1	LOUISIANA STATE BOARD OF PRIVATE SECURITY EXAMINERS
2	QUARTERLY BOARD MEETING
3	AND
4	ADJUDICATORY HEARINGS
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Louisiana State Board of Private Security Examiners
10	15703 Old Hammond Highway
11	Baton Rouge, Louisiana
12	
13	
14	
15	September 18, 2014
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Reported By
21	ANNETTE ROSS, CCR, RPR
22	
23	COPY
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	BOARD MEMBERS:
4	Louis S. Gurvich, Jr., Chairman, District 1
5	Thomas L. Baer, District 3
6	Donald O. Cotton, District 5
7	George Rojas, Member at Large
8	James H. "Chip" Romero, Member at Large
9	Christine Vinson, Vice Chairperson, Member at Large,
10	COUNSEL:
11	Adrienne Aucoin, Esq.
12	BOARD STAFF:
13	Mr. Wayne R. Rogillio
14	Ms. Jane Ryland
15	MS. Sharon Vallery
16	
17	Reported by: ANNETTE ROSS, CCR NO. 93001,
18	Certified Court Reporter, in
19	and for the State of Louisiana
20	
21	
22	(Annette Ross officiated in administering the oath to the
23	witnesses.)
24	
25	

INDEX Page NEW BUSINESS, MISCELLANEOUS NEW BUSINESS, MISCELLANEOUS Generator ADJUDICATORY HEARING, Paul Pickens 55, 55 2.3

1 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS MR. GURVICH: I'd ask everyone, welcome to the 2 quarterly meeting of the Louisiana State Board of Private 3 Security Examiners. I'd ask Chief Rogillio -- if we 4 would, everyone rise -- to please lead us in The Pledge, 5 followed by a brief moment of silence. Chief. 6 (Pledge of Allegiance and brief moment of silence.) 7 8 MR. GURVICH: Thank you. May we have roll call, 9 please. 10 (Roll call.) MR. GURVICH: All right. We apparently have a 11 12 quorum present. Chief, what's your pleasure, or do we have a motion to waive the reading of the minutes of the 13 14 previous meeting? 15 MR. ROMERO: So moved. 16 MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Romero, second by --17 MR. ROJAS: Second. 18 MR. GURVICH: -- okay, George there. debate/discussion? All in favor of the motion say aye. 19 20 ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 21 MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? None? Chief, what's 22 your pleasure? MR. ROGILLIO: I would take a moment to 23 introduce our new investigator. Captain Doug Cain 24 retired from the Baton Rouge PD. He has been with us 25

1	now, what, Doug, a couple months? And he's been doing a
2	bang-up job for us. And I just wanted to take a moment
3	to let y'all know who he is. He worked for me before,
4	so.
5	MR. GURVICH: We're glad to have you. There's
6	plenty of work to do.
7	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes.
8	MR. GURVICH: Okay, Chief. What's your
9	pleasure?
10	MR. ROGILLIO: I'd like to amend the agenda and
11	go take up the budget matter so that Mr. McRight can
12	leave.
13	MR. GURVICH: All right. Is there any objection
14	to waiving the agenda? Sir.
15	NEW BUSINESS, MISCELLANEOUS, AUDIT
16	MR. MCRIGHT: Good morning. It's good to be
17	back again. And we are here for the several things.
18	We've got the budget. The annual audit was completed
L9	also during the time there. So, actually, I don't know
20	where we had planned to start with this, but we can start
21	with the audit first. In y'all's packet, it will say
22	Michael Glover on the top of it.
23	MR. GURVICH: Where is that, Chief?
4	MS. RYLAND: It's stapled in that first packet.
5	MR. GURVICH: It's in this big?

1 MS. RYLAND: No. It's the one with the agenda It's about five or six pages over -- actually, 2 on it. 3 fourth page. MR. GURVICH: After the financials? 4 5 MS. RYLAND: Yes. 6 MR. GURVICH: All right. This is, Michael K. Glover is the individual that was hired by the state to 7 perform -- to conduct the audit? 8 9 MR. MCRIGHT: Right. It's really an agreed-upon 10 procedure. Y'all don't have to have a financial statement audit per se anymore. What he does is, they 11 have a list of things that he needs to come out and 12 check, make sure that there are procedures in place to 13 ensure that the financial statements are done properly. 14 15 And, basically, this is what his report here says is 16 that he reviewed these individual items, found no material differences. The only thing he's found is, 17 18 there are some places where we were not having management approval of certain items. And these items were approved 19 and reviewed; they just were not initialed at the time 20 they were done. So we're putting procedures in place now 21 to ensure that everything is initialed as we go along. 22 23 They were approved by staff, or MR. GURVICH: they were approved by the board for the motion? 24 25 MR. MCRIGHT: A combination of both of those.

The staff -- well, one of the things they had was the 1 bank reconciliations. The way that does is that Sharon does the bank reconciliations. She sends them to me. 3 will review them. I send them back, and she gives them 4 to Wayne or Jane for a final approval before they are 5 filed away. We were just negligent in not initialing 6 each one as being approved as we did this. 7 procedures were in place. We just didn't have that 8 9 notation. 10 MR. GURVICH: In other words, someone reviewed it, examined it, but we didn't initial in the initials 11 12

section or something, so?

MR. MCRIGHT: Right. Another thing they pointed out was that our board minutes approvals were not -whenever y'all approve it the following time, someone needs to sign off saying that we approved the minutes of the last session and actually have some type of document initialing that off. And if that would be just the minutes of the prior board meeting, if y'all would just take that at the bottom of it, initial it, I assume it's in your packet, the minutes from the prior board meeting or as soon as y'all get them in. Somebody just needs to initial off that they looked at them, that they are a true, correct copy.

MS. RYLAND: I'll take care of that.

24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

1	MR. GURVICH: We follow the traditional somebody
2	moves to waive the minutes just to speed things up. Now,
3	obviously, if anybody has an objection to anything in the
4	minutes I guess, we should we reading them of
5	course, that's available. You are saying we need to
6	manifest in some overt way that we are signing off on.
7	So maybe the thing to do is we typically get the
8	minutes when we get here to the meeting?
9	MS. RYLAND: No. I e-mail them to you. If I
10	e-mail them to him, can he e-mail me an approval back?
11	Would that be appropriate?
12	MR. MCRIGHT: Yes. Yes.
13	MR. GURVICH: I wouldn't presume to do that,
14	unless everybody signs off. Does everybody need to sign
15	off on it?
16	MR. MCRIGHT: No. Just one person. Either the
17	secretary or the chairman or his appointee can sign off
18	on it.
19	MR. GURVICH: So we get them a couple of
20	weeks
21	MS. RYLAND: I e-mail them to you. No. You
22	should get them within 45 days. It's 30 days that they
23	have to be to us, and we give them to I send them to
24	you. So if you will just send me back an approval memo,
25	then I can just put a copy of the minutes with the

2 MR. MCRIGHT: That's appropriate. 3 MR. GURVICH: Okay. So I will e-mail you back saying I find no problem with them. 4 5 MS. RYLAND: And they are approved. MR. GURVICH: And, well, if anybody does, if 6 y'all would -- we should make it sort of a custom that, 7 you know, let me know within 72 hours if you have a 8 problem. And if I don't hear anything --9 MS. RYLAND: I will remind you. 10 11 MR. GURVICH: I certainly don't want to do this 12 on my own. If you all read them, which I know you do, then let me know within 72 hours. And if we have an 13 issue, then fine. And if I don't hear anything, then I 14 15 will sign off on it. But I won't presume to do this all 16 on my own if you all have an input. Is that how we want 17 Is that satisfactory to you, sir? to do it? 18 MR. MCRIGHT: Yes, sir. This is a best procedures guideline, and this particular auditor is 19 really -- this is his big thing is having everything 20 signed. I mean, we sign every invoice. We sign every 21 22 time sheet. I think you found one finding where our leave sheets 23 were not being filled out timely by everybody. We put 24 additional procedures in to make sure that those are done 25

approval memo from the e-mail. And that's appropriate?

and initialed off and signed off at the time that they are requested.

