1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3 ,	CHAIRMAN: MR. JOHN POTEET
5	COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: MR. TONY CORMIER
6	MR. RICKY DONNELL
7	MR. STEPHEN OLAVE
8	Mr. MATTHEW PEDERSON
9	MR. HENRY "DARTY" SMITH
10	MR. DINO TAYLOR
11	MR. RICHARD WATTS
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	REPRESENTING THE LOUISIANA USED MOTOR
17	VEHICLE COMMISSION:
18	
19	ROBERT W. HALLACK, ESQUIRE
20	HALLACK LAW OFFICE 13007 JUSTICE AVENUE
21	BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70816
22	SHERI MORRIS, ESQUIRE DAIGLE, FISSE & KESSENICH, PLC
23	8480 BLUEBONNET BOULEVARD, SUITE F BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70810
24	, =====================================
25	

1	MR. POTEET:
2	All right. We need hopefully,
3	you've all had a chance to read the minutes
4	of the last meeting. And if there are no
5	comments on that, I would entertain a
6	motion.
7	MR. SMITH:
8	I'll make a motion.
9	MR. OLAVE:
10	I second the motion, Mr.
11	Chairman.
12	MR. POTEET:
13	Okay. I have a motion and a
14	second.
15	All in favor, say, "Aye."
16	(All "Aye" responses.)
17	MR. POTEET:
18	Any opposed?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. POTEET:
21	The motion carries. All right.
22	Items for discussion. I'm going to start
23	off with financial matters.
24	MS. ANDERSON:
25	If you'll turn in your binders to
- 1	

the financial statements for the month of April. On the statement of net position, the current assets at the end of April were \$3,001,465. And of that, we had cash in the bank of \$2,276,993. The hearing fines were — balance was \$57,314. The non-current assets were the same as the prior month. And at the bottom of the page — first page and top of the second page, the current liabilities — total current liabilities were \$49,727. And of that, \$40,181 were benefits payable. The remainder of the accounts payable — the remainder of the payables were accounts payable claims against bond and the payroll taxes.

On page 2, the deferred revenue is our second year revenue and that totaled \$266,015. The remainder of the long-term liabilities didn't change. On page 3 is the statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position. The month-to-date revenues were higher than they were in 2017. And on the year to date, the -- all of the fees -- except the used dealer and the sales licenses -- all the other fees were higher

than last year. Fines and auction transaction fees were still lower.

On pages 4 and 5, the year-to-date expenses increased by roughly \$122,800 from 2017 and that was primarily due to salaries and related benefits. On page 5, the year-to-date position -- net position was \$259,918.

On page 6 is a four-year revenue comparison showing the -- our revenue by line item for the last four years. In the far right column, the percentage change is a comparison between the 2016 and the 2018 year-to-date.

Page 7 is a visual comparison of five of the license types. When comparing 2017-'18 to 2015-'16, these particular licenses, all of the fees increased year to date except for the dismantler fees. And on page 8 is the four-year expenditure comparison and comparing salaries and benefits to the reminder of the expenditures, which were operating expenses.

Page 9 is the certificate of deposit summary. During April, we had two

1	CDs that were at Landmark that weren't
2	getting very good interest rates. We
3	transferred those to JP Morgan Chase and we
4	got 1.67 and 1.70, respectively, on those
5	CDs. So the rates are beginning to look up.
6	On page 10, the accounts receivable, we
7	assessed \$1,800 in fines last month and we
8	collected those fines. The balance at the
9	end of April was \$57,314.
10	So unless there are any questions
11	about the financials, that concludes my
12	report on the financial statements.
13	MR. POTEET:
14	Any discussion, folks?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. POTEET:
17	Motion to approve.
18	MR. SMITH:
19	I make a motion.
20	MR. CORMIER:
21	Second.
22	MR. POTEET:
23	Darty. Second over here with
24	Tony.
25	All in favor, say, "Aye."
I	

1 (All "Aye" responses.) 2 MR. POTEET: 3 Anyone opposed? 4 (No response.) 5 MR. POTEET: 6 That motion carries. Okay. For 7 the budget amendments. 8 MS. ANDERSON: 9 All right. So this month, we are 10 going to amend the budget to bring it in 11 line. We pretty much do this every year. 12 The budget amendments are primarily to 13 increase and decrease expenditures among 14 various line items of the budget. There's no actual net increase of the budget. 15 16 If you look down at the bottom of 17 that page, the office salaries were lower than anticipated. When we prepare that 18 19 budget, and the field salaries are higher --20 both of those are the direct result of 21 either a vacancy in position or positions 22 that were filled. The retirement

contributions were higher than anticipated

decreased. The computer-related expenses

Betty D. Glissman, CCR

and group health insurance premiums

23

24

were lower due to the delay in replacing our licensing program. We budgeted some money in there for that.

Professional services were lower than expected, because we budget the entire contract amount and that was not exhausted. Under capital outlay, we replaced a number of computers in the -- for the licensing staff and the compliance investigators. Those computers were five to six years old, which is pretty much the max that you can get out of them. In fact, the investigators' computers are normally laptops. They say two to three years. If you get three years, you're doing good. So, again, the net result of the budget amendments was zero.

And unless there are any questions, that concludes my explanation about the budget amendments.

MR. POTEET:

Okay. Does anybody have any questions about the proposed budget amendments?

(No response.)

2425

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

		12
1	MR. POTEET:	
2	How about a motion to approve?	
3	MR. OLAVE:	
4	I make a motion, Mr. Chairman, we	
5	approve the budget amendment.	
6	MR. POTEET:	
7	Mr. Olave.	
8	I need a second.	
9	MR. DONNELL:	
10	Second.	
11	MR. POTEET:	
12	Second Mr. Donnell.	
13	All in favor, say, "Aye."	
14	(All "Aye" responses.)	
15	MR. POTEET:	
16	Any opposed?	
17	(No response.)	
18	MR. POTEET:	
19	All right. Next thing on the	
20	agenda is an invoice from our attorney,	
21	Sheri Morris.	
22	MR. PARNELL:	140
23	Commissioners, you'll find in	
24	your packet Attorney Morris's bill for March	
25	of 2018. I have reviewed the services	

1 performed and the accounting department has 2 reviewed the time calculations and they are 3 correct. Attorney Morris's bill of services 4 for March of 2018 was \$5,902.50. I ask that 5 you approve paying Attorney Morris's bill. 6 MR. TAYLOR: 7 I make a motion. 8 MR. OLAVE: 9 Second the motion. 10 MR. POTEET: 11 Second Mr. Olave. 12 All in favor, say, "Aye." 13 (All "Aye" responses.) 14 MR. DONNELL: 15 Who is -- who is Thomas 16 Devillier? 17 MS. MORRIS: 18 He's an associate in my office. 19 He works on the legislative staff and he helped draft our legislation this year. His 20 21 rate is lower than mine. 22 MR. POTEET: 23 All right. The next thing we've 24 got is a discussion led by Commissioner 25 Olave.

MR. OLAVE:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The reason I asked for this on the agenda, there's -- you know, we discussed some things in Executive Session last meeting that I'm not necessarily looking to relive and that's the purpose of the Executive Session the last meeting. But I have -- I have found myself in a -- in a position where -- and I've been vocal with the Chairman about this and with several other people about my involvement on this Commission. And I really feel like I want to be more involved. I need to be more involved.

And this discussion is borne from my wanting to be more involved and definitely I want to make sure that this is a positive approach to making a stronger Commission. But in the last couple months, I've heard -- you know, again, not the -- the rumor part of this doesn't bother me, but there have been rumors about different things pertaining to the New Car Commission, pertaining to our involvement with the New

Car Commission. I've heard some things about -- again, not responding to these rumors, but I'm using this as an example of what we can do positively. I've heard that, you know, we've had some issues with some people in LAIDA, which I believe, regardless of any of that, we need to be stronger with our LIADA, with our -- with our trade organization. Again, not responding to these rumors.

I also heard some things coming out of the investigative part of this, you know. Field investigators, I think, are doing a great job. They're doing a great job and the experience they have is invaluable. But things I've heard, there may be a disconnect between our industry and the enforcement part of these guys' experience, you know, the more recent ones.

Again, I don't think anything is wrong or broken, but I think a stronger Commission is borne out of rapport with our industry, you know. I would hate it if the first response to an investigator coming up is, oh, you know, here we go, we're in some

kind of trouble. It should be more, I believe -- and I'm not saying -- again, this is all responding to rumors and things like that, which I don't care about. But this is more moving forward in a positive approach to things.

So, you know, I've heard some things about contracts, changing contracts and everything. I remember when I was on the Commission originally, not saying we did everything right, because there was a lot of stuff that came out of that -- but some of the things we did right. We were involved. And I think that's where I feel a lack of involvement.

And I apologize to all the Commissioners, including the Chairman and the Executive Director, that I haven't been more involved until now. So, again, the approach to this is more positive. When there's -- when there's more involvement from our Commission, our Commissioners, as it pertains to contracts, which we used to be involved in, as it pertains to investigation, which we used to be involved

in in a very positive way, I think that could do nothing but make our Commission stronger, you know.

Again, not responding to the rumors, but I hear things from dealers, you know. They understand that we don't have the unity and the strength that we need to have moving forward, I believe. At least that's the sentiment, you know. It started with the finance sales license, you know. We heard from dealers that suggest that we need to do something, even though we all know that's more of a legislative issue with the New Car Commission than anything. But that doesn't mean that we can't unify and moving forward, have more transparency, have more involvement.

