond that has
- anything to do with one of our dealers
- should come through this office and not
- through the State Treasurer. That's all I
- would like to say.

6

MR. DUPLESSIS:

- What this does is, I believe,
- Mr. Hallack, it is not correct procedure,
- has been reality to create, if you will, a
- legal monopoly by being the only admitted
- carrier, which is fine. We negotiated it
- last year in the session, that the white
- list would be appropriate, and that's fine.
- 22 And I think we can live with that. There
- have been very few complaints in this
- industry that I know of, or you can tell me
- otherwise, Ms. Baron, but we don't see a lot

- of complaints, but that doesn't mean that we
- don't need an insurance policy in place. As
- this is written, I would oppose it as well.
- 4 I think that SEADRA provides a good quality
- ⁵ product, they provide a good service. We
- know who they are. This is a whole
- different can of worms that I don't think
- benefits the consumer, is my guess. I think
- it benefits the dealers and I don't think
- it's a great savings, but it's going to make
- life complicated to reach that bond through
- the State Treasurer. I think it's best left
- in the hands of independent industry and
- insurance companies at this point. So y'all
- can read through this. It's very
- complicated and it's very -- this is a
- spider web of activity here.
- MR. PARNELL:
- This was something that was
- submitted on the 14th, which was last
- Thursday, and we just found out about it
- yesterday and this was my first time as
- well. I think everyone is kind of reading
- through it and seeing exactly what it is
- stating.

MR. TURNER:

Who is behind this?

MR. PARNELL:

I don't know exactly who.

⁵ The author is Representative Cortez. He is

from Lafayette, but who is behind that, I

don't know.

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DUPLESSIS:

9 Well, clearly, the dealers 10 that were upset with SEADRA or some of the 1.1 other insurance companies, and I think they 12 want to put it into some sort of bond deal 13 and make it like a fund where they could 14 probably seed it and eventually make it a 15 mutual where they would receive a dividend 16 back again, which is fine, but based on this 17 bill, this is not enough information needed.

MR. PARNELL:

It seems to be giving them an extra avenue, whereas just contingent liability insurance, the way it's stated in here, it's an or. They can still give them contingent liability insurance or they can move to the contingent liability bond, the way it's written. And I think the bond is

- \$450,000, but as Attorney Hallack stated, if
- it's dealing with our dealers and someone
- that we license, the bond should actually
- 4 come through this Commission versus the
- Department of Treasury. But, again, this
- was the first draft that was submitted out
- there and it's at -- it's not scheduled for
- hearing or anything as of yet, but it's
- something we really need to look at very
- closely.
- MR. HALLACK:
- The bond idea is not a bad
- idea, because part of our problem with
- contingent liability insurance is that it's
- not as available as it should be to our
- dealers. It's not a very lucrative
- insurance to sell. So it comes down to very
- few people actually sell it. So our dealers
- have a problem securing it. This gives them
- an alternative by putting up a bond as
- opposed to buying the insurance. The thing
- that I have the worst problem with is, this
- agency should enforce that bond. This is
- where you should be able to make a claim on
- that bond, not to the Treasurer.

```
MR. DUPLESSIS:
 2
                    The problem that I have with
 3
     it is, a bond, if it runs out, that's the
     limit of the bond.
               MR. HALLACK:
                    Well, according to the
 7
     statute, though, if they run out, they are
 8
     supposed to add to it.
 9
               MR. PARNELL:
10
                    Add another $200,000.
11
               MR. DUPLESSIS:
12
                    That's fine.
                                 One accident
13
     that's going to linger on and insurance is
14
     going to be per occurrence. So I think what
15
     we'll do is probably have Ms. Morris, who
16
     handles most of the legislation -- or, Mr.
17
     Hallack, you are pretty familiar with this,
18
     if you would like to ask them to submit a
19
     position paper or come see us and explain to
20
     us more at the next meeting, I think we can
21
     add that as an agenda item and let them have
22
     their explanation, so we can make a
23
     judgment.
24
               MR. HATILACK:
25
                    If I had to venture a guess
```