So, overall, I mean, he didn't really find anything as far as it would be real problems, just that he agreed that everything was being done, it just wasn't a notation made at the time it was approved. So I think we have procedures now that initial everything.

MR. GURVICH: I mean, our accounting practices are satisfactory; the money is satisfactorily accounted for?

MR. MCRIGHT: No problem with the numbers. No problem -- we are having the segregation of duties. We segregate duties as much as we can in here. And I think, you know, that's part of my job is to look over what Sharon does so that we have separation of duties as far as that goes. Within the office, y'all have some separation between the mail coming in, the money being deposited. All that is pretty well divided up as much as we can with the size staff you have. So I don't know that there's any recommendation being made to change any of that. It would be able to do it -- it might cost more than it would be to work to deal with that.

MR. GURVICH: I mean, it's a multi-page document. But it looks like most of it's a form.

MR. MCRIGHT: That's exactly what it is. This

is a standard form that he gets from the legislative auditor. This says this is what we want you to do. You know, like, the first item on the first page: Determine if there are adequate written policies and procedures. We are developing those as we go along. We don't have all of them, but we do have the majority of them in place now.

So this is what -- he is hired by the legislative auditor, with y'all paying him, naturally, to look at these items. And then they say, if he looks at this and he doesn't find any problems, then your accounting system is in such a state that he should be -- we should generate financial statements to tell them the board can be relied upon.

The financial statements that we generate is that big packet, the one you held up first. This is the financial statement that we submit to the legislative auditor and to the Division of Administration for the year end June 30th, 2014.

MR. GURVICH: Questions? Okay. Moving on, then, I think we need -- I certainly do entertain a motion. I assume, though, that the board staff will move to address the minor issues that have been uncovered.

MR. ROGILLIO: We have already done that.

MR. GURVICH: I don't know if that requires

1	board action, unless anybody feels differently.
2	MR. ROGILLIO: No, sir.
3	MR. GURVICH: Are you satisfied with that?
4	MR. MCRIGHT: Yes, sir.
5	MR. GURVICH: Whatever technical minor issues,
6	you will be addressed, and I'm sure you will let us know
7	if they
8	MR. MCRIGHT: If there is anything major, I will
9	be happy to come before the board to let y'all know.
10	Y'all need a resolution to adopt his report as sent in,
11	that y'all are agreeable with that.
12	MR. GURVICH: All right. I make a motion, then,
13	to adopt the report of Michael K Glover, CPA, for the
14	year end June 30, 2014, audit of Louisiana State Board of
15	Private Security Examiners. This undated document is
16	Exhibit A, I believe, in this packet.
17	(Exhibit A identified.)
18	MR. GURVICH: Any comments, questions or do I
19	get a second?
20	MR. BAER: Second.
21	MS. VINSON: Second.
22	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich jointly
23	seconded by Mr. Baer and Ms. Vinson. Any
24	debate/discussion? All in favor of the motion as stated
25	say aye.

1	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
2	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion carries
3	unanimously. Thank you.
4	NEW BUSINESS, MISCELLANEOUS, FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
5	MR. MCRIGHT: The next thing will be, there's a
6	big packet that says Annual Financial Statements. This
7	will be as of June 30th.
8	MS. RYLAND: This is this packet. If you look
9	on the side, it has 9/11/2014 on it, separate from your
10	stapled packet.
11	MR. GURVICH: Okay. This statement, instead of
12	the other statement, and this has our financials?
13	MR. MCRIGHT: This is the financials as of
14	June 30th as adjusted and corrected for year end.
15	MR. GURVICH: Right. So this is corrected
16	financials?
17	MR. MCRIGHT: Well, it's the financial statement
18	you end up with all adjustments for June 30th.
19	MR. GURVICH: This is it; there is nothing
20	further we have to do?
21	MR. MCRIGHT: This is the final. There won't be
22	any more adjustments done after this. This, again, is a
23	form that's filled out for the legislative auditor and
24	the Division of Administration. As you can see, it's
25	quite lengthy. Really, you skip over about ten pages is

where we need to start. Everything else is just kind of -- says this is what we've done. This is summarizing it. The detail starts on page 1, which is really ten pages into the report there. And that will say the statement of net position.

And as of June 30th, 2014, y'all had cash on hand of \$724,000. Y'all had -- other assets would be the building, the equipment, net appreciation, and everything is 366,000, giving you a net asset -- total asset total of \$1,090,000.

Out of that, y'all have liabilities starting at the bottom of that page. Y'all have regular accounts payable of \$17,000, which is the current operating stuff that came in, but hadn't been paid by the June 30th.

The big items on here would be compensated absences payable. That would be the vacation and leave that the staff has earned, not yet taken. The value of that is 57,000. And then you come down to the OPEB. That's the other post-retirement benefits that we suffer liability for to be paid sometime in the future. That's \$537,000.

So those two numbers total up to \$612,000. The board owes out of that a million nine that they have, which leaves them roughly a net profit, retained earnings is, in the terms of a private business, the retained earnings will be \$478,000. Of that is the 366,000 is

offset by the fixed assets that are just broken out from 1 that, leaving a \$112,000 of the 109,000. 2 On the next page is the income statement or 3 statement of revenues and expenses for the year. 4 took in operating revenues of 860,000 for the year -- no. 5 I'm sorry. Took in operating revenues of 941,000, and 6 you've had operating expenses of 860,000 and operating 7 income then of \$80,000 for the year. 8 9 MR. GURVICH: Which shows up in what? Do we have, like, a retained earnings? 10 11 MR. MCRIGHT: I'm sorry? 12 This goes into, I guess, as it MR. GURVICH: 13 were, retained earnings, so to speak? 14 MR. MCRIGHT: Yes. 15 MR. GURVICH: Whatever we call it with our kind 16 of agency? 17 The 54,507 of income loss goes --MR. MCRIGHT: 18 is added to beginning retained earnings or equity, and it comes up with \$478,000 for the year. 19 As y'all are well aware of, the biggest expenditure 20 y'all have for the year are salaries and retirement 21 benefits and insurance for the staff. Once y'all get 22 past that, everything else is really just -- I don't want 23 to say immaterial, but it's not nearly as material as the 24 25 cost of the labor and stuff.

Truthfully, the rest of this is the footnotes that are the same as we have every year and just explains how y'all do business, with a little other breakdown of some of the numbers as far as the assets between buildings, trucks and all those. And equipment is broken down further.

And then it tells you, the retirement system, that if you need additional information, you can contact LASERS, and they will give you a nice 350-page report if you want to go into the fine points of what they are doing.

Other than that, we have -- there was no findings or anything. Nothing looked out of line. Everything was in line for June 30th.

Basically, at the rate y'all are doing, last year, y'all were in good position, ending up with a \$50,000 profit at that time, although that 50,000 at that time should have been zero, because y'all had a fingerprint machine that y'all were purchasing at that time. It was a little over 50,000, and it was not able to be up and serviceable at that time, so we postponed that. When it went into service in July, we took the expense this year and not last year. So if we had taken it last year, y'all would have really been working at a break-even for the year.