So that's what I'm appealing to all the Commissioners for today, an open discussion about, you know, contracts and our involvement in those, investigation and our involvement in that, and a -- and a good relationship with LIADA. I'm not saying we don't. I've just heard some things, not responding to those rumors or things that,

1 you know, I've heard, but, hey, how do we stop those things? How do we stop those 2 3 rumors, the conflicting information? 4 And, again, I'd like to present 5 that to the Commission for a discussion. I 6 know there's a lot of detailed involvement 7 there, but I think those three items, at 8 least in the short-term, we can be better 9 and make our Commission stronger. 10 that's what I'd like to present to the Commission today --11 12 MR. POTEET: 13 Okay. 14 MR. OLAVE: 15 -- a discussion for that. 16 MR. POTEET: 17 Okay. Anybody have anything 18 they'd like to say or respond? 19 MR. DONNELL: 20 Well, I'd like to know more what 21 we're paying. I mean, just like how much 2.2 did we spend on the software that we still 23 ain't got what we want? 24 MR. PARNELL:

I'll let Mona kind of go through

1	the details of what we what we spend with
2	the contracts, how much they are worth.
3	And, Mona, if you will.
4	MS. ANDERSON:
5	About the
6	MR. PARNELL:
7	She budgeted she budgeted
8	so she just discussed that as we she
9	budgeted a certain amount for the new
10	software and we haven't spent that money on
11	that software.
12	MR. DONNELL:
13	Right.
14	MR. PARNELL:
15	So that
16	MR. DONNELL:
17	But, in other words, the old
18	software, we it didn't work.
19	MR. PARNELL:
20	No. It's working. We're still
21	using it.
22	MR. DONNELL:
23	Okay.
24	MR. PARNELL:
25	We're still using that software.

MR. POTEET:

2

It works like a 235,000 mile car.

3

MR. DONNELL:

Ŭ

Yes.

4 5

MR. PARNELL:

6

7

Yes. We're still paying maintenance fees on that software. We still have to use that software until we get to a

8

point where we can move forward and purchase

10

a new -- a new software solution for the

11

MS. ANDERSON:

agency.

13

12

And we did pay a couple of months

14 15 on the GL Solutions software, but what we gained from that was, we gained a knowledge

16

of -- we prepared documents that -- they

17

call them Visios, that map out exactly every

18

process. And so, if you will, the processes

19

20

are complicated. I only have, you know,

21

like, three copies of this, but maybe if we'll kind put one at each table. The

22

process is to do each license. It's not

23

only a new application, it's a renewal

24

application. It's any kind of change, any

financial part of it. All of that -- each

one of those items is a whole process. You can see on those sheets, they call those Visios. But that's what they use to develop the program. And so we gained that and some valuable knowledge about what we wanted and what we needed to provide to a company.

We had negotiated a deal with that company to allow us to pay -- they wanted a whole part of the contract up front and we negotiated to pay a lesser amount and we also negotiated not to pay any further until they came up with a finished product. And they did have trouble following through on that.

We got a lot of good recommendations about that company. So that's just part of the deal when you go out and look at different programs. But that gave us that knowledge to research more companies and try to come up with some specifications. Those things you see there will help us come up with the specification for a new program.

MR. PARNELL:

To piggyback what she's saying,

that was invaluable for us on a knowledge 1 base-wise, because sitting there and going 2 3 through each one of those processes, you don't realize how in-depth and how robust 4 5 the program needs to be and what you're -what you're trying to get from the program. 6 The program that we currently have, it's actually really robust. But at the same time, it's not functioning in a way that we need to elevate our agency.

> If you thumb through each one of those pages, we sat with them and went through all of those steps, all of those They really need to know from if processes. somebody walks in the door, what happens all the way throughout the entire process on every single function that we have. those are the things that we've gotten.

> So what that -- what that means moving forward is, it will make our process a lot easier. We'll understand more from the software solution exactly what they can offer us, because we've gone through the set up pretty much already. Most of the software solutions, they're going to do the

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

same type of thing in their own way, but we already have that experience of going through that, gaining that knowledge, understanding more about what we're looking for moving forward, and it was invaluable, you know. So I think it was a help, yes.

MR. OLAVE:

And I can't speak for Ricky, but thank you for that, because based on what I just mentioned, that was a concern, too, because the information wasn't that detailed. And, now, I understand it better, which is exactly my point as to us being more involved with things of that nature, because, you know, if I just listen to what I heard, then we spent money on something that we didn't use. But I do appreciate your explanation and it's valid. But until we had that, we're -- I'm -- you know, I feel left -- not in the dark, but I'm just -- again, I'm left with the rumor and different information that I've heard, you So no problem with any of that. know.

I think you do a great job, and Ms. Mona, too. But it's the lack of

involvement by me. And that's my fault and I -- you know, I take responsibility for that and that's why I'd like to be more involved in things, whether there's a committee and I get appointed to it or not, doesn't matter. But I think that makes our Commission stronger to have more involvement in the operations of this. Not to direct you what to do; you do a great job. But just to have more involvement, and so we understand, especially when there is other information floating around out there that I believe weakens our Commission.

Because you know how rumors work. We hear information, and then somebody else takes it that maybe it is not open-minded or favorable to the Commission. And then that turns into something else and the next thing you know, you know, Rome is burning. So I'm trying to avoid that and respond to those things, not because of the information that I have as much as the lack of information that I feel like we have.

So, again, nothing is wrong. I just feel like this is the time for unity

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

and strength and that's the thing I've come up with. Now, again, not responding to all of the different things I've heard, but a positive approach to moving forward to make our Commission stronger and I hope -- I hope everybody appreciates that.

MR. POTEET:

Anybody else have anything? (No response.)

MR. POTEET:

Well, I'll make this comment. I've been on the Commission for, I think, eight years. I think -- personally, I think the Commission is as strong as it's ever been. I would say this, too. The -- any time anyone -- we try to be as transparent as possible. If you have an issue, call Derek. Derek runs the Commission day to day. We don't. Sometimes, I don't hear from him between Commission meetings, because there's nothing of importance. then, sometimes, I hear from him four, five, six times. So I would say, number one, if any Commissioner has any questions or suggestions, you should start with Derek.

_ _

If you hear something out there, some rumor -- this is -- this is what I do with rumors in my business. The first thing I tell somebody is -- I'll give you an example. One of my employees came to me and said, I heard on the lot that we're in the process of selling our business. And I said, well, I don't know how well you know me, but I probably would not be discussing my personal financial decisions with one of the drivers at the auction without talking to somebody else. So that rumor is simply that, it's a rumor.

So the first thing I tell people when I hear a rumor is where did you hear it? Where did you hear this from? Did you hear it from a reliable source, a credible source? If you didn't or if they say, they are saying or I heard some people say this, or, you know, if you just can't attach a name to it, I don't think it's worth anything.

So first -- so the first thing is Derek has got an open door policy. He talks to -- he will talk to any of us pretty much

at any time. Kim, too. The next thing is if you have any issues with the way we're running the meetings or anything we've worked on, I -- you can call me any time.

My phone number, I think you have, most of you. If you don't have my cell number, you can get it. If you don't have my business number, you can certainly easily get that.

And I'll talk to anybody about anything.

about, I think, personally -- I'm not going to put anybody on the spot, but I think we have a pretty good relationship with the LIADA. Certainly, certainly, better than it was eight years ago, 1,000 percent. And if you can remember eight years ago, the LIADA basically was trying to rip this Commission apart. So I think we have a good relationship with them.

As far as any issues with investigators or anything with dealers, I talk to dealers pretty extensively one day a week for sure and sometimes more than one day a week. And I don't hear too many complaints. The finance license is probably

98 percent of discussions that I have and this is way the questions are always worded, why don't you guys fix that? And then I go into a long discussion about why us guys don't fix that, you know. If I had my way, if I could wave a magic wand, that finance license would be in here today, absolutely. I think that's the way it should be. But it's going to take a long time to get that done, if it ever gets done.

MR. DONNELL:

Do you want me tell them about the New Car Commission meeting?

MR. POTEET:

Anything you want.

MR. DONNELL:

I was there two weeks ago. And Mr. Duplessis went down and talked with Ray Brandt, the Chairman there, about discussing the sales finance license. Of course, he told me a little extra, too. But, anyway, Ray thought it was a good idea -- make a long story short, Ray thought it was a good idea. He asked for volunteers and nobody raised a hand. So he appointed some people

that didn't show up at the meeting to 1 whatever it is. There's no interest in it, 2 3 I can tell you. 4 MR. OLAVE: 5 That's -- again --6 MR. POTEET: 7 No interest in what? Wait a 8 minute. Finish --9 MR. DONNELL: 10 No interest in -- no interest in 11 -- nobody wanting to talk about sales 12 finance. 13 MR. POTEET: 14 Is anybody surprised by that? 15 Not at all. That's the New Car Commission. 16 MR. OLAVE: 17 Again, back to -- that is a little different than a meeting to talk 18 about the merging of two the Commissions. 19 20 And the Commission -- a committee that was 21 supposedly to discuss those two things, if 22 you remember that discussion, and the 23 information that Ricky got when he went 24 there, yes, I'm not saying anything is

wrong, but these are all part of why I think

there should be more involvement. The information he got when he went over there was there was supposed to be a committee about advertising. It had nothing to do with merging the two Commissions. But their opinion, again, based on the meeting that he was at, was about setting up the committee for advertising to talk about the finance sales license as it pertains to advertising and the two — the two Commissions. Nothing to do with the merging of the two Commissions.

MR. POTEET:

So what did they say, that we rebuffed them or we didn't do anything or?

MR. OLAVE:

No. He appointed two people that weren't there to do a committee that -
MR. POTEET:

Well, just -- my opinion is that doesn't make a lot of sense, to appoint people who don't show up. That's an important committee.

MR. OLAVE:

Well, the committee -- again, the

1 difference here is the committee is to discuss -- in their opinion -- Ray Brandt's 2 3 opinion, the committee is to discuss the advertising part of the sales finance 4 license and maybe conflicts between the two 5 Commissions. Nowhere in there was there 6 anything to do with merging the two 7 Commissions, which again back to not 8 9 responding to rumors, but that's some of the 10 conflict information that I have as far as, you know, one of the Commissioners stating 11 12 that it was about a committee to join the 13 two Commissions, to talk about the two 14 Commissions. 15 MR. POTEET: 16 I don't have any answer to that. 17 I don't know what your point is. I mean --18 MR. OLAVE:

Well, my --

MR. POTEET:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-- have you talked to the Executive Director about this committee?

