

1 **Item #2-Shoreline:** Mr. Pratt stated that Mr. Chance was absent to a family death
2 and no report would be given until next month.

3 **Item #3-Operational:** Mr. Carr stated that from the **Toledo Bend Division**, staff
4 made timely request for assistance to FEMA. He continued that he and Mr. Founds had
5 met with FEMA twice concerning damage to the seawalls and the spillway channel as
6 well as made visits to each site and are preparing an estimated cost to repair damaged
7 areas of SRA parks located in Louisiana and SRATX will handle any damage to TBPJO
8 property located in Texas. He stated that the crew continues to work on the office at San
9 Miguel. He stated that the entire Maintenance crew worked the BASS Master's Elite
10 Tournament, which was a huge success, drawing approximately 14,000 spectators for the
11 four-day tournament. He stated that the buoy crew has been on the reservoir maintaining
12 our 180 miles of boat lanes and over 10,000 buoys. He stated that park and ground
13 maintenance is in full swing utilizing the inmate crew and the summertime workers. He
14 stated that from the **Toledo Bend Project Joint Operations** crew has been clearing
15 Berm Road of approximately a dozen trees that were uprooted by the March spillway
16 releases and the crew also removed large deposits of sand from the road. He stated that
17 the crew worked on relocating the staging area for the contractors working on the
18 spillway gates since the original site was washed away by the March releases. He stated
19 that the crew recovered all of Blastco's equipment from the original staging area and
20 moved it to the new area. He stated that the crew built a road from the new staging area
21 to the top of the spillway. He stated that the crew repaired the washed out road from
22 highway to the TBPJO shop and repaired a large washout on the north side of the
23 Powerhouse channel road. He stated that the crew cleaned and reassembled the TBPJO
24 shop after being flooded to a depth of seven feet due to Spillway releases. He stated the
25 entire Project has been mowed and bush hogged; all hedges at the Louisiana and Texas
26 offices were trimmed. He stated that herbicides were sprayed in the appropriate areas.
27 He continued that the staff had read all Piezometers for 2nd Quarter Instrumentation
28 Report. He stated that all weekly, monthly, and quarterly surveillance reports have been
29 completed. He stated that a wench was installed on Truck #11. He stated that several
30 base failures at the Louisiana and Texas offices were repaired. He stated that the crew
31 mowed all toe ditches and removed logs and other debris from the Powerhouse intake

1 racks. He stated that the crew installed a safety screen on trackhoe cabin window. He
2 stated that he had received a report from Mr. Briggs, Entergy representative that Chicago
3 Bridge and Iron (CBI) was preparing cost estimates and availabilities from subcontractors
4 for sandblasting, welding, NDE, and heat treating. He stated that MSDS submission and
5 approval is occurring as products are identified to be used for each of these processes and
6 that many of the activities will occur simultaneously and coordination of the different
7 subcontractors as necessary. He stated that sandblasting and initial round of NDE could
8 begin week after next pending cost estimate evaluations, MSDS approvals, and awarding
9 of subcontracts. He continued with Mr. Briggs' report, stating that the start of the
10 welding process would be a function of how good or bad the initial round of NDE goes,
11 and the quantity of areas that have to be ground out. He continued that the flushing
12 could take place after the welding was done and tested, and must happen after the initial
13 disassembly of the oil head. He stated that staff has not received any comment,
14 questions, or direction with regards to finalizing oil head modification in several weeks
15 so that still needs to be nailed down. He stated that vendors for turbine instrumentation
16 and governor control sent estimates to SRA a week or so ago, and that item still needs to
17 be nailed down. He stated that grinding and burring weld grooves for seal and blade
18 welding in preparation for those areas to be grit blasted is currently being done. He
19 stated that the fitting for the individual blade blocks for their respective locations and
20 expect to be complete with these activities so that the start of blasting will not be delayed.
21 Mr. Carr stated that he and Mr. Rumsey had taken part in a call regarding the blocks and
22 final welding specifications and the following report was received from HDR: the report
23 stated that the final block design and installation drawing was submitted last week after
24 incorporating input from Mr. Briggs; weld stress analysis structural integrity is sending
25 the final stamped version by Monday; the weld specification has been released by
26 structural integrity; the oil head modification details are still being worked on by David
27 Light and Mr. Briggs; the governor system controls quote had been received and
28 forwarded to Alan Fox at American Governor; the vibration system design is in process,
29 which could be a Rockwell vibration system, for monitoring and protection of the unit.
30 He stated that this project is moving at a very slow pace. He stated that the contract
31 amount with HDR is \$190,000.00 and \$95,000.00 has already been expensed. He stated