1 MR. GURVICH: We don't have any long-term debt 2 on the building anymore? 3 MR. MCRIGHT: It's paid off. No. 4 MR. ROMERO: Do we get accelerated depreciation on the fingerprints; that's not a depreciable item? 5 6 MR. MCRIGHT: In your case, it doesn't make any 7 difference. Since you're a public office, you really don't get depreciation, so there's no reason to 8 accelerate it for any reason. Normally, we just take 9 10 straight line. 11 MR. ROMERO: Good. 12 MR. MCRIGHT: It won't affect you, because, when 13 you budget, you don't really budget depreciation. budget the purchase of capital assets, and that is how 14 15 your budgeting is done. It's done on a cash basis, not on an accrual basis to be bring in the depreciation 16 17 This is a little different from private expense. 18 companies. You have the taxes you worry about, and 19 that's the only advantage of having accelerated depreciation is paying less taxes. 20 21 MR. ROMERO: Thank you. 22 MR. GURVICH: Any questions/comments? Now, do we need to make a motion to adopt the final financial 23 2.4 statements as --25 MR. MCRIGHT: I would approve this as the

1	approved final copies that were submitted to the
2	legislative auditor and Division of Administration.
3	MR. GURVICH: Then I'll make a motion to approve
4	the amended, corrected, whatever, financial statement as
5	presented by our CPA. Do I hear a second?
6	MS. VINSON: Second.
7	MR. ROMERO: Second.
8	MR. ROJAS: Second.
9	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich. Seconded
10	by Ms. Vinson and Mr. Romero and Mr. Rojas on the end.
11	Any debate/discussion? All in favor of the motion say
12	aye.
13	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
14	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes
15	unanimously. Thank you. There is one more matter having
16	to do with the accountant.
17	OLD BUSINESS, FINANCIAL REPORT
18	MS. RYLAND: Wait. We have the amendment of the
19	budget.
20	MR. GURVICH: We have the budget was the other
21	thing I was thinking of.
22	MR. ROGILLIO: That's further down.
23	MR. MCRIGHT: You have the amended budget, plus
24	we have the current financials if you would like. I'll
25	do those right quick.

1	MR. GURVICH: All right. Yes, I think. Well,
2	current financials, obviously, they are going to be
3	amended.
4	MR. MCRIGHT: As of August 31st.
5	MR. GURVICH: Right. And they're going to be
6	amended, obviously, but that's part of the deal.
7	MR. MCRIGHT: But it gives you an idea of where
8	we stand as of right now.
9	MR. GURVICH: Right. Do you want to take a look
10	at that, then?
11	MR. MCRIGHT: Okay. Do they have it in their
12	packet?
13	MS. RYLAND: Yes, four pages in.
14	MR. MCRIGHT: Balance Sheet and Income Statement
15	for August 31st, 2014.
16	MS. RYLAND: It's right after the quarterly
17	report, the fourth page.
18	MR. GURVICH: Everything looks in order?
19	MR. MCRIGHT: Yes, sir.
20	MR. GURVICH: How are we tracking with, say,
21	last year, by way of comparison, month to month?
22	MR. MCRIGHT: We are in line as far as let me
23	make a statement first. Then we will explain why we're
24	in line. If you look at the income statement, it shows
25	for the two months ended that we have a \$60,904 loss for

two months. That amount, if you look at the computer updates, the last item on that income statement is 50,295. That's that fingerprint machine that we paid for in the first month of this fiscal year. So that throws the net income figure off for a while until revenues start coming in and we catch up somewhere near the middle of the year or so.

And then we can expect -- if you look at total expenses, we have so far \$230,000. We are budgeted on the 865,000, so we would be still at \$634,000 worth of expenses to come. We will amend the budget today to change that \$1,000 of computer updates to \$50,000 or so to put the budget back in line with what we expect the actual to be.

So far this year, as far as revenues goes, y'all have taken in \$169,000. Y'all expected -- the budget amount y'all expect, \$870,000 for the year, so we've still got 701,000. So we are looking at about \$70,000 a month to still come in. We've got -- I think, right now, we are averaging about a little under that. We've got 169 for the two months, so we're just a little under -- well, we're a little over, actually. I'm sorry. We're actually about 72,000 or so.

MR. GURVICH: Are we in any wise cyclical, or has it pretty much evened out that our monthly income is

more or less within 5 percent, 10 percent, maybe, during 1 2 the course of the year? 3 MR. MCRIGHT: Y'all will be close. The only thing that happens is that when y'all have events going 4 on where y'all have a bunch of guards being issued 5 licenses, it may go up for that month. 6 7 MR. GURVICH: That was my question really, that have we evened out over the years to where there is no 8 particular month where we do, say, more business than 9 10 anywhere else? MR. ROGILLIO: Generally at the beginning of 11 football season when LSU and the Saints play, we have an 12 increase at that time, but it's not a tremendous 13 increase. But other than that, no; it's pretty much 14 15 pretty much evened out. 16 MS. VINSON: It's not bigger in April with all 17 the events? 18 MR. ROGILLIO: Not really. It's primarily the football season that brings the increase in personnel. 19 20 And a lot of those are temporary people. 21 MR. GURVICH: So you have no concerns about 22 fallen revenues or anything like that just based on what 23 you're seeing? 24 MR. MCRIGHT: What I'm seeing, no. I think you are going to be working close to a break-even again. 25

That would be the only thing I would see right now. 1 think, under the new budget, we are looking at maybe 2 projecting a thousand dollars or so profit for the year. 3 But that changes pretty much during the year. You 4 have to keep looking at the budget to see what's being 5 expended, if anything emergent comes up. When we get 6 into the budget, we'll be talking about we've got a big 7 increase in LASERS. Health insurance is going up. 8 9 else? 10 MS. VALLERY: The LASERS is the main thing, because that was a 7 percent increase on the ER portion. 11 12 The portion that our agency pays went up almost 7 percent. And, group benefits, we're not real sure about 13 14 It's not supposed to go up, but. 15 MR. GURVICH: It's going up. 16 MS. VALLERY: The coverage, there's going to be 17 a drastic change in the coverage, not going to have the 18 coverage. 19 MR. GURVICH: Is the coverage going to change, 20 or --21 MS. VALLERY: Yes. 22 MR. GURVICH: -- or the health care provider is 23 going to be more limited? 24 MS. VALLERY: All of it's changing. We're going 25 to have, for a family, whereas, before, if you had an HMO

23 policy, you still had a \$15 and a \$25 co-pay. Now it's a 1 \$1500 co-pay -- I mean, a \$1500 deductible, and you still have your co-pays. A single policyholder is a \$500 deductible, and then still your co-pays. And they have changed a lot of the coverage. A lot of stuff is not being covered anymore. It's really, we are really going to take a hit. MR. GURVICH: I'm sorry to hear that, but we are experiencing a lot of the same situation. MS. VALLERY: It's not good. MR. GURVICH: Oh, well. I don't know what can be done about it, at any rate, except, moving on.

MS. RYLAND: Pay it, shut up, and go on.

MR. MCRIGHT: I think the only other thing that I really wanted to point out was, as of August 31st, y'all have roughly \$669,000 in savings and money market accounts -- I mean, checking and money market accounts. And so, if you remember, you had about a \$1,009,000 worth of total assets at 6/30. Right now it's a million thirty-five. So it's going up a little bit, but that's you really can't say that's going to stay that way. It's just a number. At that point in time, August 31st, at the close, it just happened to be that number.