MR. PARNELL:

Lessie. Well, I saw her at the capitol and we just said we're going to try

to wait until after session before we try 1 2 to --3 MR. POTEET: 4 Is that to talk about the 5 advertising or to talk about -- I mean, I -at the last meeting, I asked for three 6 7 volunteers and I got four. And I said that 8 when we get to that point, those three 9 volunteers can go. And I believe the 10 volunteers were you two and Mr. Duplessis. 11 MR. OLAVE: 12 And that committee --13 MR. POTEET: 14 Mr. Watts was my alternate. 15 MR. OLAVE: 16 In your opinion, that committee 17 for what purpose? 18 MR. POTEET: 19 We would have to look at the 2.0 minutes. 21 MR. PARNELL: 22 But from what I understood at the 23 last meeting, it was wasn't really -- I need 24 to gather -- get with the Executive 25 Director, Lessie House, and formulate

exactly what the conversation is going to be about. That's what I understood from the last Commission meeting. I don't think it -- it could be a plethora of things.

MR. POTEET:

It could be the finance license.

It can be advertising. It could be the merger of the Commissions if that group wants to talk about that.

MR. DONNELL:

Well, that was talked about a little bit this meeting and Chairman Brandt said it would be left up to Governor Edwards.

MR. POTEET:

That's correct, yes.

MR. DONNELL:

Right, so.

MR. OLAVE:

And, Mr. Chairman, I agree with you 100 percent as far as our Commission is stronger than it ever has been. I'm in 100 percent agreement with that, and that our relationship with LIADA is stronger than it ever has been. My motivation is to make us

make our already strong relationship with LIADA stronger.

MR. PARNELL:

I'll take it a little stop

stronger, make our Commission stronger and

I'll take it a little step

further, if I may. I believe as well that

we've been stronger since we've been -
since I have been here. It's been a

process. It's been a growing process. The

day -- I stepped in here nine years ago.

August -- November will be nine years.

Going down to the Legislature was almost

embarrassing. We were almost laughed at.

MR. POTEET:

Well, it was.

MR. PARNELL:

Well, I really had to think about did I take a job that I should not have taken, because the relationship that we've developed over this time frame with the legislators and the general public is huge, you know. We're not looked upon as a good old boy agency anymore. We're not looked upon as, hey, they're not doing anything. They're not going to take care of any

business over there. It is completely different right now.

Now when I go up there, we have respect. They sit down. They talk with us. They show us dignity and respect. The day -- when I went up there the first time, the first committee I went to, it was very disrespectful. I had state legislators basically laughing at us. And that's a huge change, you know. Going down there and dealing with those people head-on day in and day out during the session, it will change your thought process, you know.

So with that said, I do agree. I think we're the strongest that we've ever been since I've been here. I think -- prior to me being here, I don't think it was anywhere near this level.

MR. POTEET:

Well, if you -- if you remember,

I don't know how many of you remember, there
was an inspector general report.

MR. PARNELL:

Yes.

MR. POTEET:

1 I know Mr. Hallack remembers. 2 MR. PARNELL: 3 426 pages. 4 MR. POTEET: 5 Yes. I was going to say it was about that thick. And I had just gotten on 6 7 the Commission when they did that. So if 8 you say you're coming up on nine years, then I've got to be coming up on 10. 9 10 MR. OLAVE: 11 I was interviewed during the -by the inspector general during the --12 13 MR. CORMIER: 14 There was no money in the account 15 either. 16 MR. POTEET: 17 No. We were broke. We were --18 we had a lot of problems. But, you know, 19 we're not here to rehash that. But I think 2.0 that the -- that the thing -- the thing I 21 want to get across is the most important thing to me is transparency. And if anybody 22

23

24

25

not getting their questions answered, Derek is there, I'm here. If you don't get the

Betty D. Glissman, CCR

feels like they're being left out or they're

answer from one of us, then -- you know, then we've got to -- we've got to come up with some better ways of communicating.

MR. PARNELL:

And one thing I've always tried to concentrate on doing is making sure that the relationship between you, my role, and the Commissioners is always transparent. I knew in the past that wasn't the case. And my efforts have always been to make sure I'm transparent with each and every one of the Commissioners, so that you know everything that's going on. You may not know the finite details of things, but you know what's going on, you know. I don't keep anything from anyone at any point.

MR. OLAVE:

I'd like to add to that.

Personally, I agree with you 100 percent.

I've never had any issues with any of that.

This -- again, my motivation is about my involvement and about dispelling -- we can dispel a lot of these things that are going on, on the periphery of this, I believe, with more involvement, you know.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

And, again, that's -- that was the motivation of this discussion. There is nothing wrong. I do believe we're stronger than we ever have been. I was on the Commission during Katrina. I mean, I understand that we're stronger now than we have ever been. I understand that we have a better relationship. But I also believe in my heart that that can get better with more involvement, more -- you know, we used to -we used to -- again, not saying everything was right, but we used to be involved in everything, you know. We had committees and different things for everything. brought us more involvement. That brought more motivation from me, as a Commissioner, to take part in this.

And, you know, I've done some self-reflecting recently about my motivation and my role on the Commission. And I don't believe my role is to come and help the Commission have a quorum and vote.

MR. POTEET:

Well, actually, that is your number one thing.

MR. OLAVE:

I understand that, but that's not

-- that's not solely -- but that's not
solely what I feel like my role should be.

MR. POTEET:

Well, I -- okay. I'm going to say this right now. If you want me to remain as the Chairman of this Commission, I'm not going to appoint committees. If you want to be involved in something, you have to take the step forward. I don't see any need for me to appoint committees. So if you want to be involved in the computer software, jump on, jump on. That's all I'm saying.

We're -- you know, this is -this is a job -- I don't know how much time
you guys spend on your commission roles, but
we're not paid to do this, unless you count
\$75 a day pay. But your involvement is what
you want it to be.

I spend as much time as I think I should and if someone -- Derek wants more guidance from me, I'll try to give it to him. You know, sometimes, I mess up. I get

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

something across my mind and I never get anything resolved. So I'm going to say If you want to be more involved, your that. involvement, I would love that. The more you get involved, the more you know, the better off we are. There's no objection to that.

But, to me, the idea of appointing committees is -- I mean, just the thing that happened at the -- at the New Car Commission, appointing somebody because they're not there. Everybody is here, so I'm going to pick these two guys, that's backwards to me. The guys that don't show up are not the people I want on important committees, unless I'm going to appoint them to the Christmas party committee. That's always a good thing for people who don't show up. So that's my position as the Chairman, jump in there.

MR. DONNELL:

You know, I guess what -- you know, I've been talking to some of those The Commission -- Commissioners used quys. to approve the contracts and all that, you

1 And that's what I'd kind of like to 2 ask today, put it to a vote, you know. 3 Would they like to go back to approving contracts and disapproving contracts? 4 5 MR. POTEET: 6 The only -- the only contracts we 7 have, we've approved. 8 MR. PARNELL: 9 Yes. 10 MR. POTEET: 11 We don't have any -- we don't have any contracts that are not approved. 12 13 MR. PARNELL: 14 And each Commissioner approves 15 that when we go over the budget and we break 16 it down and explain to you exactly how much 17 each contract is worth and everything and who we have to pay and it has to be 18 19 approved. So you are involved in that 20 process throughout the entire time. You've 21 never not been involved. 22 MR. DONNELL: 23 What about professional 24 contracts? 25 MR. PARNELL:

1 That's what I'm talking about, 2 professional contracts. 3 MS. MORRIS: 4 They're not that many. 5 MR. POTEET: 6 Yes. Well, we have --7 MR. PARNELL: 8 Six. 9 MR. POTEET: 10 -- what do we have? We have the 11 two attorneys. 12 MR. PARNELL: 13 We've got attorneys. We've 14 got janitorial. We've got lawn care. 15 have the court reporter. And the IT 16 programmer and we also have our CPA. 17 MR. POTEET: 18 And we approve all of those. 19 Every one of them is approved in the -- in 20 the budget session. And those -- these 21 discussions are open any time. I mean, we 22 just approved Ms. Morris's contract -- I 23 mean, not her contract, but her invoice. 24 -- and we have -- and the way we've had this

set up is that Derek reviews it, because,

1 you know, unless you want to sit down with 2 her and spend time going over all this stuff, he's the one that knows what she's 3 4 doing on a day-to-day basis. So, then, it's 5 presented to us. It's right there. whole -- the whole invoice is there. 6 7 can look at it. And then we approve it from 8 that point.

I don't understand your point about us not approving contracts, because we approve -- as a Commission, we approve every contract. And if I'm not mistaken, I can't remember the last time we had a nay on a vote. I mean, they've all been unanimous.

Yes, ma'am.

MS. ANDERSON:

And I'd like to say that we've been fortunate enough that our contractors have come back to us year after year with the same price. We've been paying the same price on most all of our contracts for a long time. The attorneys didn't get --

MR. POTEET:

When was the last time the attorneys went to the mat?

2425

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

1 MS. MORRIS:

Six years ago, they changed our rate.

MS. ANDERSON:

They just raised it and it was six years before that before they got anything else. Same thing on our janitorial, our, you know, court reporter, Betty's fee, you know.

MR. POTEET:

I guess really what I'm saying is
I'm -- we're open. I'll discuss any
contract with you if you want to be involved
in any --

MR. DONNELL:

There is another thing. If they want to cancel one, I would kind of like to be involved.

MR. PARNELL:

You know, we are --

MR. OLAVE:

My curiosity is -- you know, I've been doing a lot of talking and I say all of these things with an open mind and an open door. I mean, this is really about the

motivation to make the Commission stronger.
But, you know, if we're not hearing from the other Commissioners pertaining to this, maybe we need a motion for something, and then see how the other Commissioners feel about it as well after this discussion. Or a motion to have -- again, you don't want to appoint a committee. Maybe a volunteer part of that to -- you know, to review contracts, to review those things. Another motion may be a steering committee.

Again, not because there's anything wrong, but to help, you know, the field have a more cooperative endeavor with our -- with our licensees. Maybe a -- maybe a steering committee for that purpose, you know. And let's hear from the rest the Commissioners on that, how they feel about it, through a vote then if we have to.