1 that no billing has been received from Entergy for CB&I's actual work on the unit. He
2 stated that the annual FERC inspection was performed on May 24th and 25th and there
3 were no immediate problems found during the inspection, but it will be a few weeks
4 before staff will receive the official written report. He stated that from the **Sabine**
5 **Diversion Canal**, Mr. Founds, Mr. Rumsey and I meet with the SRD staff, Sasol and
6 Fluor Engineering to discuss the pipe rack across the SRA Canal. He stated that SRA
7 had previously reviewed the plans and Fluor Engineering agreed to make the necessary
8 changes regarding connections and access ladders. He stated that while in Sulphur, staff
9 visited the sites for the Sasol Pump Station, the Sasol Pipe Rack and Pump Station #3
10 bypass re-route project. He stated that also while in Sulphur, staff discussed with Sasol
11 representative the land swap to clear up the canal right-of-way and some agreements
12 were made; however, there are still some unresolved issues. He stated that staff received
13 one bid for the third pump in Pump Stations #3 and #4 in the amount of \$198,901.00. He
14 stated that this bid is within our budget and has been accepted. He stated that leaves
15 approximately \$200,000.00 for the installation of the two (2) pumps and should fall in
16 line with the budget for this project as the board approved a budget of \$600,000.00. He
17 stated that there is about a 6-month delivery time on these pumps. He stated that staff
18 received bids for the bypass re-routing and the meter installation at Pump Stations #3 and
19 #4 with the low bid from Apeck Construction in the amount of \$486,718.00 which is
20 within our budget for this project. He stated that award for this bid will be soon. He
21 stated that the total budget for this project is \$650,000.00 and besides the actual work to
22 be performed, there will be engineering fees and allowance for contingencies which
23 could leave a small amount to be left in the Capital fund. Mr. Carr stated that he had met
24 with T. Baker Smith concerning the Corp permit for dredging of Canal #1. He stated that
25 the topographic, bathymetric surveys are complete as well as the wetland delineation. He
26 stated that a good portion of Canal #1 is considered wetlands and Smith is working with
27 the Corp to minimize the damage or mitigate; if unsuccessful the contractor will have to
28 move the fill about a mile to property that SRA owns at the location of the Kraus and
29 Managhan Pump Station and the old canal route (64 acres). He stated that staff met with
30 KSCR on the new siphon bypass project that SRA will be administering using KCS
31 funds. He stated that the construction budget has been revised to an estimate of

1 \$9,500,000.00 which includes the cost of pipe. He stated that bid documents are out and
2 expect to have that bid opening on June 15th. He stated that an agreement was reached on
3 the land transfer and the servitudes on this project and the documents are being prepared
4 for our review.

5 **Item #4-Financial Report:** Mrs. Ferguson stated that the financial report was
6 included in the meeting packet. She stated that at the **Toledo Bend Division** Revenue for
7 April 2016 was \$993,159.85; Expenses were \$645,475.10 showing a profit of
8 \$347,684.75. She stated that the year-to-date total Revenue was \$7,797,334.00;
9 Expenses were \$6,578,180.99 with a profit of \$1,219,153.01. She stated that at the
10 **Diversion Canal Division** April 2016 Revenue was \$440,207.48; Expenses were
11 \$194,718.71; with a Profit of \$245,488.77. She stated that the year-to-day total Revenue
12 was \$4,346,521.20; Expenses were \$2,364,800.89 with a profit of \$1,981,720.31. **Mr.**
13 **Goodeaux moved, seconded by Mr. Davis to approve the Staff Reports as circulated**
14 **and presented. Motion carried unanimously.**

15 **SPECIAL REPORTS:** None.

16 **PUBLIC COMMENTS:** None.