MR. GURVICH: Now, do we -- this is like essentially a two-month statement of what's been going on

1	for this fiscal year. Is there anything we need to do by
2	way of approving it? Or I think we just really need to
3	be aware of it.
4	MR. MCRIGHT: I think this is just for
5	information purposes. It doesn't require any board
6	action, just to keep y'all informed of what's going on
7	within the agency.
8	MR. GURVICH: Right. Okay. Well, next matter,
9	then.
10	MR. ROGILLIO: Mr. Mack, we do need to talk
11	about amending the budget.
12	MR. MCRIGHT: Yes. That's the next item.
13	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes. They need to vote on that.
14	MS. RYLAND: Do we need to adopt this,
15	Mr. McRight, by motion like we did the other thing?
16	MR. GURVICH: No. This is just for information.
17	NEW BUSINESS, MISCELLANEOUS, BUDGET
18	MR. MCRIGHT: No. This is just for information
19	purposes only. Where does the budget amendment appear in
20	the packet?
21	MS. RYLAND: It's going to be after the Glover
22	information, after Glover's final letter. From the back
23	of your package, it will be the third page in.
24	MR. MCRIGHT: It should say Louisiana State
25	Board of Private Security at the top and Budget

1	Amendment, and it's two pages long.
2	MS. RYLAND: No. Wait a minute. What are you
3	talking about?
4	MS. VALLERY: The Amended Budget.
5	MR. MCRIGHT: Isn't that what we're looking for?
6	MS. RYLAND: No. You gave me this.
7	MS. VALLERY: That's the items that we are
8	amending.
9	MS. RYLAND: Then they don't have that.
10	MR. MCRIGHT: Oh, they don't have these two?
11	MS. RYLAND: No.
12	MR. GURVICH: That doesn't show up in our
13	packet?
14	MS. RYLAND: No.
15	MR. MCRIGHT: Do y'all have the page that
16	explains why we're changing things, but not the
17	individual items that will affect everything for the
18	year.
19	MR. GURVICH: The insurance, equipment. We
20	might take a look at what we do have. I guess it's
21	titled Amendments to Budget 2014-15. It looks like
22	there's a decrease in insurance and the group benefit
23	retirement, but an increase in everything else.
24	MR. MCRIGHT: Yes. The insurance, y'all had
25	fewer claims, so they are coming down on the rate a

little bit on that particular -- general liability, I 1 think, is the one that's coming down by that amount. 2 MR. GURVICH: A GL policy premium decrease, 3 general liability? 4 5 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. 6 MR. GURVICH: What else do we carry, by the way? 7 MR. ROGILLIO: I'm sorry? 8 What other kinds of policies do we MR. GURVICH: 9 have? 10 MR. ROGILLIO: It's all self-insured through the 11 state. 12 MR. GURVICH: So the workers' comp, the GL, auto 13 is all through the state? 14 MR. ROGILLIO: All through the state. Yes, sir. All through the state. We had an increase. 15 You may remember, not long after I came here, there was a suit 16 17 that was pending. 18 MR. GURVICH: The suit was a big increase. 19 MR. ROGILLIO: And it jumped from \$1500 a year to, like, 7500. I went down and met with somebody at the 20 ORM and they explained that we have to defend this and we 21 have to pay the attorney general to defend it and all 2.2 23 that. And it stayed at that point for some time, and 24 then it gradually decreased. 25 MR. MCRIGHT: The information that y'all had on

the sheet was in your packet. It is repeated on the two 1 2 sheets that y'all were just handed. 3 Basically, on the revenues, the only thing we are doing is, we had some corrections between categories that 4 amounted to \$2,150. We duplicated some numbers that we 5 moved back and changed them to the correct number, so 6 reducing revenues from 870 down to 868 for this coming 7 8 year. Over on expenses, that deduct you show -- that I had 9 on the insurance you're showing as 3,667, it's going down 10 from a budgeted 8,000 to a budgeted 4,300. Equipment 11 12 rental is going up 8,800. 13 MS. VALLERY: We underestimated it. 14 MR. MCRIGHT: We just put the wrong number in 15 our original budget. 16 Right, because we rent our postage MS. VALLERY: 17 meter and our copier. 18 MR. MCRIGHT: Okay. 19 MR. GURVICH: Those are the two things that they 20 rent; the car, we just -- the van, we own it? 21 MS. VALLERY: We own the van. 22 MR. MCRIGHT: The next two items is the insurance with group benefits and cost of group benefits 23 for retirees. We had done our estimate. We had included 24 3,000 in one category, and it should have been in the 25

1 other category, just moving it there.

The big deal now is LASERS is going from our estimate of 115,000 up to 131,000, with this new rate of 31.5 up to 37 percent and remembering that this applies basically to over half of your expenses is wages, so it applies to those wages, so it's a big item. It will go up accordingly so that you have a big increase in LASERS for that particular amount.

MR. GURVICH: Is this likely to be the increase, or is there more on the way?

MR. MCRIGHT: I think they're going to stick with this for the rest of the year. But you should expect another increase next year, unless something is done to counter the retirement system as we have it in effect right now. It's going to keep increasing.

See, right now, you're paying -- of every dollar you pay somebody, you are paying roughly 40 percent of it in -- is the benefits. So if you hire somebody for \$10, then their true cost is \$14 an hour, so it's just a cost of doing business. In your case, it's more prevalent, because salaries make up such a big part of your expenses.

And the one thing that we have to change down here at the bottom -- we didn't put it on here. I'm sorry. We need to put in the budget for \$50,000 on the

1	fingerprint machine. You have that expended already this
2	year.
3	MR. GURVICH: And we paid that, right; we paid
4	the 50?
5	MR. MCRIGHT: Y'all paid for it, right.
6	MS. VINSON: No. It's on there. Is that what
7	that last item is, Paid FP Machine?
8	MS. RYLAND: Yes. But it's not on here. It's
9	on here.
10	MS. VINSON: Oh, it's not on there.
11	MR. MCRIGHT: We didn't put it in the amendment
12	there. So we need to change this amendment to increase
13	Computer Update by \$50,000.
14	MR. GURVICH: Okay. I'll get that. 50,145.
15	MR. MCRIGHT. Right. Now, we will amend the
16	budget again later on toward the end of the year to take
17	into effect anything else that we mis-estimated on. It
18	will show up during the year as items start to come. We
19	just wanted to get these before the board now so we can
20	be comparing it as we go through the year.
21	MR. GURVICH: All right. So we need a motion to
22	approve the budget as amended which you have presented
23	here and to account for the \$50,145, which, I guess,
24	should go into Expenses.
25	MR. MCRIGHT: Yes, sir.

1	MR. GURVICH: Okay. So I will make a motion to
2	that effect. Do I hear a second?
3	MR. ROJAS: Second.
4	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich, second by
5	Mr. Rojas. Does everybody understand that we are adding
6	into our expenditure to account for the fingerprint
7	machine, which isn't in there now, so your total expense
8	is going to go up by \$50,145.
9	MR. MCRIGHT: Right.
10	MR. GURVICH: Any questions or comments? All in
11	favor of the motion as stated say aye.
12	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
13	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes
14	unanimously.
15	MR. MCRIGHT: I have one more thing.
16	MR. GURVICH: I'm sorry. Go ahead.
17	MR. MCRIGHT: I'd like to re-introduce my wife,
18	Janette, who is also a partner in the firm, and she will
19	be starting to do more and more with y'all also during
20	the coming year.
21	MR. GURVICH: Senior partner of the firm, I
22	guess.
23	MR. MCRIGHT: Well, let's say I'm partner with
24	her.
25	MR. GURVICH: I'm not going to address that.

It's a rhetorical question.

MR. MCRIGHT: Right.

NEW BUSINESS, MISCELLANEOUS, GENERATOR

MR. GURVICH: So that, I think, covers the financials. My question is that, somewhere today, we are going to be addressing the purchase of a generator. And I know that we are fairly close on the budget, but we also have a pretty substantial -- am I using the correct term to say that what we keep is "retained earnings"? I mean, we are not a corporation, for profit.