So I'd like to -- I'd like to make a motion that we -- that we have a volunteer group -- if the Director doesn't want to appoint a committee, a volunteer group. If we have -- if we have enough votes, then whoever wants to volunteer for

1 that, for that purpose, for contract review. 2 If we take another vote, I make another motion that we have a steering committee to 3 help our investigators -- our field investigators understand the industry and 5 they're not -- and, again, they're not --6 7 I'm not suggesting that anything is wrong 8 This is entirely an effort to bring here. 9 our industry and investigators closer 10 together, so. 11 MR. POTEET: 12 So what's your motion? 13

MR. OLAVE:

My first motion is that we have a committee that has to review contracts and approve contracts. And I'm talking about the language of them, not just the dollar amounts of them. And I think that will make us stronger in the long run. So that's my first motion.

MR. POTEET:

Okay. All right.

MR. DONNELL:

Second.

MR. POTEET:

24 25

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

```
1
                     The first discussion I have is if
          we're going to have a committee you just
 2
          said to review and approve, would that
 3
 4
          negate the votes that we take in the
 5
          Commission?
 6
               MR. OLAVE:
 7
                     No, sir. No, sir.
 8
               MR. POTEET:
 9
                     It's not approved.
10
               MR. OLAVE:
11
                    Exactly. Exactly. Exactly.
12
         apologize then. I make a motion to have a
         volunteer committee to advise the rest of
13
14
         the Commission on the status of professional
15
         contracts, legal contracts, anything else.
16
              MR. POTEET:
17
                    I've got a motion.
18
              MR. DONNELL:
19
                    Second.
20
              MR. POTEET:
21
                    Second.
22
                    All in favor, say, "Aye."
23
                    (All "Aye" responses.)
24
              MR. POTEET:
25
                    Any opposed?
```

		40
1	(No response.)	
2	MR. POTEET:	
3	All right. We've got a we've	
4	got a volunteer committee.	
5	MR. OLAVE:	
6	All right. And we can discuss	
7	that if you want to now and see who's	
8	MR. POTEET:	
9	Who wants to be on the committee?	
10	MR. OLAVE:	
11	I'll do it.	
12	MR. POTEET:	
13	Anybody else?	
14	MR. WATTS:	
15	I'll do it.	
16	MR. DONNELL:	
17	I'll do it.	
18	MR. POTEET:	
19	Okay. So you three guys, you get	
20	with Derek and that's fine.	
21	MR. OLAVE:	
22	Perfect. Perfect. Again	
23	MR. POTEET:	
24	It's less work for me.	
25	MR. OLAVE:	
97		

My second motion, again, just to hear from the Commissioners, again, nothing is wrong.

MS. MORRIS:

A committee of this Commission is a public body. So you have to have an agenda and the meeting is publicly noticed.

MR. OLAVE:

Okay.

MR. POTEET:

You have to have somebody take notes.

MR. OLAVE:

I understand. Oh, I understand.

I know. I understand I'm taking on more

work. And, again, that's -- that was my

crossroads here, was -- you know, something

you said, Mr. Poteet, was, you know, we

don't get paid. We don't get -- so -- but

what is each and every one of your

motivation for being here? And I can't

answer that. I can only answer for myself

and I've been -- I've been -- I feel like my

motivation for being here is 100 percent

civic responsibility and using my experience

in the industry.

_ _

And, listen, I -- you don't have to agree with me. I think debate is healthy. Debate and compromise are healthy. Conflict is not. And I believe that this information, albeit -- again, not -- I don't care about the details of all the different little facets I've heard, but I do believe that is borne out of conflict, conflicting information. So I am merely trying to put some things in my mind in place that could dispel any of that, that's all, in a very positive and, hopefully, productive way.

MR. POTEET:

Okay. All right. What's your other --

MR. OLAVE:

My second motion would be if the same three guys want to volunteer, it would be a steering committee. And, again, the sensitivity here is what I'm -- what I'm after, the steering committee about, you know, a liaison between our industry and the field investigators, you know. I can't tell you the invaluable experience that some of

1 the new investigators bring. I'm 100 percent behind that. I agree with that. like the fact we have these experienced guys on the field. But I believe that there's a disconnect a little bit between their enforcement experience, how they enforce things.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, look, we have to enforce things. We have to fine. I'm not sure that should be our first move and I'm not saying But I think a better understanding of a rapport with our industry, which some of that doesn't exist, I've heard anyway. Again, not responding to those rumors, but trying to dispel any further distrust from our licensees, you know.

So, again, I'd like -- I'd like to make a motion that we have a committee or a volunteer group, a steering group or whatever, merely not to -- not to provide oversight or anything like that for the actual investigators. That's Derek's job and he does a great job. But more to provide information and an idea of how to build a rapport with the industry instead of

1 here comes an enforcement agent again, you know. Again, just in a very positive --2 3 MR. TAYLOR: 4 How would the steering committee operate? I mean, do you come in --5 6 MR. OLAVE: 7 That's a good question. I have an idea. I don't have a solution. 8 9 MR. TAYLOR: 10 Before I vote on it --11 MR. OLAVE: 12 Yes, sir. 13 MR. TAYLOR: 14 -- are you -- do you want to tell 15 me how or do y'all want to have counsel with 16 investigators? I mean, I don't understand. 17 MR. OLAVE: 18 Yes, absolutely. I think -- I think that's healthy. I really do. I think 19 20 that's healthy. And it's not like I'm 21 expecting them to agree with me or do what I 22 -- you know, what I'm asking. It has 23 nothing to do with that. It's more about information for them to help them do their 24 25

job.

MR. POTEET:

Well, Steve, I think what Dino might be -- I'm going to add on to what he just said. So would you -- would it be we bring in all the investigators for a six- or eight-hour session?

MR. OLAVE:

I don't -- I don't -- I don't
necessarily have a solution for that, yet.
I think that would be -

MR. PARNELL:

Let me say this: What we've been -- we haven't done it for this part of the year yet, the first five months of this year. But, typically, what we've always done, at least once a month, we all gather with all the investigators, as well as Robert Hallack, and we kind of go through cases. We talk about situations. We talk about how to handle these situations. How to deal with the media, that -- the media outlet that's also going to be involved in these issues. We have those discussions to try to be on the same page and make sure we move in the same direction. And I think

1 that was very productive.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We haven't been doing it lately. So that would be something that I will be getting back to. But I think that was something that really helped the process out, to make sure everybody was doing the same thing, on the same page, and moving in the same direction. And I think that's very beneficial. We'll get back to it. get back to it.

MR. OLAVE:

And that -- look, I agree -- I agree with you 100 percent, the more information --

MR. POTEET:

Let me make a suggestion. Instead of having a committee, let's do this

-- and this is not a motion, but this is a suggestion. Why don't any Commissioner, the next time you have this -- send an email out the next time you're going to have a meeting with all of your investigators and say that you would like to do this on a monthly basis.

MR. PARNELL:

```
1
                     Yes, absolutely. I want to get
 2
          back.
 3
               MR. POTEET:
 4
                     I realize it takes -- you know,
          what do we have, six investigators now?
 5
 6
               MR. PARNELL:
 7
                     Yes.
 8
               MR. POTEET:
 9
                     Okay. This takes six people out
10
          of the field for a day.
11
               MR. PARNELL:
12
                     Yes. But, typically, what we do
13
          is if they are domiciled far away, they can
14
          just call us, you know, and we just talk.
15
               MR. POTEET:
16
                     But there's some -- I mean,
17
          there's --
18
               MR. PARNELL:
19
                     Right.
20
               MR. POTEET:
21
                     -- training and education.
22
              MR. PARNELL:
23
                    We normally did it for about two
24
         hours.
25
              MR. POTEET:
```

So why don't we do this. Why don't we -- any Commissioner that wants to attend those meetings -- before we appoint a committee, because I think -- this is my opinion here -- but I think you're suggesting something that doesn't have any real meat to it. It doesn't have an agenda. It doesn't have a way to do it. And I think before we -- you mentioned rumors earlier. Here's -- this is -- I get a lot of complaints from dealers that have just been cited by the Commission about how awful we

MR. OLAVE:

are.

Exactly. Yes, sir.

MR. POTEET:

It's funny that they don't see a problem with our Commission until they get a citation, you know. It's just like complaining about the police after you get a speeding ticket. Do you really hate the police? I hate them for the last five minutes. So I would make a recommendation before we have some committee that we get more involved in what's actually being done,

and then see what we need to do. Would you accept that?

MR. OLAVE:

I have no problem -- I have no problem with that other than, you know, the formality of it, I believe, would be necessary only because those things usually fizzle and stuff like that. So I do believe that there should be a formality involved with appointing a committee to that or a volunteer committee, whatever you want to call it, Mr. Chairman.

MR. POTEET:

Well, I think that the -- MR. OLAVE:

Let me -- let me -- let me finish my train of thought. When Derek -- I agree. That is a -- that is a wonderful resource to get everybody together to discuss the -- you know, the intentions of the Commission and what we want to do as far as fining and enforcement and what we want to do as far as truly regulatory, because I believe -- again, nothing is wrong, but I believe that our Commission is more regulatory and we

should adopt, you know, a policy of arbitration before fining. And I'm not saying we don't.

Again, I don't know -- I don't know the policies, but I -- you know -- and, again, not responding to these rumors -- but I've only heard the negative part of that.

And I agree with you 100 percent. In fact, that was the example I was going to use, a lot of people they recently cited and fined, you know. So I get it. But the lack of involvement is, again, my motivation for that, you know. I think the field is doing a good job.

When they have those meetings, though, I do believe -- you know, when you say you have those meetings with the investigators and with Robert, nowhere in there was there a dealer experience as part of that. They're investigators.

MR. PARNELL:

Well, some of our Commissioners are working with them.