- where it came from, there was one dealer, he
- was a pretty big dealer in the Lafayette
- area, who was trying to put up a group that
- would make a self-insured fund. So he was
- 5 ___

6

- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Okay. Our other items, I
- think we should do by rule this year. The
- 9 landscape is quite unusual. Derek and
- myself have been -- along with Ms. Baron,
- have been to several meetings with the
- Department of Motor Vehicle. Licensing and
- title issues are going to change
- drastically.
- Some good news on the salvage
- end, they are desperately trying to work
- with the hulk laws to streamline those.
- They put that up at the legislative
- conference meeting and they are looking into
- unwinding all of your problems and issues
- 21 and layering them correctly. They are going
- to try to do away with T-tags, to some
- degree, some very interesting legislation
- there. It seems like T-tags is -- I mean,
- it's astounding. Those new systems it's

- going to put us on par with Texas and
- Florida, which I think are the cutting edge.
- One of the problems that we
- 4 have with enforcement is T-tags being
- 5 abused. Well, it's going to give a positive
- affirmation to a T-tag being an accurate
- T-tag and these guys not selling them as
- 8 cash. So they are looking at two or three
- 9 plans and I think the latest plan, if I'm
- not missing anything, Derek is going to go
- through the tag agents, but they are going
- to sell you a book of tags and-- or it's
- going to be one at a time. We talked about
- holographs. We talked about temp tags. We
- talked about printing them on your computer
- and put in the information where they can
- check the accuracy of these tags, and I
- think that's a problem.

MR. PARNELL:

- I don't think anything is
- concrete as of yet, the direction that they
- really want to go in. It's kind of a lot of
- ideas that they are throwing out there and
- trying to get some feedback on how the
- agencies are responding to them. But as

- Commissioner Duplessis said, it's something
- that they are going to go to a different
- method of doing it. So it's just finding
- out exactly and seeing exactly how will it
- benefit our licensees.
 - MR. DUPLESSIS:
- ⁷ Electronic titles as well.
- ⁸ You will see some of the plate legislation
- 9 cleanup. Trucks will have passenger car
- tags on them this year. One of proposals is
- to give us our own UD, used dealer plate,
- and I believe that's going to be done by
- color and prefix. They want to separate for
- investigative purposes, antique tag
- purposes, our plate from the new dealers,
- which is fine with us. I would assume -- I
- don't think there is any opposition there.
- What were the other pieces,
- 19 Derek?
- MR. PARNELL:
- I quess it all stems from the
- enforcement side of the thing with them,
- because they are having a lot of issues
- being able to monitor how many T-tags are
- out there. One thing I think they mentioned

- was that they would like the amount of --
- you can only get the amount of T-tags as you
- have, what was it, salesmen on staff.
 - MR. DUPLESSIS:
- 5 Dealer plates.
- 6 MR. PARNELL:
- Dealer plates, I'm sorry.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- 9 Dealer plates commemorate
- with your salesmen license. So it will cut
- back on the T-tag availability and it's
- going to become dealer plate abuse. So we
- are going to see some legislation there. I
- don't think we'll oppose any of that.
- MS. BARON:
- They are going to have to
- input -- the dealer is going to have to
- input that T-tag number into the computer
- system that is linked to Motor Vehicle and
- 20 that way they will be able to track it. So
- they are not going to be able to sell these
- illegal temp tags. They are not going to be
- able to make -- you know, use them over and
- over and change the numbers on them and
- stuff. They are going to be printed out,

- $^{
 m l}$ and then you will have to submit that per
- your computer -- via your computer to -- and
- it will link over to Motor Vehicle, so that
- 4 they can keep an audit going of who has got
- 5 what temp tag and it will cut down on some
- of these people driving around with
- excessive temp tags for a long, long period
- of time and not registering the vehicles.
- ⁹ It will take some stress off of the dealer
- as well as put the -- you know, put some of
- the stress on the consumer to go ahead and
- get that title and registration and
- everything done in a timely manner.
- They say that there would
- come a time when they would be able to go
- out there and send the letters. They will
- take the car registration and title for how
- many days and it is not registered in your
- name, what's the problem. So that takes a
- 20 lot of stress off the dealer as well. So I
- think once they get that in place, I think
- that's going to be really -- it will be
- nice.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- The investigators will take