MR. MCRIGHT: No. As far as governmental agencies, it's called fund balance.

MR. GURVICH: Fund balance, okay. In other words, does our fund balance, in your estimation, enable us to make expenditure for a generator? I have personally always been in favor of it, because we -- Baton Rouge is going to get -- the public utilities will get taken out in anything more than a minor hurricane.

The board is obligated to do a whole bunch of things during and immediately after a storm, and I don't think we can take weeks and weeks off and be out. I think it's an important element to have in our capabilities to be able to function in a comfortable building after, presumably, a storm. I guess it could be some other disaster, but, in this area, it's going to be a

1 hurricane. And so my question is, the revenues and expenses are 2 fairly close, but you've got your fund balance was pretty 3 solid. Do you feel that the expenditure that we 4 anticipate, and I know we've got to approve that, but 5 you're somewhere in the \$70,000 range? 6 7 MR. ROGILLIO: For the generator? 8 MR. GURVICH: For the generator. 9 MR. ROGILLIO: I have had two proposals. Both are within a couple hundred dollars of each. 10 11 generator itself is a 70kw. 12 MR. GURVICH: It's a big guy. 13 MR. ROGILLIO: It would run -- well, accommodate 14 this building, according to this. 15 MR. GURVICH: You could run this building and 16 live -- I mean, you can run your HVAC. 70kw for this place, you wouldn't notice the difference if you lost the 17 power, in my estimation. Correct me if I'm wrong, but 18 you would have HVAC, you would have lights, you would 19 20 have everything you have. MR. ROGILLIO: You could run the computers and 21 some of the air conditioning and the lights. 2.2 23 MR. GURVICH: Does that include your transfer switches, your gas lines, the whole thing? 24 25 MR. ROGILLIO: It would. The two estimates that

I have now, understand that these proposals I have 1 received will still have to go out for bid. 2 3 MR. GURVICH: Sure. MR. ROGILLIO: Both were within a couple hundred 4 dollars of each, and they were a little over \$49,000. 5 But to run a gas line to that, we have no way to get 6 behind the building which is what they recommend, we put 7 it back here, because that's where our main electrical 8 box is on the outside. And it would have to hook to 9 10 So I couldn't get a truck back there for fuel for that. 11 gas or diesel to refuel it. 12 MR. GURVICH: Gas is probably the way to go. 13 MR. ROGILLIO: So it would be running a gas I had Denham Springs Gas Company. 14 line. Imagine that. and we're in Baton Rouge. But they are the ones that 15 16 have the control over the gas utilities here in this 17 area, and they gave me an estimate of almost \$10,000. So you're looking at close to 60,000. 18 19 MR. GURVICH: Well, okay. Say you are in the 60- to 70,000 range. Say 70 just to account for whatever 20 might happen. And you've got your fund balance is 400 21 22 and --23 MR. MCRIGHT: \$417,000 on August 31st. 24 MR. GURVICH: Unlike a for-profit corporation,

do you think it's really necessary for us to be worried

about, you know, debt equity ratios and things like that, where retained earnings becomes a factor? I mean, we're a public agency. I don't know that that's a factor when the banks -- we don't really do business with banks.

MR. MCRIGHT: Don't do anything with banks or anything like that. Y'all have roughly 100 and something thousand dollars of unreserved fund balance. And that's a misnomer, because it's unreserved, because you have fixed assets they require -- the government requires you to reserve part of your retained earnings to go against the net book value of fixed assets. In this case, it's \$375,000. So of that 417, 375 is paper-committed to offset the assets, okay, but it's a paper entry, though. That's all it is.

Now, the real thing to look at is, even though you have this fund balance, that's not the controlling factor. The controlling factor in my mind would be, y'all do have the cash on hand. So y'all are able to pay for it without incurring debt or anything like that. So you would be able to put it in and pay for -- you would have a paper entry that looks kind of bad, but that's -- but nobody's looking at that particular item as determining what y'all need to be doing as long as you don't get into a negative situation that you contribute to it negatively ever year thereafter. I don't think you

1	Would have a problem
	would have a problem.
2	MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman.
3	MR. GURVICH: Mr. Romero.
4	MR. ROMERO: Since we've been in this building,
5	what's the longest period of time that we have been
6	without power?
7	MR. ROGILLIO: I think, after Katrina hit, we
8	were out about four or five days.
9	MS. RYLAND: It was Gustav that we got hit bad,
10	and it was five days.
11	MR. ROGILLIO: Five days. But the thing about
12	it is, we are capable of going to state police and using
13	their facility and transferring phone calls from here to
14	there. So they are going to be operable, no matter what.
15	MR. GURVICH: You mean GOHSEP?
16	MR. ROGILLIO: GOHSEP Office, yes, sir.
17	MR. ROMERO: I guess that would be my question:
18	If we have been without power once for five days since
19	we've been in this building, is it really necessary for
20	us to spend \$60,000?
21	MS. RYLAND: Well, during Gustav, I had a laptop
22	at home. I did everything, e-mail and made phone calls
23	on my cell phone from home, and we didn't have a problem
24	at all.
25	MR. ROGILLIO: It's not that we've had a

1	situation where we were that without power for that
2	length of time, so.
3	MR. GURVICH: Let me make a suggestion. I think
4	this is a very good line of questioning. But for the
5	purposes of this gentleman, we might want to wrap it up.
6	In other words, you think, from a cash standpoint, this
7	is a doable deal whether we want to do it or not?
8	MR. MCRIGHT: It's something the board decides.
9	Y'all can afford it.
10	MR. GURVICH: Right. Is there any further
11	questions for our account officer here? Is there
12	anything further you require from us, or you feel we've
13	covered it all?
14	MR. MCRIGHT: No, sir. I think y'all have
15	covered it all.
16	MR. GURVICH: Well, thank you for being here
17	today.
18	MR. MCRIGHT: And I will be happy to stay around
19	if y'all need to me answer any more questions.
20	MR. GURVICH: Sure. By all means. We have kind
21	of waived the agenda. Do you want to go into the
22	generator issue since we are kind of there?
23	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, yes, sir, we can.
24	MR. GURVICH: Then we will take that matter up
25	next, and the floor is open. Say something

1 MR. ROMERO: I already have. 2 MR. ROJAS: I think we have been discussing the generator for the last 10, 15 minutes here. I think Chip 3 made a good point about it. 4 5 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Staff. MR. ROGILLIO: Well, it's kind of basically in 6 the board's hands as to whether or not you want to pursue 7 If you want it, as I say, I've got two proposals 8 from two different companies. Personally, I don't think 9 that we need to spend that kind of money for an incident 10 that happened one time in, what, five, six, seven years, 11 where we had five days out of power, especially when Jane 12 can use her laptop or we can go to state police. I just 13 think it's a lot of money wasted. 14 15 I make a motion we table the motion MR. ROJAS: of the discussion of the generator until such time when 16 it may be necessary to have one. But I go along with 17 18 Mr. Romero. 19 MR. BAER: Second. 20 Well, okay. A motion to table. MR. GURVICH: 21 If y'all don't want to deal with it finally, we can table 22 it. I don't care. We can say no if you want. 23 MR. ROMERO: I think, essentially, we have said 24 no. 25 MR. GURVICH: Well, okay, but, I mean,

technically, it's on the table. So we have a motion to 1 table the generator discussion. Any debate/discussion? 2 3 All in favor say aye. 4 ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 5 MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? Okay. It's on the If anyone wants to take it off the table, they 6 table. can, one day. All right. Next matter. What do you want 7 8 to do? 9 NEW BUSINESS, MISCELLANEOUS, IASIR 10 MR. ROGILLIO: I have requested in the agenda for approval to go to the IASIR meeting in Louisville, 11 Kentucky, which I will drive to if the board so approves 12 13 me going. 14 MR. GURVICH: You want to drive to Louisville, 15 Kentucky? 16 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes. It's about 12 hours. 17 That's not a problem. 18 MR. GURVICH: You mean you're taking the 19 motorcycle? 20 MR. ROGILLIO: Oh, no. It might rain, and I don't know if I can carry enough clothes. 21 22 MR. GURVICH: All right. I will make a motion to approve the expenditure to send our -- what are you, 23 technically? You are our administrative secretary? 24 25 MR. ROGILLIO: Executive secretary.