MR. OLAVE:

No. Who's involved in those

1	meetings with the investigators and Robert
2	going over cases and intentions and things
3	like that? I don't and I don't want to
4	be involved in any cases necessarily.
5	MR. PARNELL:
6	Just the enforcement team.
7	MR. POTEET:
8	That was my point.
9	MR. OLAVE:
10	But that but hang on. But
11	hang on. That meeting where they discuss
12	those things has no dealer input in it. I'm
13	not saying it should have a dealer.
14	MR. POTEET:
15	Well, go to the meeting.
16	MR. OLAVE:
17	What's that?
18	MR. POTEET:
19	Go to the meeting.
20	MR. OLAVE:
21	But I do that
22	MR. POTEET:
23	Go to the meeting.
24	MR. OLAVE:
25	That's what I'm talking about.

1 That's what I'm talking about.

MR. POTEET:

I just said, you go the meeting.

You find out what's going on. I would also make this recommendation. If any of you have any complaints, that you should lodge those complaints with Derek. I've called him before about dealers that were complaining. I said, you know, this guy says to me this is a problem he's had. And, sometimes, they're legitimate. Sometimes, they're not, just like anything else.

So I would say, rather than saying, you know, there are dealers out there saying this, I think we should have the dealer's situation. What are they complaining about?

Again, it's just like, you know, you get a speeding ticket and you complain about the police. And somebody says, well, it was 35, you were doing 70. I mean, what exactly is your complaint? And I think the first step before you create a committee that doesn't -- I don't -- I don't know what your committee is going to do --

2

Got you.

3

MR. POTEET:

MR. OLAVE:

45

going on within the meetings, which is to

6

say, when Derek has his meeting with Robert

-- until you investigate what's

7

and with the investigators, that you can

8

show up and you can show up, you can show

9

up. Any of you can show up. Maybe I'll show up. And we'll see how they do it and

10 11

MR. OLAVE:

what --

1213

Good idea.

14

MR. POTEET:

15

And then at the end of that point

16

-- and let's say just for trial purposes, we do that for a couple of months. Then, if we

1718

feel like we need a separate committee --

19

which I still don't know what your committee

20

21

MR. OLAVE:

would do exactly.

22

Got you. I was hoping that was

23

-- I was hoping some substance was borne out

24

of this discussion and I apologize that

25

maybe my motion was a little premature, but

I'll be better prepared next meeting.
MR. POTEET:

3 Well

Well, if you go through this meeting, then you'll have an idea.

MR. OLAVE:

Yes, sir, agreed.

MR. POTEET:

And I -- and I strongly believe that, again, it kind of gets back to the rumor thing. When somebody says to me, people are complaining. No, they're not. I don't believe you. Give me a name. Give me a name. Give me a name. That's what I have to have. I have to deal with facts. That's what I do in my business.

If somebody comes in and says, the dealers don't like this, they don't. Six of them? 66 of them? 156 of them? Give me names. You know, if you've got one guy that's vocal, you know, that's not a group of dealers. That's a dealer. It doesn't mean he's wrong. I've had lots of situations where dealers have come to me in my business and said, you know, have you ever thought about doing this? Or I don't

like the way we do this. Yes, that's a great idea. And that guy tells me something.

But when you use vague suggestions that people are not happy, that doesn't tell me enough. I don't know what to fix. I need to know more. And I think -- I think your idea of being more involved is great. Every single one in here should be more involved.

Number two, I think your idea of sitting in with the group when they talk to the investigators, who -- let's see, car dealers. What kind of car dealer? Car dealer, car dealer, car dealer, well sort of, car dealer, auction. So we have enough people in here that have experience that can sit in on those meetings and provide ideas. We've got an investigator sitting back there. He's called me before. He's called me to ask my opinion, you know, more than -- I would say in the 10 years I've been here, at least four or five times.

MR. DONNELL:

Do you mind if I ask Montie a

2.0

question?

Montie, when y'all write a ticket -- you know, like, when the state police stops you, you know, they write you a speeding ticket and down at the bottom of that ticket, they give you a court date and tell you, you know, if you want to come to court and the date. Do y'all -- do y'all do that?

MR. WISENOR:

We don't set a date. It's upon notification, because it may not be a hearing. It may go to the Director for review to determine if we have any ratification of these fines. I mean, it's just --

MR. DONNELL:

But these people do more. They have a -- there is a Commission to come to.

MR. WISENOR:

Yes. Yes, sir. And then they're notified by the Commission. If there's a fine issue, they are advised that they can attend.

MR. POTEET:

Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. DONNELL:

You know, I want to apologize for my redneck mentality. If it wasn't for a dealer, they wouldn't need us. They don't need us. And you will just have to -- and all I'm just asking is we ought to form something to try to be a little more dealer friendly.

MR. PARNELL:

We also have to look at it from the standpoint of the State of Louisiana. Although, we are the regulator authority over used car dealerships and their entities, a huge role that we play in this state agency is consumer protection.

MR. POTEET:

Exactly.

MR. PARNELL:

Now, that is the thing that really changes the look of your commission --

MR. POTEET:

Exactly.

MR. PARNELL:

1 -- perception-wise, you know. 2 MR. DONNELL: 3 The thing that we're here about is not injuring the public. 4 5 MR. PARNELL: 6 Well, you've still got laws that 7 you have to follow, okay. 8 MR. DONNELL: 9 Like what? 10 MR. PARNELL: 11 Every ticket that's written, it 12 doesn't necessarily mean that it's going to be -- parking in a right-of-way, that's one 13 14 that happens a lot, during office hours. 15 MR. OLAVE: 16 I'll give you -- I'll give you --I have an example. I'll give you -- I can 17 18 give you an example. 19 MR. DONNELL: 20 Tickets getting wrote for no licensee on the premises during business 21 22 hours. The guy was across the street having lunch or down at the parts house. 23 2.4 MR. PARNELL: 25 And that issue, specifically, was

I spoke -- when that came up -- when that came up, I spoke to the investigator who did that. I counseled him. That's what I'm supposed to do as his Executive Director, training him on what to -- how to handle that situation moving forward. That doesn't mean that's going to continue to happen.

Just because someone said it happened this day, that doesn't mean anything took place with that. When they write a ticket, it doesn't mean they get a fine. When they write a ticket, it doesn't mean any of that. It means that you are in violation.

MR. DONNELL:

You and I had this conversation.

This is exactly what we talked about. I

didn't want to bring it up.

MS. BARON:

But every ticket that comes into this office goes across his desk. And it may not -- like he said, it may not go into a fine. It may not go into a hearing.

MR. POTEET:

He gives a report on the ones

2.0

that he fined. He gives a report on the 1 2 ones he didn't fine. 3 MR. OLAVE: 4 And, look, I have -- I have no problem with any of this. Again, back to my 5 -- I'm going to give you a scenario that has 6 7 nothing to do with a real event, all right. But, for example, our investigators -- we 8 know that legislatively, we have 20 days to 9 deliver a title, correct? But there are --10 there are circumstances -- legitimate 11 12 circumstances that prevent you from doing 13 And I don't know if the Commission is that. 14 aware of that. 15 MR. PARNELL: 16 We are. 17 MR. OLAVE: 18 I'm pretty sure the investigators 19 aren't aware of that. 20 MR. PARNELL: 21 They are. They are aware of No. We don't ever write that ticket 22 that. 23 unless it's beyond 40 days. 24 MR. OLAVE:

Okay.

MR. PARNELL:

When we come in to a meeting -yes, the law does say a licensed dealer It doesn't say it could. It says you must. must submit that within 20 days. We understand that. Sometimes, you don't get those titles back from the auction. We know that, you know. That's understood. We know when we have these hearings -- every single time when we have a hearing that's in this room, when Robert goes through and he gives his presentation, none of those persons were just 20 days out. They were all 40, 60, 80, 180 days out. So we don't write them at the 20 point.

MR. POTEET:

But wait a minute, guys. I mean, it's like jaywalking.

MR. OLAVE:

Mr. Chairman --

MR. POTEET:

But it's the same thing as jaywalking. My wife got stopped for a speeding ticket for going two miles over the speed limit, two miles over the speed limit.

2425

22

First of all, you can't do that. You can't figure that out. But when the policeman said -- when he stopped her, he said, do you realize you were going over the speed? She said, I was only going 37. He said, you admit then you were going two miles over the speed limit, and he wrote her a ticket. Was that petty? Yes, it was petty.

MR. OLAVE:

Was it legal?

MR. POTEET:

Absolutely, it was legal.

MR. OLAVE:

Yes, it was.

MR. POTEET:

And I think -- I think your point is it's just like jaywalking. If there's a law on the books that says jaywalking is illegal and a cop is having a bad day and he writes you up for jaywalking, what's your excuse, you know? You haven't written anybody else up for this. So the point I see here is when you have things that are petty, Derek is trying to counsel them now. He's trying to work with them on that. But

```
if you're saying that -- change the laws.
 1
 2
               MR. OLAVE:
 3
                     I don't think it's petty.
 4
               MR. POTEET:
 5
                     Instead of 20 days, let's make it
 6
          50 days.
 7
               MR. OLAVE:
 8
                     I don't think it's petty.
 9
               MR. POTEET:
10
                     Let's make it 35 days.
11
               MR. OLAVE:
12
                     Mr. Chairman, I don't think it's
13
         petty at all. And that's not the approach I
14
         want to leave the Commission with. The fact
15
          -- the fact that those things happen --
16
               MR. DONNELL:
17
                    Yes. I mean -- well, we change
18
         that -- can this Commission change that?
19
              MR. POTEET:
20
                     I doubt it.
21
              MR. DONNELL:
22
                    I mean --
23
              MR. POTEET:
24
                    I think it's supposed to be
25
         changed by the Legislature.
```

1 MR. DONNELL: 2 Well, what has to happen to 3 change the time to produce stuff? 4 MS. MORRIS: 5 That's a statute. 6 MR. DONNELL: 7 I go pay one off. 8 MS. MORRIS: 9 That's not ours. 10 MR. DONNETIT: 11 But -- and then the federal 12 credit units holds the title, you know. 13 MR. OLAVE: 14 I apologize. I wasn't 15 necessarily interested in getting into a discussion about titles. But, again, Mr. 16 17 Chairman, I tell you -- you know, I don't think that was petty at all and I'm glad --18 19 I'm glad the Executive Director steps in. 20 But I'm not calling that petty. He's doing 21 exactly what he's trained to do for the last 25 years and that's to enforce the law. 22 23 MR. POTEET: 24 Yes. 25 MR. OLAVE:

So that's where I think that more involvement from -- the reason we're here, we're here to offer our experiences as car dealers, as auctioneers, as dismantlers, to aid this Commission moving forward. And, again, nothing is broken. Nothing is wrong. But when you have somebody that enforces something, and then the Executive Director gets involved, which is the right thing to do, I believe, then is there a way that we could eliminate that from happening to begin with, with involvement, with positive involvement? And that's the whole motivation here.