- their new laptops and go right into the
- 2 server. They will be able to see -- they
- will pretty much -- I forgot the acronym,
- but the national -- we will have access --
- our investigators will have view only access
- of a lot of the dealer files, which we are
- working on now. So before they go into the
- ⁸ audit, they can check everything and they
- 9 can ask the proper questions and pertinent
- questions.
- A lot of this is consumer
- revenue driven, the guys that are running
- around not paying taxes. I guess the
- correlation they gave us was buying four
- wheelers in Mississippi or vice-versa,
- bringing them back and not paying tax. They
- are going to send a revenue notice and they
- are going to crack down on that. They have
- all the computers in place and now we are
- going to NHTSA. It's the national tag
- identification system and that's with
- Homeland Security and all that of that kind
- of thing as you are seeing it come out
- across the country. So it's just a role out
- that Louisiana is putting in this

```
1
     legislation. So it's pretty interesting
2
     stuff.
3
                    The other legislation we have
     is, I quess, the -- staggering our renewal
5
     base.
            We found that we could do this by
6
     policy and rule rather than legislation.
                                                 We
7
     are going to try to memorialize it in the
В
     legislation if we can attach it. Nobody is
     wanting to carry a single bill for that.
10
     we are going to look for an author to attach
11
     that, but that was really the only issue we
12
     had and we didn't think that we could handle
13
     with probably a policy or rule, but I think
14
     we can handle it with a rule as well.
15
                    Mr. Hallack, any other
16
     pressing issues out there?
17
               MR. HALLACK:
18
                    No, sir.
19
               MR. DUPLESSIS:
20
                    Okay.
21
               MR. TURNER:
22
                    Can I ask you a question?
```

Betty D. Glissman, CCR (225) 754-8609

MR. DUPLESSIS:

TURNER:

MR.

Yes, sir.

23

24

25

```
1
                   You touched on a minute ago
     the electronic titles that the DMV is using
3
     now. I'm not that big of a dealer, but it
     creates a cash flow problem for me that I
     see coming. If we trade a car in and the
     guy puts $13,000 on it to Ford Motor Credit
     and it's an electronic title, in the past we
     would have to FedEx a check or a cashier's
 9
     check and within a week they would FedEx
10
     back the title so you don't have to floor
11
     plan the car. Now, it's an electronic
12
             I've had a few of those and it takes
     title.
13
     three or four weeks to get them from Baton
14
           Once the lender receives the money,
     Rouge.
15
     they notify Baton Rouge to release the title
16
     and the lien is released, but there's a
17
             And I don't know if that's because
     delay.
18
     it's a new thing we are doing or -- because,
19
     if you get a duplicate title with a dealer,
20
     it only takes a week and you've got that
21
     duplicate title. So I know that they move
22
     faster than that, but if y'all -- have there
23
     been any discussions about the procedure
24
     with the electronic titles?
25
               MR. DUPLESSIS:
```

```
1
                   It's vast. It's going to be
     a three or four year process. Actually, the
3
     people that are behind this is a gentleman
     with the Department of Motor Vehicle Mick, I
5
     forget his last name.
6
               MS. BARON:
7
                   Gautreaux.
8
                  DUPLESSIS:
               MR.
9
                   Gautreaux, and Dave Casey of
10
     Casey and Casey Title. Mick is very
11
     aggressive. He's pushing a lot of stuff.
12
     We talked about that. But he is very
13
     reasonable to phase in. My proposal is to
14
     have Ms. Jarreau come back at the next
15
     meeting along with Mr. Casey, who is very
16
     user friendly, he is very dealer friendly,
17
     and have him explain the legislation and see
18
     how we can massage that. It's very early in
19
     the session.
                   So I would be very welcoming
20
     of those two to come in and spend maybe 20,
21
     30 minutes with all of the Commissioners
22
     asking how it affects them. They have some
23
     good stuff on your side, because it's -- the
24
     layers are complicated and they are trying
25
     to sort it out down to the salvage industry.
```