1	MR. GURVICH: Executive secretary, whom you are
2	
	also a past president of this organization.
3	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
4	MR. GURVICH: And you're still on the board,
5	aren't you?
6	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. As a past president.
7	MR. GURVICH: Do I hear a second?
8	SEVERAL BOARD MEMBERS: Second.
9	MR. GURVICH: Jointly seconded by Mr. Baer, was
10	it
11	MR. BAER: Yep.
12	MR. GURVICH: and Ms. Vinson and Mr. Rojas
13	and Mr. Cotton. All right. All in favor of the motion
14	as stated say aye.
15	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
16	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes, I
17	believe, unanimously. Have a nice trip.
18	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, in light of the fact that
19	Mr. Gurvich offered to have this in New Orleans next
20	year, I think it's necessary for me to go and get some
21	pointers.
22	MR. GURVICH: Yes. I made a suggestion. They
23	hadn't been to New Orleans in 15 years. If they wanted
24	to come to New Orleans, we could certainly work it out
25	for them, get a good set of hotel rates. I'm not

suggesting we have to spend any money. Maybe we could host a party or something, but nothing major.

But I think it would be nice. I think it's a good draw. It's a great organization, and if we are in New Orleans, then I hope everything would attend. You'll be meeting people who do the same thing we do in other states. And it really is an eye-opener. I'm glad I was there at the beginning of the organization. I have learned a lot from it. I think you have too. And I'm hoping somebody would be able to get there if we can hold it in New Orleans. At any rate, next matter.

NEW BUSINESS, MISCELLANEOUS, TRAINING

MR. ROGILLIO: Okay. Item 5 is the -- you may be aware, the law was changed back in -- actually, it took effect August 1st of this year, which required instructors to submit application -- I'm sorry, training forms as opposed to the companies having to submit the training forms. And you asked me to put it on the agenda, which I've done, to discuss it, so.

MR. GURVICH: Well, I will explain as to how we arrived at this point. I got a phone call from very irritated Paul Graffeo asking how we could have done this. And I said, I didn't happen to attend that meeting. That was my excuse.

And he said this is going to commit the instructors

to a whole bunch of paperwork, blah-blah. 1 So, I said, "Well, Paul, I will be happy to put it 2 on the agenda." And a month or two later, I said, the 3 next board meeting is such and such, today. And I said, 4 "You do plan on being here, right?" 5 What he told me was probably not something that we 6 want to put on the -- commit to the public thing, but he 7 does not plan on being here and I do not believe he is 8 9 here today. 10 Now, it's on the agenda. We can discuss it if anybody wants. It's certainly not necessary, or we can 11 move on. I mean, are there any instructors here that 12 13 want to address the issue? 14 Chief, give them a very brief -- what the change in the law, and this is a statutory change. 15 16 MR. ROGILLIO: Statutory. 17 MR. GURVICH: What does it do? 18 MR. ROGILLIO: Actually, I think the gist of it 19 was the fact that companies are getting fined for instructors withholding the training forms for a period 20 of time until they either are paid for the services that 21 they perform, which causes the company, then, to have a 22 fine. And correct me if I'm wrong, Ms. Vinson, is that 23 not the gist of what this was about? 24 25 MS. VINSON: From what I remember, that's what

the input on that. Training companies were holding 1 training certificates and not releasing them. 2 3 MR. ROGILLIO: Right. We've had a number --4 actually, the only complaint since this passed we've received was from Mr. Graffeo. I haven't heard from any 5 other instructors about having a problem with this. 6 7 What is his concern? MR. BAER: MR. ROGILLIO: It's requiring him to do more 8 paperwork, basically, because he has to make copies for 9 10 his file on the company, submit copies for the company to 11 keep and then submit the originals to us. 12 Training verification sheet, right? MR. BAER: 13 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. 14 MR. GURVICH: Well, does anyone oppose any 15 action one way or the other on this? I mean, without 16 Mr. Graffeo's input, it's difficult to know exactly what 17 he suggests to the board that we do. 18 MS. VINSON: I think, if no one is here to address any concerns they have about it, I think we can 19 let it go. 20 21 MR. ROMERO: I will agree with Ms. Vinson. 2.2 MR. GURVICH: And I don't see any alternative. 23 So, okay, then. We can move on. 24 Sir, if you would, come on up and find a mic, an 25 open mic, and give us your name. And you may address the

board as you please.

COLONEL BROUSSARD: Colonel Robert Broussard, with Excel, state instructor and qualifying agent. I think I know along the lines of the other gentleman's question, not so much the paperwork side of it, because the instructor has to fill out the forms anyway.

We do outside training, and there have been times where I deal with companies that are in Texas or whatever. And they will send me a student that is one day away from expiring. We do the forms. We send the forms back to the client. They say, oh, well, it got to us too late. I couldn't send it in.

If the instructor is required to submit the forms, does that make us liable if they send us a student late?

MR. GURVICH: That's a good question.

MR. ROGILLIO: The instructor is held accountable.

MS. RYLAND: No, not if the student is late. The company is held responsible. If it's 29 days and they have 30 days to train them, the company is responsible for getting their student trained. The only thing the instructor is responsible for is submitting that piece of paper within 15 days of the date of training. I mean, if he trains him one day and sends him in that day, in the next two days, he is fine, because we

1	go by postmark days.
2	MR. GURVICH: You've got two deadlines. He's
3	got X-amount of time to turn it in. The company has
4	X-amount of time to get the training done.
5	MS. RYLAND: Right. But he is not responsible
6	for the company sending him to the guy to him on the
7	29th day. All he is responsible for is to get that
8	paperwork to us within 15 days of the date of training.
9	MR. GURVICH: Mr. Rojas had a comment or a
10	question?
11	MR. ROJAS: No, no. I agree with her. That's
12	right.
13	MS. VINSON: That's right.
14	MR. GURVICH: Well, I mean, you feel that you
15	can adequately distinguish who is culpable for some fine
16	versus the next guy, the company versus the instructor?
17	MR. ROGILLIO: Pretty much by the dates of the
18	application.
19	MS. RYLAND: Date of hire, date of training.
20	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes.
21	MS. RYLAND: And we have reports that are ran
22	for that anyway.
23	MR. GURVICH: When did this change in the
24	statute was in effect?
25	MR. ROGILLIO: It was in effect August 1st.

MR. GURVICH: Has it created any problems?

MR. ROGILLIO: No, not that we have heard. Just

Mr. Graffeo was the only one complaining about it.

MR. GURVICH: Well, has our discussion answered your question? In other words, you have two different date lines going on.

COLONEL BROUSSARD: When we got the notice in, our biggest question was, does this take away our arguments with the other companies? because, as the law was before, if I had somebody that sent me a student, I fill out the forms that day, I give them to the students, they take this back to their company. They send it off. It should be postmarked. if they're going back to the company that day, it will be postmarked that day. Everything is great.

Whenever the company would call me and say I got a fine because my student didn't achieve his class in time, I said, Well, it is your responsibility. It takes the liability of the client off of me.