MR. POTEET:

Always know -- if you know what the complaint is, you know. As I understand --

MR. OLAVE:

No. If there were better understanding just through -- just through dialogue, just through connection. I don't really know any -- I know Montie the best, because I've been on the Commission the longest and he's been around longer. But I

1 don't really know the other investigators 2 and shame on me for that. So that's the motivation. And them knowing us, them 3 4 knowing -- if nobody else wants to volunteer, I'll do it. But them knowing me 5 may aid them. May not. They may disagree 6 7 with me 100 percent and that's okay, because the Executive Director directs the daily 8 operations of this and I'm okay with that. 9 But involvement may offer a heightened level 10 11 of information to the investigators to help 12 them make those decisions before it has to 13 go in front of the Executive Director's desk 14 and before somebody is alienated by that and 15 start calling people, okay. That's all I'm 16 suggesting. 17

MR. POTEET:

And as I've already pointed out, we can -- anybody in here --

MR. OLAVE:

We can try that. I like -- I like your -- I like your approach to that, because I don't have substance to the committee that I was offering.

MR. POTEET:

25

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 And I think you guys have a personal -- a personal thing. I just got 2 3 over -- I was under an ethics investigation, because I forgot to send a page in. 4 5 MR. OLAVE: 6 You, too. 7 MR. POTEET: 8 \$10,0000 fine they're charging me 9 with, because I forgot to put a page in. argument couldn't be that, hey, you know, 10 11 you can't really pick on me, because that 12 page got dropped or missed or -- it was, 13 hey, I didn't --14 MR. OLAVE: 15 Ignorance isn't a defense. 16 MR. POTEET: 17 Right. 18 MR. OLAVE: 19 They served me at 9 o'clock at my 20 house, state police. 21 MR. POTEET: 22 So I guess my point there is it 23 can happen to anybody and the way our process -- I think we have a pretty good 24 25 process, which is, if you get a fine -- I

mean, if you get a violation, it comes to
the Executive Director. You get to talk to
him. If you disagree with him, then you can
bring it to the Commission. And if I'm not
mistaken, if you don't like our verdict, you
can take it to court. So we've got a
process for people who feel like they're
being wronged.

And, you know, I -- again, I don't want to say that there aren't complaints out there. Anybody that is involved in government or is involved in jurisdictions where they have to, you know, have fines and have hearings, there's going to be complaints about it. I'm pretty sure about that.

MR. OLAVE:

No doubt.

MR. POTEET:

So if your -- the information I'm getting from you is you think that our people need to be better trained and better acclimated to what dealers are going through. I have no complaints of that. And I think that that is something that if we're

1 not doing it on a regular basis, we should 2 be. And whenever we do it, Derek will send 3 an email out to all Commissioners and say, 4 we're going to have this meeting on this 5 day. And then you can come in and help out. 6 MR. PARNELL: 7 When we do that type of meeting, we have to be very careful to talk about 8 9 just scenarios. 10 MR. OLAVE: 11 Yes, specific cases, right. 12 MR. PARNETIT: 13 Because you-all cannot be 14 involved in that. 15 MR. POTEET: 16 I hear that in my ear all the 17 time. 18 MR. PARNELL: 19 So we need to be very careful of 20 what we say and how we say it --2.1 MR. OLAVE: 22 Absolutely. 2.3 MR. PARNELL: 24 -- or anything of that nature. 25 MR. POTEET:

24

25

But specific complaints -specific complaints, we have a way to handle And when you have -- what I tell a that. dealer when they come to me. Sometimes, they'll tell me that, oh, this will take 10 to 12 minutes telling me all the things that happened. Sometimes, it's just, like, hey, man, I don't think that I'm being treated fairly. Okay. Then, talk to Derek. It's like a diagram. Yes, go here. No, go here. So if they say, yes, I say, well, you can appeal that to the entire Commission. And then, usually, that's the last I ever hear of it. And then if it's -if it's, no, I haven't talked to Derek, well, talk to Derek. And a lot of times they get back responses, like, he never returned my phone call. Or, I talked to him and, wow, he's a pretty nice guy. I never -- I didn't know him. Okay. Well, he's been in that job for nine years. So if you don't know him, that's your own fault. Or, yes, I don't really agree with it, but I understand it and, you know, I'd rather pay a \$500 fine than show up at a meeting, spend

the whole day down there arguing with you guys.

And so, you know, I think our process is about as good as it's going to get. We can keep looking at it. And I think Montie would probably tell you, because I know when he's called me, he's been pretty open. I don't understand this process. I don't understand what's going on here. Can you help me? And our investigators should know that we have people here in all of the industries that we regulate that are about as expert as you're going to get. I'm not saying we know everything, but I know a heck of a lot about auctions. And what I don't know, he probably knows.

So I think the biggest -- the biggest issue I see with dealers is -- and I'm not picking on them. But the biggest issue I see with them is once you present them with the things that they need to do to fix their problems, the first thing out of their mouth is I ain't got time for that. I don't have time to drive down to Baton Rouge

1 and spend a whole day down there arguing over a \$500 fine. And most of our fines and 2 3 our -- or the people that come to these 4 hearings fall into -- well, they fall under 5 one category. They -- you know, they didn't 6 pay their fine and move on. I've been fined 7 by the Commission while I was Chairman. 8 paid my fine and moved on. They caught me 9 doing something -- well, caught me, that's 10 bad language. They discovered --11 MR. OLAVE: 12 They revealed a mistake. 13 MR. POTEET: 14 They -- yes, they revealed a 15 mistake is what they did. 16 MR. OLAVE: 17 And I -- I'm sorry. 18 MR. TAYLOR: 19 I was just saying, I've been 20 fined, too. But I do have one question. Is 21

the reason why the majority of this is brought on, because you've been hearing some complaints from dealers about one or two investigators?

MR. OLAVE:

22

23

1 Several -- there's several avenues got approached in the beginning of 2 this in a week. There's some complaints 3 from dealers I've heard. Again, not responding to them. I agree -- I agree part 5 of the way with our Chairman. I agree that 6 7 we are stronger than ever. But I don't 8 agree with the fact that it's good as it can 9 get. That's where --10 MR. POTEET: 11 Well, wait a minute. I said the 12 process is probably as good as it can get. 13 MR. OLAVE: 14 Okay. I disagree -- I would 15 disagree with that --16 MR. POTEET: 17 Anything can be better. 18 MR. OLAVE: 19 Yes. That's what I mean. That's 20 what I mean. And the fact to accept that --21 accept that our process is as good as it can 22 get will stagnant the process, I believe, 23 because this thing is constantly evolving. 24 Our industry is constantly evolving. 25 Something that applies today and that's

where the information, I believe, that's -that would be valuable to the -- to the
investigative part of that is that our
industry is evolving, you know. Things have
changed.

2.2

The title scenario I brought up, not to discuss the title part of that, but the title scenario has evolved and changed into something different between the banks, and then the titles 30, 45 days later. And, again, I'm not using that necessarily as a real life example. But that's the type of an evolution that our industry is experiencing and I believe that there is some disconnect -- not that anybody is doing a bad job, but there's a disconnect between the investigation -- the investigators and their experience. And that's why we hire them and I believe that's invaluable.

But they're bringing their experience as law enforcement into our industry and I believe with just a little more information, they could have the ability to do a better job. That's my motivation. I don't believe that we're as

good as it gets or the process is as good as it gets, because it's constantly evolving. So our processes should be evolving with them.

MR. DONNETIT:

2.2

Derek, how do y'all define where a right-of-way of is?

MR. PARNETIT:

Well, the city defines that. And the city -- the city officer -- the police officer is going to tell you where a right-of-way is. The most -- police officers -- city police call this agency and, say, hey, this dealer over here is parking cars on the public right-of-way.

MR. DONNELL:

That's where you get it from?
MR. PARNELL:

Yes. We -- that's never one of the things that we just drive, hey, look, he's got one tire, you know, past this sign. Law enforcement calls us all the time. Most of these instances, these small things, they are the ones who called us and said, hey, look, this is happening at this dealership.

Please go take a look at it for us. That's how that happens. And so we need -- we have to respond to that, because if we don't, that relationship between the law enforcement and us is separate and that's -- we cannot have.

MR. DONNELL:

You don't think we can get them to send you an email on that, so you can show our investigators and show this dealer who's complaining? That we're not out here trying to establish --

MR. PARNELL:

I'm not understanding.

MR. DONNELL:

Can we get them to email their complaints to us, so we -- so that our investigators can show who's complaining?

MR. PARNELL:

Yes. I mean, that always happens, yes. They can call us or email, but they communicate with us, either way, you know.

MR. OLAVE:

So the police -- what you're

saying, Derek, is that the police contact you to go enforce the right-of-way part?

MR. PARNELL:

In most instances -- most of the law enforcement police officers, they know who the investigator is in that specific area.

MR. OLAVE:

Yes.

MR. PARNELL:

send them an email directly. They'll cc me on it and say, hey, look, this person right here is parking cars on a public right-of-way. Can you go out there and take care of this situation? So that investigator, at that point, he's getting a complaint from law enforcement. So he goes ahead and goes out there and looks at the situation. He evaluates are they in a public right-of-way. And if they are, they get a ticket. If they don't, they don't. They always advise them. Hey, make sure you're parking your cars behind this area right here.

1 MR. OLAVE:

That actually speaks volumes to the experience that these guys have, because they have a relationship with the local law enforcement.

MR. PARNELL:

And they build that every day.

MR. OLAVE:

And I agree -- I agree with that experience 100 percent. I do.