- And on the used car side, the problem is you
- can register one car, and then be in trouble
- getting your funds and transferring the
- 4 title work. That's the legitimate question.
- 5 So I think you need to pose that to them.
- 6 MR. TURNER:
- 7 That's a good idea to have
- 8 them come here.
- 9 MR. DUPLESSIS:
- 10 They are very -- they are an
- open book and they are trying hard to phase
- 12 them --
- MR. TURNER:
- I haven't seen Casey in a
- 15 long time.
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- He's a good guy.
- Any other questions,
- discussion?
- (No response.)
- MR. DUPLESSIS:
- Moving on with the agenda, I
- guess.
- Mr. Hallack, no hearings
- 25 today?

	3-
1	MR. HALLACK:
2	No, sir.
3	MR. DUPLESSIS:
4	Thank you.
5	Items for the next agenda, I
6	guess we better get Sundance Boats and we
7	will put Jill Jarreau from DMV and Dave
8	Casey on the agenda and we'll let them chat.
9	MR. FLOYD:
10	I have a question about our
11	hearing last meeting for Value Imports. How
12	is that coming because he is still selling
13	and still buying cars.
1.4	MR. PARNELL:
15	We just got the judgment.
16	MR. FLOYD:
17	It was just something I
18	thought that he appealed or something.
19	MR. PARNELL:
20	No, he didn't appeal it or
21	anything like that. We just got the
22	judgment in hand, I believe this morning.
23	So I kind of spoke with Attorney Morris
24	about it, and he came to our seminar the
25	other day as well and rather than make a big

- issue out of it, I just let him go ahead and
- once he is served with the judgment, and
- then I will kind of enforce it a little bit.
- 4 At this point, I still don't believe that he
- really understood what took place, because I
- think he's still trying to operate as
- ⁷ normal.
- MS. BARON:
- But he's got 30 days from the
- date he receives it. I will send it by
- certified mail today. He will have 30 days
- from the date he signs the certified. Do
- you want to have it hand delivered?
- MR. PARNELL:
- I will have June hand deliver
- ¹⁶ it.
- MS. BARON:
- So she will hand deliver it
- today or tomorrow and he will have 30 days.
- MR. ROY:
- I would like to thank Derek
- and recognize him for coming to speak to the
- Rotary Club up in Avoyelles and he did an
- excellent job. We had some quests as far as
- car dealerships and so forth and they threw

```
1
     some tough questions at him, but we really
     appreciate it. He did an excellent job.
3
               MR. DUPLESSIS:
                    Thank vou.
               MR. PARNELL:
                    I think we have in the
     audience Mr. Steve Duke, with the Louisiana
     Manufactured Housing Association. I would
     like to recognize him if he would like to
10
     say something.
11
               MR. DUKE:
12
                    I don't have anything to say.
13
     I'm just here to observe a little bit to
14
     help the parts people out and the factory
15
     built housing and so on. It's a pleasure to
16
     be here and if I can help you guys ever,
17
     holler at me and let me know.
18
                MR. DUPLESSIS:
19
                    Thank you very much for
20
             We appreciate it.
     coming.
21
                    All right.
22
                MR. TURNER:
23
                    Motion to adjourn.
24
           (Meeting adjourned at 10:49 a.m.)
```

25

	rage of a
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, BETTY D. GLISSMAN, Certified
4	Court Reporter, Certificate No. 86150, in
5	and for the State of Louisiana, do hereby
6	certify that the Louisiana Used Motor
7	Vehicle Commission April 18, 2011 meeting
8	was reported by me in the stenotype
9	reporting method, was prepared and
10	transcribed by me or under my personal
11	direction and supervision, and is a true and
12	correct transcript to the best of my ability
13	and understanding.
14	This April 25, 2011, Baton Rouge,
15	Louisiana.
16	
17	r
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	BETTY D. GLISSMAN, CCR
24	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
25	