With the new law, a lot of the instructors that I have spoken to have said, this may put the liability on us. Do we lose our argument? And it seems as though, between Jane and Mr. Rogillio, they will side with the instructor as long as we can prove that the liable company was late sending the paperwork.

1	MS. VINSON: Yes. The way I understand the law
2	is, as a company, it's my responsibility to get my
3	employee trained on time by the deadline, and it's your
4	responsibility as the instructor to get it in by 15 days.
5	Also, it's up to each company how they handle it.
6	I'm also not going to rely on that employee to bring me
7	that paperwork. You know, I'm going to pick it up or
8	send someone there to pick it up. That way, they are not
9	holding onto it for five days.
10	COLONEL BROUSSARD: We do a lot of training for
11	companies out of Texas and Lake Charles and things like
12	that. They are willing to drive to Breaux Bridge.
13	MR. GURVICH: So the company has 30 days, how
14	long to get it there?
15	MR. ROGILLIO: 30 days for the first eight, 60
16	days for the second eight.
17	MR. GURVICH: And the instructor has 15 days?
18	MR. ROGILLIO: 15 days to send it in.
19	MR. BAER: That's unarmed?
20	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes. If it's armed, it has to be
21	submitted before that person stands a post.
22	MR. BAER: How do you guys I mean, so, right
23	now, you send the originals to the state?
24	COLONEL BROUSSARD: It depends. Normally, if
25	they tell me if I get a company that says, look, my

guy needs his classes in, like, five days. I say, send 1 him in, and I will send it for you. And I will do it for 2 3 you. 4 A lot of companies won't do that. The law didn't affect Excel that much, because we've done this for 5 6 years. 7 Well, now with the new law, you're MR. BAER: required to send the original in to the state, correct? 8 9 COLONEL BROUSSARD: Yes. 10 MS. VINSON: Right. So now, I think, the thing 11 is, it's costing some training companies more in terms 12 of, you know, time for paperwork, making copies, and separate mailouts to the companies and things like that. 13 We are also -- I mean, you are still requiring the 14 companies to have a copy of it in their file should the 15 state board come and do an audit. Now the burden is on 16 17 the instructor to make all those copies, sort them, get them to their company that is the training company. 18 19 MR. GURVICH: Do you accept faxes and scanned 20 e-mails, documents? 2.1 MR. ROGILLIO: For training? 22 MR. GURVICH: For training. 23 MR. ROGILLIO: No, sir. 24 MR. GURVICH: It has to be an original? 25 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.

In

1 MR. GURVICH: Is it possible, then, that this change in the law might cause some problems between 2 companies and instructors as to who is responsible. 3 other words, we do -- it used to be the company was just 4 5 flat-out responsible for the fine. Now we have a situation where the instructor might be responsible for 6 the fine. It strikes me that the instructor should 7 certainly date-stamp everything he receives from the 8 9 company. 10 MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman 11 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Romero. 12 MR. ROMERO: Isn't the instructor only responsible for submitting the form within 15 days of the 13 14 training --15 MS. RYLAND: Yes. 16 MR. ROMERO: -- being provided? There is no 17 other responsibility that the instructor has to deal with 18 the employee, correct? 19 MS. RYLAND: No. 20

21

22

23

24

25

And I tell companies all the time when they call me, if you can't get a copy, you know that it has to be in our office within 15 days. Call me on the 16th day. We will e-mail you a copy, or we will send you a copy by mail. We can get you a copy if your instructor don't want to do it. But we can get you a copy. So you will have plenty of time to get a copy from

	1	us.
	2	MS VINSON: And I don't know why an instructor
	3	shouldn't have to have some burden of responsibility in
	4	getting the information to the state board on who they
	5	trained.
	6	MS. RYLAND: Because the problem was, some
	7	instructors were holding
	8	MS. VINSON: I think it's clearly defined.
	9	Right. I think now it's clearly defined on who has to do
	10	what. I don't see a problem with any of it. I don't
	11	know why we are even continuing to discuss it.
	12	MR. GURVICH: Well, because you might have a
	13	fight between a company and an instructor.
	14	MS. RYLAND: Tell the board office. We'll be
	15	glad to send you a copy.
	16	MR. GURVICH: So you feel like this is a
	17	workable situation.
	18	COLONEL BROUSSARD: As long as the companies
	19	with instructors know the board has our back as long as
	20	we are in the right.
	21	MR. GURVICH: Thank you. Any further
	22	discussion? I don't think there is any action for the
	23	board to make. Thank you for being here. Next matter.
	24	LEGAL UPDATE/LEGISLATION
	25	MR. ROGILLIO: We've got to move back up to

Legal Update/Legislation. 1 2 MR. GURVICH: Yes. We have massacred the 3 agenda. MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. Item 4, which is the 4 Legal Update/Legislation, I don't know --5 6 MS. AUCOIN: Nothing. 7 MR. ROGILLIO: Nothing to report. I would point out, called to our attention as of yesterday by a 8 gentleman whose license as an instructor had expired, the 9 10 law says he is to renew annually, within a year. Okay? There is a rule which I have marked in my book that says 11 every two years, so we have a conflict in the rule, so 12 13 what I would like --14 MS. VINSON: Doesn't the legislation supercede 15 the rule book? 16 MR. ROGILLIO: Well, basically, it does, but 17 since he challenged us -- it would have been a fine -- we would forego the fine based on the fact that we have a 18 conflict here. So what I would like to do is ask the 19 board to consider us amending this rule either to strike 20 21 this paragraph or amend it to where it says the same 22 thing that the law says, so. It's not a big deal, but, I 2.3 mean. 24 MS. AUCOIN: I haven't looked at it enough to know what, which would be the more appropriate as long as 25

1	I have y'all's approval to fix it.
2	MS. VINSON: I make a motion that we fix it.
3	MR. ROMERO: I'll second.
4	MR. ROGILLIO: If you could be a little more
5	clear about, do you want to fix it as
6	MS. VINSON: I'd like you to strike it.
7	MR. ROGILLIO: Strike it completely?
8	MS. VINSON: Yes. We could strike it from the
9	rules and go by what's in the law. I think that's
10	cleaner.
11	MR. ROGILLIO: Okay. I was going to suggest
12	either that or just change it to the year like the law
13	is, so, but either way is fine.
14	MR. GURVICH: So the intent of the motion is
15	that we are going to instruct the board staff and counsel
16	to make the appropriated adjustment and, what, present it
17	at the next meeting or?
18	MR. ROGILLIO: No. Once you vote on it, we'll
19	just submit it for a change.
20	MR. GURVICH: As far as the time line running in
21	terms of the legal notices and Register and everything
22	else?
23	MS. AUCOIN: I haven't done a lot of rules in my
24	life, but there are a lot of procedures that we have to
25	go through to get them to publish them and do a lot of

1 things. 2 MR. ROGILLIO: It has to be published in the Louisiana Register. 3 MR. GURVICH: It has to go to the Register, and 4 5 the whole process is, like, 120 days. 6 MR. ROGILLIO: 120 days if there is no opposition, and it passes without a problem. 7 MR. GURVICH: So that's the intent of the motion 8 is to start this process. 9 Okay. Mr. Romero. 10 MR. ROMERO: Do you think maybe that it might be -- I know it's clean the way you are suggesting it. 11 But what if people have rules that are already published 12 and, for whatever reason, don't receive a new copy, if 13 the rule would match the law just to eliminate any 14 confusion going forward? 15 16 MS. VINSON: Is the law and the rules on the web 17 So you can print both a copy of the law and then a site? 18 copy of the rules. 19 MS. RYLAND: The most current, we have on there. However, I am in the process of trying to get the new 20 laws to us with the stuff that went into effect on 2.1 22 August 1st. 23 MR. ROGILLIO: We normally, when something like that is affecting the industry, we normally make copies 24 and send those out with an explanation to the industry. 25