MR. PARNELL:

Every day.

MR. OLAVE:

I do. But we are not enforcement. And I believe that the experience those guys have, they -- I think it's natural. You know what I'm saying? I think it's natural when you're a policeman for 25 years that you're going to operate in a similar fashion to what you did for the 25 years. And it's not wrong. I just think there's more -- I think there's more to input there.

MR. DONNELL:

And my guess is, we -- that is

not the state police's job to move -- to write tickets on the right-of-way.

MR. PARNELL:

They can as well.

MR. POTEET:

They can and that's probably worse. Do you want them to do that?

MR. DONNELL:

Well, I just -- you know, I just want to make sure we're right when we write -- I mean, I see the situation all the time. State says I'm on the right-of-way. The person I rent from says, no, that's mine, move them back out there. So it's finally calmed down, you know. The cars are back where they always were.

MR. PARNETIT:

It's some fine laws on right-of-way.

MR. TAYLOR:

I asked a question a minute ago and I really didn't get an answer. And I'm just asking this. There's been a lot of discussion about investigators today. As a matter of fact, the majority has just mainly

been talking about investigators. And, actually, I think talking about -- maybe even a round about way saying some of the investigators are writing tickets on petty offenses. That's kind of what I'm hearing right here. And then I heard in certain areas -- not in my area. Montie does an excellent job in my area. But I've heard in other areas of particular investigators, that some people feel they might be a little bit aggressive in the way that they discuss fines and talk to dealers. And so is that what brought up the 30 minute discussion about that?

MR. OLAVE:

Well, the first thing I'd say is the person that mentioned petty was the Chairman. I never said that.

MR. TAYLOR:

No. I assumed.

MR. OLAVE:

And what I -- no. What I did -- what I did to clarify my position was, I don't think there was anything petty that went on and I'd like the

record to reflect that. I think the investigators are doing a good job. This has nothing to do with a petty incident. This has nothing to do with any one incident. But I -- when you -- when you put all of them together, I start thinking, well, what's the best way to solve -- even if it's not a huge problem, but to solve that problem.

And that's to show solidarity in the Commission. And that's to show a -- maybe a steering committee is the only term I came up with, only to help the investigators understand the industry in a very positive fashion. This has nothing to do with any one -- in my opinion, anyway. I don't know anybody else's motivation. This doesn't have anything to do with one particular incident. So how is the best way to dispel any of those things? And that would be to have a better understanding of the industry.

And I'm -- again, maybe they have a better understanding of the industry than I do. But I'm left with I hear these things

that I'm not responding to and what can I do to make a difference? That's why I brought this to the Commission today.

And the 30 -- I'm sorry. The 30 minutes about the discussion was because we already voted on the first point that I had. And as far as I'm concerned, that's over with. So we had a lively discussion, because I made a mistake and maybe prematurely offered a motion that we've been discussing for quite a while.

MR. TAYLOR:

No different than you. I don't have an agenda other than just trying to get through this. If there was a -- if there was one or two particular investigators that have a problem it is the majority of this conversation. If that were the case, maybe we could discuss those investigators or discuss the incidents in an open forum or privately at some -- you know, and I'd say those particular cases.

MR. OLAVE:

I don't believe that's the case.

I don't believe that's the case at all.

This is more about information, you know.

MR. POTEET:

All right. We have a -- we have a plan, which is that at least Steve will attend the next meeting. Derek will send out an email to all the Commissioners.

MR. OLAVE:

2.0

I look forward to it.

MR. POTEET:

So that's what we'll do. And then we'll see at the end of that, maybe a couple -- a couple of those meetings do we need a committee. At that point in time, I will -- I will make an appointment. I'll say, I would like for you to give me a written idea of what you would propose to make this work.

MR. OLAVE:

Yes, sir. When I come up with that, I definitely will.

MR. PARNELL:

I do want to ask Ms. Morris, are there any areas where we can get Commissioners and ethics violations or anything of that nature attending these type

of meetings? What -- they can't have a quorum. I know that.

MR. OLAVE:

2.0

2.4

Can I -- can I mention one thing?
We used to have that. In fact, Henry Smith
went through all of the -- all of the
investigations, all of the cases. I'm not
suggesting we do that. But then he was
forced to recuse himself at the time of the
hearing.

So, you know, maybe that's the solution or something like that. And I'm -- I don't mean -- I'm not looking to micro manage the individual cases. Please, if that's what you're taking away from this, that's not my motivation. The Executive Director does a great job with that. I'm merely trying to provide more information to the -- to the investigators that may or may not have a sensitivity to the car business. But give them that information, and then maybe that helps them deal with the car dealers a little bit better. I don't know. Again, motivation is involvement here,

1 MR. POTEET:

2.2

2.4

Montie.

MR. WISENOR:

Briefly. These laws if you read the statutes, they can be interpreted different ways. So I guess that's -- I think maybe there are six of us. There's six of us out there that look at situations differently. So, yes, I think that's a lot of it, because we even have officers that stopping dealers with dealer plates and telling them, you can't do this, you can't do that, and it contradicts some of the statutes that we're aware of.

So we -- I think that's a lot of the breakdown, too, between, you know, maybe certain tickets are being written, but that's why they're always received through the Commission or through the Director.

MR. OLAVE:

That's a good point. In fact, not even on that -- I don't want to even bring that subject up. But we've had Slidell PD stopping dealer tags. Dealer tags and proven ownership of the car, which

is -- I mean, they don't stop five-day tags, 1 2 but they'll stop a dealer tag. We went visit the Slidell police chief to make sure 3 they understood what the dealer tag was 4 5 about and they -- really, there's a lack of 6 information as it pertains to what is and 7 what isn't. 8 MR. POTEET: 9 We get them sometimes stopping 10 outside our auction for brake tags. 11 MR. WISENOR: 12 Right. 13 MR. POTEET: 14 But that -- that's not the Commission. That's not the Commission. 15 16 MR. OLAVE: 17 No, no. I agree. I agree. agree with -- I would agree with Ronnie --18 19 MR. POTEET: 20 There are things that -- you 21 know, that need to be -- you know, they need 22 to be educated on. And our people need to 23 be educated on our statutes. 24 MR. OLAVE: 25 Absolutely.

MR. POTEET:

So -- but we've got -- okay.

We've got a plan in place. So if we can,

I'd like to move on.

MR. OLAVE:

Thank you.

MS. BARON:

Well, Ms. Morris didn't get to answer Mr. Parnell's question about the ethics.

MR. POTEET:

Oh, I'm sorry.

MS. MORRIS:

If anybody is going to be participating, you need to let Derek know in advance, so that we don't have a quorum of the Board participating in the same meeting. So you might need to stagger the participation.

Also -- and I think if Robert participates, he can direct -- it can't be any specific case unless we're going to recuse the Commissioners. And, sometimes, with a recusal, you know, it depends on how many people can be here. If we don't have a

recusal, that might prevent a hearing. So
-- but, perhaps, my suggestion would be
maybe y'all participate in the beginning of
the meeting and -- you know, just on general
things. And then you-all could drop out.
And then if a specific case needs to be
discussed, it can be discussed after the
Commissioners are no longer involved.

MR. OLAVE:

I understand. I understand. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

MR. POTEET:

Okay. Next on the agenda are -- well, look, ratification of imposed penalties. How about that?

MR. PARNETIT:

You'll find in your packet a chart that illustrates the dealers that are in violation of state law. These cases have been investigated and I have determined the public interest can be served without further administrative proceedings on the civil penalties that were issued. I will announce the names of dealers with imposed civil penalties.

1 Do we have anyone present from 2 dealers on the list, that listed on the 3 chart that are here? 4 MS. BARON: 5 I'll look. No, sir, we do not. 6 MR. PARNELL: 7 Okay. I'll start the process of going through the list. The first dealer on 8 the list is V&J Auto Sales of Lafayette, 9 10 fine amount is \$150. Safe Haven for the Arts, LLC, from Metairie, Louisiana, fine 11 amount is \$750. A&D Financial Service, RTO, 12 13 LLC, from Sulphur, Louisiana, fine amount is 14 \$2,500. The total fine amount for civil 15 penalties is \$3,400. Commissioners, I ask 16 that you ratify the imposed civil penalties 17 assessed. 18 MR. TAYLOR: 19 I make a motion. 20 MR. OLAVE: 21 I second the motion, 22 Mr. Chairman. 23 MR. POTEET: 2.4 All in favor, say, "Aye." 25 (All "Aye" responses.)

1	MR. POTEET:
2	Any opposed?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. POTEET:
5	All right. Those are ratified.
6	MR. PARNELL:
7	The next item on the list is, I'm
8	sorry, ratifications of revocations from May
9	of 2018. You also will find in your packet
10	a listing of the chart that illustrates the
11	amount of persons that were revoked had
12	during the month of May. If you'll notice,
13	some of them were in March revoked, but once
14	we received documents that they have been
15	noticed, that's when she goes ahead and puts
16	this on the record.
17	So do we have anyone present from
18	this list?
19	MS. BARON:
20	No, sir.
21	MR. PARNELL:
22	Okay. All right. First on the
23	list is IO4 Auto Sales from Mamou,
24	Louisiana, notice of revocation was 3/29 of
25	'18. Charlie's Auto Sales, LLC I'm

```
sorry, Incorporated, from Vivian, Louisiana
 1
 2
          notice of revocation was 3/19 of '18.
          Cotton's Auto Sales from Sicily Island,
 3
 4
          Louisiana, notice of revocation was 5/9 of
 5
          2018. Hills's Auto from Lake Charles,
          Louisiana, notice of revocation was 5/8 of
 6
 7
                Johnnie's Paint & Body Shop from Lake
          Charles, Louisiana, notice of revocation was
 8
          3/27/18. Mike Lee Auto Sales, from Ville
 9
          Platte, Louisiana, notice of revocation was
10
          5/9 of '18. SS Truck and Performance
11
         Center, LLC, from Shreveport, Louisiana,
12
13
         notice of revocation was 5/9 of '18.
         Commissioners, I ask that you ratify the
14
15
         revocations -- ratifications of revocations.
16
              MR. WATTS:
17
                    I make a motion.
18
              MR. OLAVE:
19
                    Second the motion, Mr. Chairman.
20
              MR. POTEET:
21
                    All in favor, say, "Aye."
22
                    (All "Aye" responses.)
23
              MR. POTEET:
24
                    Any opposed?
25
                    (No response.)
```

MR. POTEET:

Okay.

ratified.