17 **OLD BUSINESS: ITEM #1: Encroachment Policy-** Mr. Chuck Sensiba legal
18 counsel for the re-licensing project, made a presentation to the Board and those present.
19 He explained the current Encroachment Policy, which was part of the re-licensing of the
20 Project, and how these issues are to be resolved per the new policy approved by the
21 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which has mandatory jurisdiction under
22 the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. #817(1). He stated that each case must meet certain
23 criteria to bring the encroachment into compliance accordingly. He stated that the
24 Shoreline Management Plan (SMP), with regard to existing encroachments, is designed
25 to help SRA-LA implement shoreline management obligations of the license. He stated it
26 is a good tool for the SRA-LA staff and the public that centralizes all shoreline regulatory
27 requirements into a single document as well as directs SRA-LA staff to work equitably
28 and consistently with adjoining landowners in addressing encroachments. He stated that
29 the general Policy requires removal of encroachments, with notification by SRA-LA to
30 the owner, who is granted ninety (90) days to remove the encroachment. He continued
31 that the owner may request authorization to register the encroachment. He continued that

1 this policy allows SRA-LA to authorize a minor encroachment and grant a permit;
2 however, if a permit is insufficient for the lending institution, owner can request right-of-
3 way or easement for the encroachment. He stated that the Encroachment Policy is a SRA
4 Board Policy that is a good summary of FERC's rules on encroachments. Mr. Sensiba
5 stated that there were two main changes: #1 deals with the length of time (useful life) of
6 the structure (30 yrs) or more and is transferred with the sale of the property and #2 deals
7 with once the shoreline survey is complete, that will take approximately five years, there
8 may be other options to the Board such as moving the boundary line to help the
9 landowners obtain "fee simple" right to the property encroached which would require
10 action by the Board and approval from FERC. He stated that currently, SRA is not at
11 that point due to the ongoing collection of the data/survey of the shoreline, but that is
12 certainly an option that the Board could choose to pursue after the survey is complete.
13 (See attached presentation.) **Mr. McCormic moved, seconded by Mr. Vidrine to**
14 **accept the Encroachment Policy within the Shoreline Management Plan. Motion**
15 **carried: YEAS: 9 NAYS: 2.**

16 **NEW BUSINESS: ITEM #1: Approve moving Whitney CD to NOW**
17 **Account:** Mrs. Ferguson stated that the purpose of moving this CD, which would be
18 liquid if needed in the future, was to receive a better interest rate. **Mrs. Scott moved,**
19 **seconded by Mr. Arbuckle to approve moving the Whitney CD to a NOW Account.**
20 **Motion carried unanimously.**

21 **ITEM #2: Approve ALH Replacement Reserve Purchase-** Mr. Don Brennan,
22 CFO of ALH, No.5 was present to address the issue. Mr. Brennan stated that last year, it
23 was discussed with the Board about doing some work on the greens at Cypress Bend Golf
24 Resort. He continued that the cost which happened to exceed \$10,000.00 was not
25 brought before the Board for approval; however he apologized for the oversight and ask
26 that the Board to approve the expenditure since the work had been performed as
27 scheduled. **Mr. Nash moved, seconded by Mr. Burgess to approve ALH's**
28 **Replacement Reserve purchase to have work performed on the greens at Cypress**
29 **Bend Golf Resort which had a final cost of approximately \$11,500.00 since all work**
30 **had been completed. Motion carried unanimously.**

31 **COMMITTEE REPORTS:**

1 **1) Diversion Canal:** No meeting.

2 **2) Parks:** No meeting.

3 **3) Leaseback:** No meeting

4 **4) Finance:** No meeting

5 **5) Water Sales:** No meeting.

6 **6) Fishery & Lake Management:** No meeting.

7 **LEGAL REPORT:** Executive Session: Mr. Pratt stated that it was not
8 necessary to move into Executive Session because he had not received any updates on the
9 pending litigations. He stated that Mr. John Wolf had been hired as legal counsel on the
10 impending lawsuits.

11 **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT:** Mr. Pratt stated that Mr. Founds had
12 been working for approximately three weeks and was being brought up-to-date by staff.
13 He reminded the members present that the Sabine River Compact Administration would
14 be meeting on Thursday June 2nd and Friday June 3rd at the Margaretville Casino Resort
15 in Bossier City and staff would be attending.

16 Mr. Cupit stated that the next meeting would be June 23rd 2016 at 1:00pm at the
17 Pendleton Bridge Office Many, Louisiana.

18 With no further business to discuss, Mr. Cupit asked for a motion to adjourn.

19 **Mr. Gibbs moved, seconded by Mr. Burgess to adjourn. Motion carried**
20 **unanimously. Meeting adjourned at 2:14 pm.**

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

ATTEST:

FRANK DAVIS, SECRETARY

DANIEL CUPIT, CHAIRMAN

NED GOODEAUX, VICE-CHAIRMAN