Maybe not everybody reads them or maybe they don't get 1 2 them, but --3 MR. BAER: Ouestion. MR. ROGILLIO: -- I'm inclined to agree with 4 Mr. Romero that maybe we might want do the same thing 5 that the law says as opposed to totally striking it. 6 7 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Baer. 8 That was my question. I think it MR. BAER: still should be in the rules, just make a correction, 9 instead of two years, one year. 10 11 MS. VINSON: Well, I amend the motion. 12 MR. GURVICH: All right. So you want to amend 13 the motion. 14 MS. VINSON: To state that we will fix the rules to mirror what is in the law. 15 16 MR. GURVICH: Okay. And I will second the motion as amended. Okay. Further debate or discussion? 17 All in favor of the motion as stated say aye. 18 19 ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 20 MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes unanimously. Okay. Now you have something to do. 21 22 MR. ROGILLIO: We can go back up to Item 3, which is the adjudicatory hearings. And, at that point, 23 we will have to go into executive session. 2.4 25 MR. GURVICH: The folks for the hearing, what's

1	the name?
2	MS. VINSON: If I can
3	MR. GURVICH: Go ahead.
4	DETERMINATION OF DATE OF NEXT BOARD MEETING
5	MS. VINSON: I understand that it's a Vinson
6	employee, a former Vinson employee, so I would recuse
7	myself from that. And being that the only other item
8	left on the agenda would be to determine the next board
9	meeting, can we do that, and I can just excuse myself?
10	MR. GURVICH: Lucky for you we still have a
11	quorum.
12	MR. ROGILLIO: Do you want to consider
13	December 11th?
14	MR. GURVICH: Is december 11th suitable, 9:30,
15	here in the board office?
16	MS. VINSON: Nine o'clock for you, Mr. Gurvich.
17	MR. GURVICH: 9:30 for everybody else. All
18	right. We have a motion the floor for the 11th.
19	MR. ROMERO: Second.
20	MR. GURVICH: Second by Mr. Romero. All in
21	favor of the motion as stated say aye.
22	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
23	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes.
24	So December 11th. Thank you for being here today. And
5	SO VOIL are required

1 ADJUDICATORY HEARING, PAUL PICKENS 2 MR. GURVICH: Well, let's see now. Give me the reason that we need to go into executive session. 3 MR. ROGILLIO: We were instructed, once the federal government came in and did the audit of our 5 files, we were instructed that we would not discuss 6 somebody's criminal background if a hearing was requested 7 for that purpose on a denial without going into executive 8 session where only the individual involved and the board, 9 of course, will be there, and the attorneys. 10 11 MR. GURVICH: Okay. So, and this is the Paul 12 Pickens matter? 13 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. 14 MR. GURVICH: And Mr. Pickens is here today? 15 All right. On the grounds just stated by our executive secretary, I will make a motion that we are 16 17 required to go into executive session. All in favor of 18 the motion to go into executive session for the purpose of handling this hearing for Mr. Pickens say aye. 19 20 ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 21 MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes 22 unanimously. (Executive session.) 23 (Ms. Vinson is not present.) 24 25 ADJUDICATORY HEARING, PAUL PICKENS (CONT'D)

1 MR. GURVICH: Now I would like to reinsert Mr. Romero's motion to state after we came out of 2 executive session that he made the motion and I seconded 3 it and we passed it. Is that acceptable to the board? 4 5 We are actually supposed to do that after we came -- my bad, but we made that motion after we came out of 7 executive session. Okay. So any Old Business that we haven't gotten around to? 8 9 MR. BAER: Ouestion. 10 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Baer. 11 MR. BAER: Is the public invited back in? 12 MR. GURVICH: Yes. The public is invited back 13 Good point. If anyone is left standing. in. 14 MR. CAIN: No one else there. 15 MR. GURVICH: Miscellaneous? 16 MS. RYLAND: We are your public. How about 17 that? 18 QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC 19 MR. GURVICH: Questions From the Public? 20 MR. ROGILLIO: That's it. 21 MR. BAER: I have one more question. 22 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Baer. 23 MR. BAER: You were talking about the rule, the 24 instructor, changing that. I think there's some other stuff in there that needs to be changed also. I know the 25

fees are still listed, the old fees. Can that be swept 1 2 through all at the same time? 3 MS. AUCOIN: We can -- I mean, we can review. 4 MR. BAER: On the rules, it says still \$20 application fee and all the. 5 6 MS. RYLAND: Actually, I think that has been changed and we haven't gotten the new rules from. 7 not actually sure, but we need to get the new rules. 8 9 MR. BAER: Oh, okay. I know, right here, the fees are all not accurate. 10 11 MS. AUCOIN: (Inaudible) them recently, new 12 rules? 13 MS. RYLAND: No. We haven't done -- I'm talking 14 about this was taken care of a while back, maybe. 15 will have to look and see. 16 MS. AUCOIN: If y'all would like, when we go in 17 to change the rule that y'all have already passed, we can 18 do a -- you know, kind of go through all of them and make sure everything matches up and, if there's some other 19 20 problem, bring it to y'all. 21 MR. BAER: That's fine. 22 MR. ROGILLIO: When you are talking about the 23 fees --24 MR. BAER: If you look at the rules, the application fee is 20. 25

	MS. RYLAND: No. It's 20, and you have the \$10
2	administrative fee. So the fee is correct, but it also
3	states in there that the last toward the last of the
4	rules, that an administrative fee will be
5	MR. BAER: Right. But an application fee is 30,
6	right? And then you've got 10. That's 40.
7	MS. RYLAND: You're talking about an application
8	for an instructor, or are we on the guards?
9	MR. BAER: Security officer.
10	MS. RYLAND: Oh, I thought you were talking
11	about instructors, because that's what y'all cleaned up.
12	MR. GURVICH: I think you're wrong.
13	
14	MR. ROGILLIO: Are you in the law or the rules?
15	MR. BAER: The law.
16	MR. ROGILLIO: That's been changed.
17	MR. BAER: It still lists on this copy right
	here, it says, application fee, 20.
18	MS. RYLAND: Yes, it does, right.
19	MR. GURVICH: Yes. We need to conform that. I
20	mean, I don't think we need a board motion to do that.
21	MR. BAER: I'm saying it's not accurate.
22	MR. GURVICH: That's a good point.
23	MR. ROMERO: I'd say that's a good catch.
24	MR. GURVICH: Any further business? If not, I
25	will entertain a motion to adjourn "sine die."
	Diffe die.

MR. BAER: Second. MR. GURVICH: All in favor of Mr. Baer's motion to adjourn say aye. ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? This meeting is closed. Thank you, folks. (Proceedings are concluded at 11:20 a.m.)

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, Annette Ross, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, as the Officer before whom 4 this testimony was taken, do hereby certify: 5 That the proceedings as herein before set forth in the foregoing 59 pages was reported by me in stenographic machine shorthand, transcribed by me or under my personal 6 direction and supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding; 7 8 That I am not of counsel, nor related to any person participating in this cause, and am in no way interested in the outcome of this event; 9 10 That the transcript has been prepared in compliance with the transcript format guidelines required by statute 11 and by rules of the board; 12 That I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on contractual relationships as defined by Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure Article 1434 and in rules and advisory 13 opinions of the board. 14 This certification is valid only for a transcript accompanied by my original signature and raised seal on 15 16 17 18 19 20 ANNETTE ROSS, 21 CCR NO. 93001 22 18th day of October, 2014 23 24 25