. Next, we have the Executive Director's report.

Those ratifications are

MR. PARNELL:

will find also in your packet a chart that illustrates the amount of complaints and compliance for May of 2018. The first one is the alleged issue counts. The total on that for the month of April is 60. The next item that you have is the case report. The case report for the month of April illustrates the amount of cases that were assigned for compliance investigators. The

But I ran a similar report for the last three complete years 2015, 2016, and 2017. I was just kind of trying to look at some numbers to see what has been happening out there. And when I look at the case report for the year of January 1 of 2015 through

case report illustrates there were 39 cases

that were assigned for the month of April.

12/31 of 2015, the total number of cases that were assigned was 992. Of those cases, the alleged issues that were assigned were written by the -- I'm sorry, written by the complainant was 1,915. In the month of -the year of 2016 -- January 1 of '16 through December 31st of '16, there was 947 cases assigned. That year, the alleged issue count was 1,740 complaints. The case report for the year of 2017, there were 718 cases that were assigned to compliance investigators that year. The alleged issue count was 1,285. Commissioners, there's -like I said, there's a lot of things that are happening out here and we're really trying to make sure that we stay on top of that.

The next item that you see is the department summary report. The cases closed for the month of April was 45.

Commissioners, the only other
thing I kind of wanted to tell you about was
Commissioner George Floyd, but we already
kind of discussed him. So I don't have
anything else. So if you have any other

2425

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

questions, comments, or concerns, please. 1 2 MR. DONNELL: 3 Montie, your daddy is doing --4 still doing good? 5 MR. WISENOR: 6 Yes. 7 MR. DONNELL: 8 Who is Tonya Burks? 9 MR. PARNELL: 10 She's on the enforcement side of 11 things. She and Kim, when a complainant 12 comes in the office, either email, call in, 13 walk up, they really field the complaints. So they talk to complainants all day, every 14 15 day. And they actually assign them to the 16 compliance investigators that are domiciled around the state. So that -- they kind of 17 18 flow and are successful in that way, so. 19 MR. POTEET: 20 Sometimes, you just need to ask. 21 MR. DONNELL: 2.2 I do. 23 MR. POTEET: 24 Tonya is at most of the meetings. I would venture to say you've been at more 25

than I have or you have.

2 MR. PARNELL:

2.4

I think she's been here 14 years -- 13. Only 13.

MR. POTEET:

Thank you, Derek.

Next, we've got the legislative session. Derek, do you want to help us out with this one?

MR. PARNELL:

I'll start. The regular -- 2018 regular session just ended. The final adjournment was Friday, May 18. They are starting the 2018 second extraordinary session tomorrow.

Our bill -- we had some issues, not bad, but we did have some persons that at the last minute, we were kind of getting concurrence on our bill. It went through the House unanimous, had some amendments. Went through the Senate side, had some amendments to it. But when that happens, when you go from one House -- if it starts in the House and you get amendments on the Senate side, it has to go to concurrence.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so at that point, we were up there -- Sheri and I were up there and another bill was going on and we kind of were paying attention to it, because some of the persons that we license were kind of involved with that. And so, lo and behold, the next week, the week that we were trying to go up to concurrence, they wanted to have a meeting. And so they were trying to put some amendments on our bill. We kind of fought that a little bit. We didn't want them to really do anything to our bill, because at that point, if they tried to do any amendments, that meant we would have to go to conference committee.

Conference committee is not where you want to be with your bill, because that means that you have other entities that may be looking at that. The last week of session as well is probably the worst place you'd want to be in. You'll find people can try to post and get legislation on your bill that they may have been trying to get done in the past or it's something that has nothing to do with your agency at all.

And I think, as Ms. Morris told us once before, she works in cosmetology and somebody in conference got horse legislation on their bill. So we wanted to make sure that we're not -- we're not -- our bill is getting through without any trouble. So our bill did -- it did pass. We didn't have to go to concurrence. We went to the House and it was concurrence right way. There were no issues. Our current bill is on the Governor's desk for signing.

Senate Bill 62. That was the sunset bill.

They said that on August 1 that we didn't exist anymore. That bill went through no problems. It was sent to the Governor. It kind of bothered me a little bit, because it kind of went stale. It started on the Senate side. Then, all of a sudden, they weren't calling it to go up and there was, like, two weeks left of the session. House Bill 480 -- I'm sorry, 674 was the bill that the entity that was trying to put some language on our bill, they were able to get that language that they wanted on that bill,

on 674, which I'm not saying it changes much, you know. It does change things. I don't know if -- I'm sorry, Ms. Morris would like to talk about it, if she will.

MS. MORRIS:

The session was kind of a challenge, even though we didn't have a lot of -- we didn't think there was controversy in the legislation that the Commission had reviewed. That was Representative Shadoin's bill.

But we were contacted, and I think this kind of added to what Derek said. When we used to go down to the Legislature before, we weren't very well received and people were always trying to do things to our bills that we didn't understand. Now, people contact us.

So on 5/14, we got a lot of different lobbying groups that contacted us with different issues with our bill. And we did make some adjustments, but there was one adjustment that we weren't -- we were requested to make way at the end, and it had to do with auto hulk, Mr. Morgan's Bill 674.

In the form that it passed is completely different than how it had been initially filed. The amendment that we asked not to be placed on our bill, because we didn't want it in conference committee, and I thought it was a substantive change to our bill. And Derek and I didn't feel like we had authority to make any substantive changes to bill. So they went and put it on Representative Norton's bill while it was on the Senate floor Thursday or Friday. then now it says that auto hulks are exempt from the definition of used motor vehicles in our law.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We're going to have to kind of analyze how that affects us. But there is some disconnect, I think. And I was in the committee meeting when Representative

Norton's bill came up. And a lot of salvage dealers were there, dismantlers. And when they started explaining that there was a difference between some used motor vehicles and auto hulks that I wasn't following, that's when I called Derek. I was, like, I think you need to listen to it. There is, I

think, some -- the way auto hulk is defined is -- they're trying to say that it wasn't a used motor vehicle. They're trying to take it out of something that used to be a used motor vehicle.

Representative Norton's bill, because now it exempts -- in the definition of auto hulk, it says it's not a used motor vehicle. And our definition of used motor vehicle is something that -- it can encompass something that was a used motor vehicle and it has to do really with a lot of laws that we don't -- our dealers have to comply with in terms dismantling vehicles. And we had discussions with the Office of Motor Vehicles and they said, well, an auto hulk is -- really, is very subjective. There's not -- there are not --

MR. OLAVE:

2.4

Clear definition.

MS. MORRIS:

-- objective standards to determine if the law contemplates if there are some objective standards but nobody is

1 checking any of that. So it's kind of an 2 unanticipated amendment to Representative 3 Norton's bill. Representative Norton's bill was brought on her behalf by a constituent 4 5 whose vehicle was sold, and then wound up 6 being at a crusher, but it actually wasn't 7 crushed I'm told. 8 MR. PARNELL: 9 I think it was stolen. 10 MS. MORRIS:

It was stolen, her vehicle, but it was dismantled at the time. It wasn't an operational vehicle. It was stolen. So we're going to have to look at the crushers and dismantlers and see how this definition impacts it, if at all.

MR. POTEET:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Anybody have anything to say about that?

MR. SMITH:

I will have to read it.

MS. MORRIS:

This -- I mean, it came in -they put it on the Senate floor right at the
end of session. So we -- and they did not

1 share --

2

MR. POTEET:

3

So it passed?

4

MS. MORRIS:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

Right. And they did not share that with us. I had suggested that we work together to clarify Representative Norton's bill and they said they didn't think Representative Norton's bill was going to So they rejected that idea. suggested he come to our December legislative meeting and explain to the Commission or come to some other Commission meeting and explain to the Commission why they think certain vehicles are not vehicles or whatever.

So we'll just to have to look at the impact of it to see -- you know, the auto hulk definition and the definitions that we are using for vehicle crushers and dismantlers are not necessarily the -they're not the same definition at all. definition that we had in our legislation was parallel to the Motor Vehicle Commission's definition, because for the

1 last several years, we have tried to align a 2 lot of our definitions with the Motor Vehicle Commission, so that the enforcement 3 4 was parallel and the interpretation could be 5 more parallel, except our definition had to 6 recognize that some of these used -- they 7 were used motor vehicles because of the 8 salvage and dismantler side, and that was the objective to language at the last 9 10 minute. So we have to look. It doesn't 11 really affect motor vehicle dealers, but 12 possibly crushers and salvage dealers. 13 MR. POTEET: 14 Well, when that comes out, I

Well, when that comes out, I guess you can read it and see if you can decipher it.

MS. BARON:

It's in your -- it's in your
packet.

MS. MORRIS:

It's the very last one.

MS. BARON:

The very last one in your packet.

MR. POTEET:

Okay. Any other discussion about

2425

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	the legislative session?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. POTEET:
4	Okay. I guess that's the
5	that's the end of our agenda for now, except
6	for the hearings. So we need to adjourn for
7	a few minutes. Motion to adjourn?
8	MR. TAYLOR:
9	I make a motion.
10	MR. OLAVE:
11	Second.
12	MR. POTEET:
13	All right. Let's take about 10
14	minutes and we'll get started.
15	
16	(Meeting adjourned at 11:00 a.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETTY D. GLISSMAN, Certified Court
Reporter, Certificate No. 86150, in and for
the State of Louisiana, do hereby certify
that the Louisiana Used Motor Vehicle
Commission May 21, 2018, meeting was
reported by me in the stenotype reporting
method, was prepared and transcribed by me
or under my personal direction and
supervision, and is a true and correct
transcript to the best of my ability and
understanding.

This May 25, 2018, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

BETTY D. GLISSMAN, CCR CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER